

Current Comments List Continued

Re: Proposed Rulemaking: Mercury Emission Reduction Requirements for Electric Generating Units (#7-405)

- 667. Cynthia Walter, Ph.D. -----
Southwest Region
- 668. Ralph Hysong -----
Southwest Region
- 669. Nancy Niemczyk The Midwife Center -----
Southwest Region
- 670. Maren Cooke -----
Southwest Region
- 671. Rudy P. Visser -----

- 672. Resident -----

- 673. Kathleen P. Lawson Learning Disabilities Assn. -----
Southwest Region
- 674. Annelies Visser -----

- 675. Ann C. Hornaday -----

- 676. Sheree Watson -----

- 677. Licia Slimon -----

- 678. Colleen Willison -----

- 679. Moriah Mason -----

- 680. Jane Freund -----

- 681. Megan E. Hamm -----

- 682. Amanda M. Gilmore -----

- 683. Andrea Harman -----

- 684. Maddie Schramm -----

- 685. Sam Ets -----

- 686. Barbara Reiter -----

- 687. Georgia A. Johns -----

- 688. Karen McCleg 307 Bracken -----

- 689. Joyce Mostinchy -----

- 690. Theresa Cotter -----

- 691. Ivy Thomas -----

- 692. Resident -----

Current Comments List Continued

Re: Proposed Rulemaking: Mercury Emission Reduction Requirements for Electric Generating Units (#7-405)

693. Kathy Belcastro -----

TO: Members of the Environmental Quality Board

RE: Pennsylvania Specific Mercury Reduction Rule

The Environmental Protection Agency's (EPA) federal mercury rule will result in more mercury in our air and water than simply fully enforcing the Clean Air Act. PA is currently the 2nd worst polluter of mercury from coal-fired power plants in the country. PA coal is also higher than most in chlorine content which makes it more apt to fall closer to it's source. Our children deserve to grow up in a state that leads the way on reducing mercury pollution. I encourage the adoption of the Department of Environmental Protection's (DEP) proposed PA specific mercury rule which aims to reduce mercury pollution by 80% in 2010 and 90% in 2015 at all applicable sources, and prohibits the trading or netting of mercury pollution credits. We have the opportunity to protect our families' health and safety by implementing a PA specific mercury reduction rule as soon as possible for the sake of our community and our children's health.

Counting on you to protect our air and water!

694. L. D. Brown -----

695. Jeff Cooper -----

TO: Members of the Environmental Quality Board

RE: Pennsylvania Specific Mercury Reduction Rule

The Environmental Protection Agency's (EPA) federal mercury rule will result in more mercury in our air and water than simply fully enforcing the Clean Air Act. PA is currently the 2nd worst polluter of mercury from coal-fired power plants in the country. PA coal is also higher than most in chlorine content which makes it more apt to fall closer to it's source. Our children deserve to grow up in a state that leads the way on reducing mercury pollution. I encourage the adoption of the Department of Environmental Protection's (DEP) proposed PA specific mercury rule which aims to reduce mercury pollution by 80% in 2010 and 90% in 2015 at all applicable sources, and prohibits the trading or netting of mercury pollution credits. We have the opportunity to protect our families' health and safety by implementing a PA specific mercury reduction rule as soon as possible for the sake of our community and our children's health.

Remember, Environmental Protection does not mean let business & industry do what they want for profit.

696. Pat Conroy -----

TO: Members of the Environmental Quality Board

RE: Pennsylvania Specific Mercury Reduction Rule

The Environmental Protection Agency's (EPA) federal mercury rule will result in more mercury in our air and water than simply fully enforcing the Clean Air Act. PA is currently the 2nd worst polluter of mercury from coal-fired power plants in the country. PA coal is also higher than most in chlorine content which makes it more apt to fall closer to it's source. Our children deserve to grow up in a state that leads the way on reducing mercury pollution. I encourage the adoption of the Department of Environmental Protection's (DEP) proposed PA specific mercury rule which aims to reduce mercury pollution by 80% in 2010 and 90% in 2015 at all applicable sources, and prohibits the trading or netting of mercury pollution credits. We have the opportunity to protect our families' health and safety by implementing a PA specific mercury reduction rule as soon as possible for the sake of our community and our children's health.

My grandson has Autism which studies link to Mercury in his immunizations.

697. Felicia Steele -----

698. Edward Divers -----

Current Comments List Continued

Re: Proposed Rulemaking: Mercury Emission Reduction Requirements for Electric Generating Units (#7-405)

TO: Members of the Environmental Quality Board
RE: Pennsylvania Specific Mercury Reduction Rule

The Environmental Protection Agency's (EPA) federal mercury rule will result in more mercury in our air and water than simply fully enforcing the Clean Air Act. PA is currently the 2nd worst polluter of mercury from coal-fired power plants in the country. PA coal is also higher than most in chlorine content which makes it more apt to fall closer to it's source. Our children deserve to grow up in a state that leads the way on reducing mercury pollution. I encourage the adoption of the Department of Environmental Protection's (DEP) proposed PA specific mercury rule which aims to reduce mercury pollution by 80% in 2010 and 90% in 2015 at all applicable sources, and prohibits the trading or netting of mercury pollution credits. We have the opportunity to protect our families' health and safety by implementing a PA specific mercury reduction rule as soon as possible for the sake of our community and our children's health.

God bless those who support this bill!

699. Carrie Sheariss -----

700. Kathleen Forth -----

TO: Members of the Environmental Quality Board
RE: Pennsylvania Specific Mercury Reduction Rule

The Environmental Protection Agency's (EPA) federal mercury rule will result in more mercury in our air and water than simply fully enforcing the Clean Air Act. PA is currently the 2nd worst polluter of mercury from coal-fired power plants in the country. PA coal is also higher than most in chlorine content which makes it more apt to fall closer to it's source. Our children deserve to grow up in a state that leads the way on reducing mercury pollution. I encourage the adoption of the Department of Environmental Protection's (DEP) proposed PA specific mercury rule which aims to reduce mercury pollution by 80% in 2010 and 90% in 2015 at all applicable sources, and prohibits the trading or netting of mercury pollution credits. We have the opportunity to protect our families' health and safety by implementing a PA specific mercury reduction rule as soon as possible for the sake of our community and our children's health.

...otherwise what a shameful legacy we'll leave.

701. Mary Anne Gailliot -----

TO: Members of the Environmental Quality Board
RE: Pennsylvania Specific Mercury Reduction Rule

The Environmental Protection Agency's (EPA) federal mercury rule will result in more mercury in our air and water than simply fully enforcing the Clean Air Act. PA is currently the 2nd worst polluter of mercury from coal-fired power plants in the country. PA coal is also higher than most in chlorine content which makes it more apt to fall closer to it's source. Our children deserve to grow up in a state that leads the way on reducing mercury pollution. I encourage the adoption of the Department of Environmental Protection's (DEP) proposed PA specific mercury rule which aims to reduce mercury pollution by 80% in 2010 and 90% in 2015 at all applicable sources, and prohibits the trading or netting of mercury pollution credits. We have the opportunity to protect our families' health and safety by implementing a PA specific mercury reduction rule as soon as possible for the sake of our community and our children's health.

Every child deserves the best we can provide for them.

702. Margaret Hamilton -----

TO: Members of the Environmental Quality Board
RE: Pennsylvania Specific Mercury Reduction Rule

The Environmental Protection Agency's (EPA) federal mercury rule will result in more mercury in our air and water than simply fully enforcing the Clean Air Act. PA is currently the 2nd worst polluter of mercury from coal-fired power plants in the country. PA coal is also higher than most in chlorine content which makes it more apt to fall closer to it's source. Our children deserve to grow up in a state that leads the way on reducing mercury pollution. I encourage the adoption of the Department of Environmental Protection's (DEP) proposed PA specific mercury rule which aims to reduce mercury pollution by 80% in 2010 and 90% in 2015 at all applicable sources, and prohibits the trading or netting of mercury pollution credits. We have the opportunity to protect our families' health and safety by implementing a PA specific mercury reduction rule as soon as possible for the sake of our community and our children's health.

No family should have to face the lifelong disability of a child because of our unwillingness to protect them.

Current Comments List Continued

Re: Proposed Rulemaking: Mercury Emission Reduction Requirements for Electric Generating Units (#7-405)

703. Resident -----

704. Shelby Angelo -----

705. Benny Sheffer -----

706. Luke Taylor -----

707. Michael Hernandez -----

708. Ameda Ward -----

709. Chris West -----

710. Patricia Hartigan -----

TO: Members of the Environmental Quality Board

RE: Pennsylvania Specific Mercury Reduction Rule

The Environmental Protection Agency's (EPA) federal mercury rule will result in more mercury in our air and water than simply fully enforcing the Clean Air Act. PA is currently the 2nd worst polluter of mercury from coal-fired power plants in the country. PA coal is also higher than most in chlorine content which makes it more apt to fall closer to it's source. Our children deserve to grow up in a state that leads the way on reducing mercury pollution. I encourage the adoption of the Department of Environmental Protection's (DEP) proposed PA specific mercury rule which aims to reduce mercury pollution by 80% in 2010 and 90% in 2015 at all applicable sources, and prohibits the trading or netting of mercury pollution credits. We have the opportunity to protect our families' health and safety by implementing a PA specific mercury reduction rule as soon as possible for the sake of our community and our children's health.

I urge adoption of the PA specific mercury rule. Let PA show intelligence and courage to deal with this issue.

711. Chris Kleiner -----

712. Jerrod Hohman -----

TO: Members of the Environmental Quality Board

RE: Pennsylvania Specific Mercury Reduction Rule

The Environmental Protection Agency's (EPA) federal mercury rule will result in more mercury in our air and water than simply fully enforcing the Clean Air Act. PA is currently the 2nd worst polluter of mercury from coal-fired power plants in the country. PA coal is also higher than most in chlorine content which makes it more apt to fall closer to it's source. Our children deserve to grow up in a state that leads the way on reducing mercury pollution. I encourage the adoption of the Department of Environmental Protection's (DEP) proposed PA specific mercury rule which aims to reduce mercury pollution by 80% in 2010 and 90% in 2015 at all applicable sources, and prohibits the trading or netting of mercury pollution credits. We have the opportunity to protect our families' health and safety by implementing a PA specific mercury reduction rule as soon as possible for the sake of our community and our children's health.

Please enact this important regulation.

713. Marianne M. Garrity -----

Current Comments List Continued

Re: Proposed Rulemaking: Mercury Emission Reduction Requirements for Electric Generating Units (#7-405)

TO: Members of the Environmental Quality Board
RE: Pennsylvania Specific Mercury Reduction Rule

The Environmental Protection Agency's (EPA) federal mercury rule will result in more mercury in our air and water than simply fully enforcing the Clean Air Act. PA is currently the 2nd worst polluter of mercury from coal-fired power plants in the country. PA coal is also higher than most in chlorine content which makes it more apt to fall closer to it's source. Our children deserve to grow up in a state that leads the way on reducing mercury pollution. I encourage the adoption of the Department of Environmental Protection's (DEP) proposed PA specific mercury rule which aims to reduce mercury pollution by 80% in 2010 and 90% in 2015 at all applicable sources, and prohibits the trading or netting of mercury pollution credits. We have the opportunity to protect our families' health and safety by implementing a PA specific mercury reduction rule as soon as possible for the sake of our community and our children's health.

Please, please, please do the right thing and reduce the amount of mercury. In your heart, you know it to be right.

714. Carol J. Moessinger -----

TO: Members of the Environmental Quality Board
RE: Pennsylvania Specific Mercury Reduction Rule

The Environmental Protection Agency's (EPA) federal mercury rule will result in more mercury in our air and water than simply fully enforcing the Clean Air Act. PA is currently the 2nd worst polluter of mercury from coal-fired power plants in the country. PA coal is also higher than most in chlorine content which makes it more apt to fall closer to it's source. Our children deserve to grow up in a state that leads the way on reducing mercury pollution. I encourage the adoption of the Department of Environmental Protection's (DEP) proposed PA specific mercury rule which aims to reduce mercury pollution by 80% in 2010 and 90% in 2015 at all applicable sources, and prohibits the trading or netting of mercury pollution credits. We have the opportunity to protect our families' health and safety by implementing a PA specific mercury reduction rule as soon as possible for the sake of our community and our children's health.

It is shameful that Pittsburgh is at the bottom of the list in air quality - Lead in this grave area.

715. Ann Posch -----

TO: Members of the Environmental Quality Board
RE: Pennsylvania Specific Mercury Reduction Rule

The Environmental Protection Agency's (EPA) federal mercury rule will result in more mercury in our air and water than simply fully enforcing the Clean Air Act. PA is currently the 2nd worst polluter of mercury from coal-fired power plants in the country. PA coal is also higher than most in chlorine content which makes it more apt to fall closer to it's source. Our children deserve to grow up in a state that leads the way on reducing mercury pollution. I encourage the adoption of the Department of Environmental Protection's (DEP) proposed PA specific mercury rule which aims to reduce mercury pollution by 80% in 2010 and 90% in 2015 at all applicable sources, and prohibits the trading or netting of mercury pollution credits. We have the opportunity to protect our families' health and safety by implementing a PA specific mercury reduction rule as soon as possible for the sake of our community and our children's health.

Please stop mercury pollution!

716. Robert J. Posch -----

TO: Members of the Environmental Quality Board
RE: Pennsylvania Specific Mercury Reduction Rule

The Environmental Protection Agency's (EPA) federal mercury rule will result in more mercury in our air and water than simply fully enforcing the Clean Air Act. PA is currently the 2nd worst polluter of mercury from coal-fired power plants in the country. PA coal is also higher than most in chlorine content which makes it more apt to fall closer to it's source. Our children deserve to grow up in a state that leads the way on reducing mercury pollution. I encourage the adoption of the Department of Environmental Protection's (DEP) proposed PA specific mercury rule which aims to reduce mercury pollution by 80% in 2010 and 90% in 2015 at all applicable sources, and prohibits the trading or netting of mercury pollution credits. We have the opportunity to protect our families' health and safety by implementing a PA specific mercury reduction rule as soon as possible for the sake of our community and our children's health.

Thanks for your help with this problem.

717. Adam Hertzman -----

Sierra Club 7-25

Current Comments List Continued

Re: Proposed Rulemaking: Mercury Emission Reduction Requirements for Electric Generating Units (#7-405)

- 718. Suzanne Teti -----
Sierra Club 7-25
- 719. K. Zajac -----
Sierra Club 7-25
- 720. Greg Yoest -----
TO: Members of the Environmental Quality Board
RE: Pennsylvania Specific Mercury Reduction Rule

The Environmental Protection Agency's (EPA) federal mercury rule will result in more mercury in our air and water than simply fully enforcing the Clean Air Act. PA is currently the 2nd worst polluter of mercury from coal-fired power plants in the country. PA coal is also higher than most in chlorine content which makes it more apt to fall closer to it's source. Our children deserve to grow up in a state that leads the way on reducing mercury pollution. I encourage the adoption of the Department of Environmental Protection's (DEP) proposed PA specific mercury rule which aims to reduce mercury pollution by 80% in 2010 and 90% in 2015 at all applicable sources, and prohibits the trading or netting of mercury pollution credits. We have the opportunity to protect our families' health and safety by implementing a PA specific mercury reduction rule as soon as possible for the sake of our community and our children's health.

Mercury does not decompose in the environment! You can't "cap and trade" it!!!!

- 721. Chaise L. White -----
Sierra Club 7-25
- 722. Marla Ferrency -----
Sierra Club 7-25
- 723. Christine E. Fiduccia -----
Sierra Club 7-25
- 724. Flaherty Family -----
Sierra Club 7-25
- 725. W. Butler -----
Sierra Club 7-25
- 726. Winnefred A. Frolik -----
Sierra Club 7-25
- 727. Elaine Daley -----
Sierra Club 7-25
- 728. Prisca Gayles -----
Sierra Club 7-25
- 729. Joseph G. Heintz -----
Sierra Club 7-25
- 730. Tom Gorka -----
Sierra Club 7-25
- 731. Anna Loney -----
Sierra Club 7-25
- 732. Milton Griffin -----
Sierra Club 7-25
- 733. Barbara W. Grover -----
Sierra Club 7-25
- 734. David E. Grover -----
Sierra Club 7-25
- 735. Tim Lebeck -----
Sierra Club 7-25
- 736. Steve Karas -----
Sierra Club 7-25
- 737. Max Kaiserman -----
Sierra Club 7-25
- 738. Eve T. Gutwirth -----
Sierra Club 7-25

Current Comments List Continued

Re: Proposed Rulemaking: Mercury Emission Reduction Requirements for Electric Generating Units (#7-405)

- 739. Nancy A. Grundman -----
Sierra Club 7-25
- 740. Coleen Gura -----
Sierra Club 7-25
- 741. Marianne Haffey -----
Sierra Club 7-25
- 742. Jeffry Harris -----
Sierra Club 7-25
- 743. Ray Rudisill -----
Sierra Club 7-25
- 744. Joseph Tomaszewski -----
Sierra Club 7-25
- 745. Sharon Helfirck -----
Sierra Club 7-25
- 746. LuCinda Hehmann -----
Sierra Club 7-25
- 747. Tina LaMark -----
Sierra Club 7-25
- 748. Connie Long -----
Sierra Club 7-25
- 749. Philip Burkhart -----
Sierra Club 7-25
- 750. Jennifer MacKaben -----
Sierra Club 7-25
- 751. Moriah Mason -----
Sierra Club 7-25
- 752. Jenilinn Riedil -----
Sierra Club 7-25
- 753. J. E. Babyak -----
Sierra Club 7-25
- 754. Dale Jones -----
Sierra Club 7-25
- 755. L. A. Sokolowski -----
Sierra Club 7-25
- 756. N. McCallun -----
Sierra Club 7-25
- 757. T. Cannon -----
Sierra Club 7-25
- 758. Gloria Remaley -----
Sierra Club 7-25
- 759. Craig Metcho -----
Sierra Club 7-25
- 760. Lenora M. Todd -----
Sierra Club 7-25
- 761. Jane Carr -----
Sierra Club 7-25
- 762. Barbara Minges -----
Sierra Club 7-25
- 763. Sara E. Morton -----
Sierra Club 7-25
- 764. Meredith Olson -----
Sierra Club 7-25

Current Comments List Continued

Re: Proposed Rulemaking: Mercury Emission Reduction Requirements for Electric Generating Units (#7-405)

- 765. Elizabeth Perego -----
Sierra Club 7-25
- 766. Elizabeth Polen -----
Sierra Club 7-25
- 767. Martha Raak -----
Sierra Club 7-25
- 768. Brian G. Ramsey -----
Sierra Club 7-25
- 769. Brian Rayne -----
Sierra Club 7-25
- 770. Madison Schramm -----
Sierra Club 7-25
- 771. Tia Smith -----
Sierra Club 7-25
- 772. Luke Starcher -----
Sierra Club 7-25
- 773. Beth Stronach -----
Sierra Club 7-25
- 774. Christal Terreze -----
Sierra Club 7-25
- 775. Mary Colbert -----
Sierra Club 7-25
- 776. Janet Lang -----
Sierra Club 7-25
- 777. Louise M. DeRenzo -----
Sierra Club 7-25
- 778. Jonathan Barnes -----
Sierra Club 7-25
- 779. Brad Minnigh -----
Sierra Club 7-25
- 780. Lisa Barnes -----
Sierra Club 7-25
- 781. Marc H. Pois -----
Sierra Club 7-25
- 782. Susan McClellan -----
Sierra Club 7-25
- 783. Vito Natali -----
Sierra Club 7-25
- 784. Asia Dawn Mitchell -----
Sierra Club 7-25
- 785. Amy Tonti -----
Sierra Club 7-25
- 786. Violeta Rodriguez Frederick -----
Sierra Club 7-25
- 787. Shannon Heaps -----
Sierra Club 7-25
- 788. Patricia Trudeau -----
Sierra Club 7-25
- 789. Connie McMaster -----
Sierra Club 7-25
- 790. Tobias Y. Venar -----
Sierra Club 7-25

Current Comments List Continued

Re: Proposed Rulemaking: Mercury Emission Reduction Requirements for Electric Generating Units (#7-405)

- 791. Ella Vanderbilt -----
Sierra Club 7-25
- 792. Mike Vande Weghe -----
Sierra Club 7-25
- 793. Timothy Vernon -----
Sierra Club 7-25
- 794. David Watanabe -----
Sierra Club 7-25
- 795. Elaine L. Weiner -----
Sierra Club 7-25
- 796. Bryan McNamaru -----
Sierra Club 7-25
- 797. J. Duch -----
Sierra Club 7-25
- 798. John Bauer -----
Sierra Club 7-25
- 799. Glenn Waldschmidt -----
Sierra Club 7-25
- 800. June Minnear -----
Sierra Club 7-25
- 801. Ed Mandell -----
Sierra Club 7-25
- 802. Mary Ann Gillette -----
Sierra Club 7-25
- 803. Mary Anne Sciullo -----
Sierra Club 7-25
- 804. Shirley Johnston -----
Sierra Club 7-25
- 805. Debbi Jack -----
Sierra Club 7-25
- 806. Marlene Dean -----
Sierra Club 7-25
- 807. Marilyn Klingensmith -----
Sierra Club 7-25
- 808. Patricia James -----
Sierra Club 7-25
- 809. Charles M. Herrold, Jr. -----
Sierra Club 7-25
- 810. Dan Van Triest -----
Sierra Club 7-25
- 811. Simon Rafferty -----
Sierra Club 7-25
- 812. Bonnie Sprys -----
Sierra Club 7-25
- 813. Betty Thomas -----
Sierra Club 7-25
- 814. Pamela Kuchta -----
Sierra Club 7-25
- 815. Cathy Lamoureaux -----
Sierra Club 7-25
- 816. Susan Hudak -----
Sierra Club 7-25

Current Comments List Continued

Re: Proposed Rulemaking: Mercury Emission Reduction Requirements for Electric Generating Units (#7-405)

- 817. Lisa Dennis -----
Sierra Club 7-25
- 818. Roy Nock -----
Sierra Club 7-25
- 819. Winnie Slatery -----
Sierra Club 7-25
- 820. Kurt Holl -----
Sierra Club 7-25
- 821. Reese Campbell -----
Sierra Club 7-25
- 822. Jennifer Paluda -----
Sierra Club 7-25
- 823. Sue Ann Orange -----
Sierra Club 7-25
- 824. Carol Olson -----
Sierra Club 7-25
- 825. Sandra A. Fugent -----
Sierra Club 7-25
- 826. Eric Epstein TMI Alert -----
Central Office
- 827. Douglas L. Biden Electric Power Generation Association -----
Central Office
- 828. Melody Zullinger Pennsylvania Federation of Sportsmen's Clubs -----
Central Office
- 829. Rev. Sandra L. Strauss Pennsylvania Council of Churches -----
Central Office
- 830. Jan Jarrett Citizens for Pennsylvania's Future (PennFuture) -----
Central Office
- 831. Andrew R. Wolfe IBEW Local 1600 -----
Central Office
- 832. Deana S. Weaver -----
Central Office
- 833. Kimberly Anderson -----
Central Office
- 834. Anna Aufill -----
Central Office
- 835. Ryan Karins -----
Central Office
- 836. Roberta Jarnagin-Blaylock -----
Central Office
- 837. Richard Martin Pennsylvania Forest Coalition -----
Central Office
- 838. Ethan Lavine PennEnvironment -----
Central Office
- 839. Jennifer Heller National Wildlife Federation -----
Central Office
- 840. Pamela Lee -----
Central Office
- 841. Steven Quarles -----
Central Office
- 842. Michael R. Helfritz Lower Susquehanna River Keeper -----
Central Office

Current Comments List Continued

Re: Proposed Rulemaking: Mercury Emission Reduction Requirements for Electric Generating Units (#7-405)

- 843. Richard King -----
Central Office
- 844. Jeff Schmidt Sierra Club -----
Central Office
- 845. Marleen McPherson -----
Central Office
- 846. Frank Gilberti -----
Sierra Club 7-25
- 847. Ron Addleman -----
Sierra Club 7-25
- 848. Britney Andrews -----
Sierra Club 7-25
- 849. Margie Bachman -----
Sierra Club 7-25
- 850. Sonal Bains -----
Sierra Club 7-25
- 851. Laurel Ball -----
Sierra Club 7-25
- 852. E. Beck -----
Sierra Club 7-25
- 853. Carla Brown -----
Sierra Club 7-25
- 854. The Hon. Connie Williams Senate of Pennsylvania -----
Southeast Office
- 855. Myron Bushnick -----
Sierra Club 7-25
- 856. Alice K. Chen -----
Sierra Club 7-25
- 857. Marian S. Crossman -----
Sierra Club 7-25
- 858. Nathan Wilcox Penn Environment -----
Southeast Office
- 859. Samuel G. Dausuel -----
Sierra Club 7-25
- 860. JoAnne Fassbender -----
Sierra Club 7-25
- 861. Lionel Ruberg -----
Southeast Office
- 862. Chas F. Fenner -----
Sierra Club 7-25
- 863. Joy Bergey Ctr. for the Celebration of Creation -----
Southeast Office
- 864. Christine Knapp Penn Future -----
Southeast Office
- 865. Gene Barr PA Chamber of Business & Industry -----
Southeast Office
- 866. Chris Milani -----
Southeast Office
- 867. Sister Janice McGrane -----
Southeast Office
- 868. Rev. Linda Noonan Chestnut Hill UMC -----
Southeast Office

Current Comments List Continued

Re: Proposed Rulemaking: Mercury Emission Reduction Requirements for Electric Generating Units (#7-405)

- 869. Robert Wendelgass Clean Water Action -----
Southeast Office
- 870. Micky Somsanith -----
Southeast Office
- 871. Patricia Lomden -----
Southeast Office
- 872. William Brainerd -----
Southeast Office
- 873. Anastasia Bannikovia -----
Southeast Office
- 874. Tegan Costanza -----
Southeast Office
- 875. Patty Fleetwood -----
Southeast Office
- 876. Dr. Jack Lebeau -----
Southeast Office
- 877. Brian Zeck -----
Southeast Office
- 878. Jim Black -----
Southeast Office
- 879. Martha Black -----
Southeast Office
- 880. Bernard McPhearson Clean Air Council -----
Southeast Office
- 881. Dr. Walter Tsou Physicians for Social Responsibility of Philadelph -----
Southeast Office
- 882. Frances Ann Leary -----
Southeast Office
- 883. Lisa Zhu -----
Southeast Office
- 884. Lynn C. Jaeger -----
Southeast Office
- 885. Alfred A. Siess Jr. SAVE, Inc. -----
Southeast Office
- 886. Ginger Magee -----
Southeast Office
- 887. Gene Wilson League of Women Voters -----
Southeast Office
- 888. Virginia Fitzpatrick -----
Southeast Office
- 889. Virginia R. Craciun -----
Southeast Office
- 890. Alisha Dean-Steinler Clean Water Action -----
Southeast Office
- 891. Mike Ewall Action PA -----
Southeast Office
- 892. Sonnet D. Gabbard -----
Southeast Office
- 893. Jon Levin -----

Current Comments List Continued

Re: Proposed Rulemaking: Mercury Emission Reduction Requirements for Electric Generating Units (#7-405)

Jon Levin
1899 Aster Rd.
Macungie, PA 18062-8944

July 27, 2006

members of the Environmental Quality Board (EQB)
Rachel Carson State Office Building, 15th Floor, 400 Market Street
P. O. Box 8477
Harrisburg, PA 17105-2063

Dear members of the Environmental Quality Board (EQB):

I strongly support the Department of Environmental Protection's (DEP) proposed rulemaking on mercury reductions from coal-fired power plants in Pennsylvania.

Mercury pollution from coal-fired power plants affects health. Mercury pollution from power plants forms methylmercury, which poses a major health threat to humans and wildlife, primarily from eating fish. Mercury causes serious neurological problems in developing fetuses and babies. Very small amounts of mercury, passed to the child through the mother's blood or milk, can cause health effects. The U.S. Centers for Disease Control verify that over 600,000 women of childbearing age in the U.S. have levels of mercury in their blood higher than that considered safe for their developing babies. Mercury pollution is also causing developmental problems for a wide variety of wildlife, including song birds, mammals, and amphibians.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment in support of the proposed rule for cutting mercury pollution from Pennsylvania's power plants.

Sincerely,

- 894. Ana Shoemaker -----
PAResident 727
- 895. Donna DeHart -----
PAResident 727
- 896. Tina Kimble -----
PAResident 727
- 897. Barry Krueger -----
PAResident 727
- 898. Joseph Pinto -----
PAResident 727
- 899. Howard Aikens -----
PAResident 727
- 900. John McHugh -----
PAResident 727
- 901. Elizabeth Kelly -----
PAResident 727

Current Comments List Continued

Re: Proposed Rulemaking: Mercury Emission Reduction Requirements for Electric Generating Units (#7-405)

902. Jenn Mossholder -----

PAResident 727

903. Kelly Giacomelli -----

PAResident 727

904. Dan Schubel -----

PAResident 727

905. Greg Clayton -----

PAResident 727

906. Maggie Bookman -----

PAResident 727

907. Mike Kennedy -----

Hello -

I can not make it to the meeting today in Norristown about the mercury emissions levels in PA. As a father of a 3 year old and a 1 1/2 year old - I strongly support the plan that Rendell has proposed. I believe the scientists, when they say the federal plan that allows plants to buy pollution "credits" from a cleaner plant - Does not make sense for PA!

Thank you for reviewing this issue with the people. My family and everyone that I know is in favor of stricter protections than the federal government had proposed.

Taking steps to reduce Pennsylvanians' exposure to this dangerous neurotoxin, which has been linked to brain and heart damage, will help keep young families in the state.

If PA does not take aggressive steps to cleanup - my family and many others may be out the door to 'greener' areas - for the health of our children.

Thank you
Mike Kennedy
7804 Ardmore Ave
Wyndmoor, PA 19038

908. Lisa Smith -----

I support the plan that reduces mercury pollution 90% by 2015, reduces mercury from each applicable and individual PA power plant facility, and does NOT allow emissions trading.

909. Ceci Sommers -----

TO: Members of the Environmental Quality Board

RE: Pennsylvania Specific Mercury Reduction Rule

The Environmental Protection Agency's (EPA) federal mercury rule will result in more mercury in our air and water than simply fully enforcing the Clean Air Act. PA is currently the 2nd worst polluter of mercury from coal-fired power plants in the country. PA coal is also higher than most in chlorine content which makes it more apt to fall closer to it's source. Our children deserve to grow up in a state that leads the way on reducing mercury pollution. I encourage the adoption of the Department of Environmental Protection's (DEP) proposed PA specific mercury rule which aims to reduce mercury pollution by 80% in 2010 and 90% in 2015 at all applicable sources, and prohibits the trading or netting of mercury pollution credits. We have the opportunity to protect our families' health and safety by implementing a PA specific mercury reduction rule as soon as possible for the sake of our community and our children's health.

Please!!

910. Karen Slossburg -----

911. Nina V. Fritsch, BSN, MPH -----

Current Comments List Continued

Re: Proposed Rulemaking: Mercury Emission Reduction Requirements for Electric Generating Units (#7-405)

- 912. Mary E. Hamilton, RN, RM, MEd -----
- 913. Katherine Wilson -----
- 914. Barbara E. Dunne -----
- 915. B. Lewis -----
- 916. Yvonne Showers -----
- 917. B. J. Parey -----
- 918. The Hon. Leanna M. Washington Senate of Pennsylvania -----
- 919. The Hon. Jim Ferlo Senate of Pennsylvania -----
- 920. The Hon. Donna Reed Miller Philadelphia City Council -----
- 921. Robert G. Walters -----

To Environmental Quality Board Members:

Re: Mercury Emission Reduction Requirements for Electric Generating Units (#7-405)

I am writing to voice SUPPORT for the Department of Environmental Protection's mercury reduction plan for power plants. Pennsylvania needs aggressive action to reduce mercury pollution from power plants - our state's largest source of mercury pollution - and DEP is on the right track.

As someone who values Pennsylvania's outdoor recreation opportunities, I am deeply concerned about the levels of mercury in our fish. Mercury pollution poses a serious threat not only to our children and families, but also to the fish, wildlife, and outdoor heritage we treasure here in the Commonwealth. I want to see Pennsylvania's leaders stand up and respond to this severe pollution problem with the level of urgency it requires.

It is time for meaningful action to control the mercury pollution that is contaminating our environment, and DEP's mercury rule for power plants is just what's needed.

- 922. Elizabeth Kline -----

Current Comments List Continued

Re: Proposed Rulemaking: Mercury Emission Reduction Requirements for Electric Generating Units (#7-405)

Comments in favor of DEP Proposed Mercury Rulemaking

Elizabeth Kline
8 Bredin Avenue
Lyndora, PA 16045-1210

July 28, 2006

members of the Environmental Quality Board (EQB)
Rachel Carson State Office Building, 15th Floor, 400 Market Street
P. O. Box 8477
Harrisburg, PA 17105-2063

Dear members of the Environmental Quality Board (EQB):

I strongly support the Department of Environmental Protection's (DEP) proposed rulemaking on mercury reductions from coal-fired power plants in Pennsylvania.

The federal Clean Air Mercury Rule (CAMR) does too little too late. CAMR proponents claim that Pennsylvania will see an 86 percent drop in mercury pollution as a result of the federal rule. But the Congressional Research Service has detailed that CAMR won't deliver the reductions it promises, due to mercury pollution trading, where dirty plants are allowed to buy credits from cleaner, more modern ones. Pennsylvania plants are traditionally the number one purchasers of pollution credits. Overall, the Congressional Research Service concluded that CAMR would result in at best a 70 percent reduction in mercury emissions, but not until 2030 or later. The Pennsylvania rule as proposed requires that plants in the state must reduce their mercury emission levels by 90 percent by 2015, and does not allow mercury trading. Further, Pennsylvania and 15 other states, are challenging CAMR as illegal under the Clean Air Act, because it fails to treat mercury as the hazardous pollutant that it is. Should the legal action prevail, CAMR will be struck down, and we will be left with no protections from mercury pollution. A number of other states have already passed their own, more protective mercury reduction rules, and many others are in the process of doing so.

Pennsylvania is number two in the nation for mercury pollution to air from coal-fired power plants. The most recent Toxic Release Inventory from the Environmental Protection Agency ranks Pennsylvania as second worst in the nation for mercury pollution to the air, behind only Texas. This is up from third in 2004.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment in support of the proposed rule for cutting mercury pollution from Pennsylvania's power plants.

Sincerely,

Elizabeth
923. Patricia Policella -----

Current Comments List Continued

Re: Proposed Rulemaking: Mercury Emission Reduction Requirements for Electric Generating Units (#7-405)

Mercury Limits

State levels of mercury are too high. DO NOT consider weakening our state regulations, and DO NOT allow companies to buy pollution credits. I support the DEP proposal to require coal-fired power plants to cut their mercury emissions 90% by 2015. I would also like to see state annual inspections for the trucking industry mirror those of private automobiles.

Patricia Policella
288 Overbrook Drive
Newtown Square, PA 19073

Don't just search. Find. Check out the new MSN Search!
<http://search.msn.click-url.com/go/onm00200636ave/direct/01/>

924. Carol Matthews -----
Mercury Emission Reduction Requirements for Electric Generating Units (#7-405)

As a Pennsylvania resident, I strongly support the DEP's proposed mercury emission rule to reduce mercury emissions from coal fired power plants 90% by 2015. PA is the second largest source of mercury pollution in the U.S. We know that mercury is dangerous for children, and fish across our state are contaminated.

I urge you to oppose any pollution trading rules for mercury, and require all coal plants in PA to reduce their mercury emissions. Please enact the DEP's mercury reduction proposal as soon as possible. Our kids' health is at stake, and they deserve protection today!

Thank you.

Sincerely

Carol Matthews
Carol1567@aol.com
1567 Salomon Ln
Wayne PA 19087
925. Frances Harkins -----

Current Comments List Continued

Re: Proposed Rulemaking: Mercury Emission Reduction Requirements for Electric Generating Units (#7-405)

Comments in favor of DEP Proposed Mercury Rulemaking

Frances Harkins
3700 Venango Ave.
Munhall, PA 15120-3054

July 29, 2006

members of the Environmental Quality Board (EQB)
Rachel Carson State Office Building, 15th Floor, 400 Market Street
P. O. Box 8477
Harrisburg, PA 17105-2063

Dear members of the Environmental Quality Board (EQB):

I strongly support the Department of Environmental Protection's (DEP) proposed rulemaking on mercury reductions from coal-fired power plants in Pennsylvania.

Frances E. Harkins
3700 Venango Ave.
Munhall, PA. 15120
July 28, 2006

I strongly support the Department of Environmental Protection's (DEP) proposed rulemaking on mercury reductions from coal-fired power plants in Pennsylvania. I applaud the EQB for its recommendations to the PADEP to strengthen Pennsylvania's standards rather than adopt the lax new federal EPA standards which fails to treat mercury as the toxic it is and allows cap & trade.

For personal reasons, I support the stronger PADEP rule. In August, 2004, in a mercury hair testing, my results were nearly 3 times the maximum allowable EPA limit. I eliminated all fish-eating for one year, and in a 2d mercury hair testing, my levels were below the recommended maximum limit. Is this a success story? Yes, my hair and, by inference, my blood levels of mercury are lower.

However, mercury readily crosses the blood-brain barrier and higher concentrations of mercury could be still be present in my brain. According to Dan Volz, co-director of Exposure Assessment and Control Division of the University of Pittsburgh Cancer Institute's Center for Environmental Oncology, the only way to know is to test brain tissue, i.e. a brain biopsy. No thank you!

Should Pennsylvanians be scared to live in their own state? Unfortunately, the answer is yes until politicians place the health of citizens above the political donations of polluting interests.

Is the answer for me and other citizens to stop eating fish, an otherwise great source of Omega-3 which protects the heart? Or is the answer to stop pouring mercury into the environment from our many "dirty dinosaurs" – our coal burning power plants which have long avoided installing pollution controls. I

Once mercury is in the environment, this heavy metal and potent neurotoxin does not go away. Its presence is permanent, as are its effects on the body. These effects include: serious neurological problems in developing

Current Comments List Continued

Re: Proposed Rulemaking: Mercury Emission Reduction Requirements for Electric Generating Units (#7-405)

fetuses and babies, cerebral palsy, deafness and blindness in newborns, problems in motor function, language skills, verbal memory, short-term memory, delayed performance in tests of attention, fine motor coordination, and visual-spatial skills. Of especial concern are the one in six women of child-bearing age (or 1 in 5 depending on the study) whose mercury levels are so elevated that their babies born each year are at an elevated risk for damage. Imagine 630,000 newborns annually at risk for neurological problems. Imagine the increased educational and life-time costs both to the State of Pennsylvania and these new citizens.

At a recent public hearing held at the DEP headquarters on Washington's Landing in Pittsburgh on July 25, Ron Gallo of Fayette County spoke eloquently to me in relating that 33% of one elementary school's children in California, PA. are in special education classes, while the nation average is 8%. While no studies have been done on mercury in this area, the school lies between Hatfield's Ferry and Elrama, two well known polluters. In 2004 TRI data shows Hatfield's emissions at 6,880,429 lbs. and Elrama's at 386,551 lbs. How many pounds of mercury are emitted by these two plants? I know not, but PA. as a state emits five tons a year making us the 2d highest mercury emitter of the 50 states. We get a booster shot from Ohio, the 3rd largest mercury emitter. One teaspoon of mercury can contaminate all the biota and fish in a 20 acre lake, and PA. emits five tons a year! As a former teacher I know first-hand that the cost of Individual Educational Plans or IEPs can strain and contort the solvency of school systems to the breaking point. Our historical lax environmental enforcement policies in PA. put an unconscionable burden on Mon Valley communities like California – indeed on all communities in PA. – not to mention the personal costs of individuals with learning problems.

Mercury Hotspots

The courageous DEP initiative to tackle this toxic in the face of the strong opposition of electricity producers, their unions and, sadly, our own state senators, is laudable. For too long toxic hotspots like California and its citizens have gone unaided. After 8 years of testing by Penn State, the DEP has evidence of the existence of mercury "hotspots." Sampling stations registering 47% greater mercury levels in Cresson in Cambria County reflect its downwind position from coal-burning power plants versus samples collected in Tioga County which are not close to mercury sources. Too many of the 36 coal-burning power plants in PA. are antiquated and have never installed SOX, NOX or mercury controls to limit emissions; hence, mercury and other toxic hotspots are a profound moral issue in our state. With their plant costs long ago paid off, these baseload plants produce electricity at about 3-4 cents/KwH and sell their product at about 6-7 cents/KwH.

Pollution Controls & Credits/ Cap & Trade

How do they use this profit? Do they modernize or voluntarily install pollution controls? Pennsylvania's coal-burning power plants practices reveal their strong inclination to use their profit to buy themselves out of regulatory trouble, rather than to address the problem directly by cleaning up their plants. In 2004 PA. plants purchased 461,335 SO2 Allowance Credits which currently cost \$600.00 each. PA. buys more SOX credits than any other state in the union. With each purchase, we assist plants in other states to upgrade their facilities rather than upgrading our own. The cost to power plants is about \$276,801,000 in 2004; the cost to PA. residents is an increasingly aging infrastructure and suffering more from SOX pollution than those in other states. What reason do we have to believe that PA. power plants will suddenly change their practice

Current Comments List Continued

Re: Proposed Rulemaking: Mercury Emission Reduction Requirements for Electric Generating Units (#7-405)

if mercury trading is allowed, as the federal standard permits? Precious little is the answer.

Recently, some plants have announced clean-up plans: Reliant's Keystone, Cheswick, and Elrama plants, and Allegheny Energy's Hatfield's Ferry Plant. Congratulations! At last, non-governmental agencies (NGOs) like Greenpeace, Citizens for Pennsylvania's Future and the Group Against Smog and Pollution have focused national attention through lawsuits, hair-testing, and educational campaigns which finally have moved the companies owning these plants to promise future upgrades. However, NGOs do not have the financial resources to pursue ever highly polluting power plant in our state. I welcome the EQB and the DEP stance in favor of protecting people's lives from this highly toxic substance, rather than their past relaxed stance which has propelled PA's power plants' reputation of being among the top five polluters in NOX, in SOX, in PM, and in mercury year upon year. Year after year about 1,850 Pennsylvanians have died annually from air pollution, and mercury has impacted our lives, our children's lives, my life, quietly, secretly, stealthily. As there is no safe level for mercury, we must adopt the preventative principle – the less mercury emissions, the better. We need strong regulations and full implementation to protect young mothers who conceive and breast feed their infants never knowing that 1 in 6 of them is putting their own lives and their infants at risk by not being first tested for mercury. Let us not cap these infants' learning abilities by trading the precious God-given gift of keen intellects to bolster electricity generators' profits. Let us not cap and trade our future by adopting the cap and trade federal rule which would indefinitely push off strong mercury decreases in our state.

Going fishing

PA. is next only to Alaska among states in miles of streams and waterways.

In my youth, my uncle fished for trout in Four Mile Run on Chestnut Hill and for catfish in the Mon R. at Duck Hollow; today, I see families with their little children wading and fishing across from the junction of the Mon & Yough rivers in McKeesport. My impression is confirmed by Dr. Volz's words: "People in Pittsburgh eat appreciably more fish [from local rivers] than once thought." Today's sportsmen know they must throw back their catch, and consequently, 100 sportsmen's groups support the higher DEP mercury standards; however, the poor supplement their family diet with our mercury-laden fish.

Pennsylvanians look to the DEP for environmental protection and leadership. In promoting a tighter timeline for decreasing mercury emissions and for disallowing cap and trade, the EQB & the DEP are at last standing up to the plate for citizens. Thank you. Frances E. Harkins

Thank you for the opportunity to comment in support of the proposed rule for cutting mercury pollution from Pennsylvania's power plants.

Sincerely,

Frances Harkins

926. Keith Hotzman -----

Current Comments List Continued

Re: Proposed Rulemaking: Mercury Emission Reduction Requirements for Electric Generating Units (#7-405)

To Environmental Quality Board Members:

Re: Mercury Emission Reduction Requirements for Electric Generating Units (#7-405)

I am writing to voice SUPPORT for the Department of Environmental Protection's mercury reduction plan for power plants. Pennsylvania needs aggressive action to reduce mercury pollution from power plants - our state's largest source of mercury pollution - and DEP is on the right track.

As someone who values Pennsylvania's outdoor recreation opportunities, I am deeply concerned about the levels of mercury in our fish. Mercury pollution poses a serious threat not only to our children and families, but also to the fish, wildlife, and outdoor heritage we treasure here in the Commonwealth. I want to see Pennsylvania's leaders stand up and respond to this severe pollution problem with the level of urgency it requires.

It is time for meaningful action to control the mercury pollution that is contaminating our environment, and DEP's mercury rule for power plants is just what's needed.

927. C. M. Rhoad -----

928. John P. Maher Chester Cnty. Health Dept. -----

929. The Hon Dan A. Surra PA House of Representatives -----

930. Mark Fiorini Maiden Creek Watershed Assn. -----

931. The Hon. Constance H. Williams Senate of Pennsylvania -----

932. Hanna Bottger -----

933. Beverly J. Manbeck -----

934. Susan Molchan -----

935. Walter M. Harris -----

936. Erika Martin -----

937. Mr. & Mrs. Kevin Baughart -----

938. Patricia Moore -----

939. Marisa D'Annibale -----

940. Kim Holbrook -----

941. Cindy Dillon -----

942. HMD -----

943. Anna Hamill Perkins -----

944. Gary Tunison -----

945. Jacaline Wolf -----

946. Cliff Edgcumbe -----

Current Comments List Continued

Re: Proposed Rulemaking: Mercury Emission Reduction Requirements for Electric Generating Units (#7-405)

947. Jean M. Shuey -----

948. Terence Young -----

949. Toby Russell -----

Re: Mercury Emission Reduction Proposed Regulation

Dear Environmental Quality Board,

I am writing in support of the state moving forward with DEP's state-level proposal to cut mercury pollution from Pennsylvania's coal-fired power plants by 90 percent by 2015. Coal-fired power plants are the largest unregulated source of mercury pollution, which contaminates our waterways and eventually the fish that end up on our dinner plates. Medical experts say that even low levels of mercury exposure can affect the way kids learn, think, memorize and behave.

The technology exists to cut mercury pollution by 90 percent, and I support DEP's efforts to require these cuts at Pennsylvania power plants, without allowing for mercury pollution "credit" trading. With the Bush administration weakening our federal mercury protections, it is essential that state decision-makers take the lead in protecting our environment and public health by cutting this toxic pollution from Pennsylvania power plants.

Sincerely,

Toby Russell
1420 Walnut Street
Philadelphia, PA 191024017
950. Judith Auten -----

Current Comments List Continued

Re: Proposed Rulemaking: Mercury Emission Reduction Requirements for Electric Generating Units (#7-405)

Proposed Mercury Emission Reduction Regulation

These comments are offered in support for the PADEP's Proposed Mercury Emission Reduction Regulations.

These comments have also been submitted the Delaware County Times and in a shorter version was sent to the Philadelphia Inquirer.

July 30-2006

From: Judith Auten
210 Moylan Avenue
Wallingford, PA 19086
(P) 610-566-1627
Email donjude@aol.com

Mercury Emission Standards for Pennsylvania

Mercury is a neurotoxin, a poison that accumulates in our bodies. It is especially harmful to babies, young children and pregnant women. There are advisories throughout Pennsylvania not to eat much of the fish caught in our beautiful Pennsylvania streams because the fish have too much mercury. Now, even birds in remote areas have also been found to have unexpectedly high mercury levels.

Pennsylvania's coal powered power plants spew out much of the mercury accumulating in our environment and will continue to do so unless they begin to use appropriate pollution controls.

Our legislators have been presented two options for controlling mercury emissions. One is to follow an EPA regulation that very slowly eases power plants into moderately controlling their mercury emissions over the next twenty years. This controversial EPA regulation also lets power plants choose not to reduce their mercury emission. They can, for a fee, continue emitting this poison. This continued license to pollute is called trading.

The second option requires a greater reduction in mercury emissions and requires the plants reduce their mercury emissions sooner. The power plant owners would not be permitted to buy the right to continue to poison our environment with their mercury emissions. This second more stringent mercury emission standard was formulated by our own Pennsylvania Department of Environmental Protection to better protect the health of all of us.

The Department of Environmental Protection's regulation for Mercury emissions would clearly provide the citizens of Pennsylvania with the better protection.

Do you know your State Senators and Representatives position on mercury emission control? Which option do they support? In June the Pa Senate voted on a mercury emissions bill. Senators Hughes, Kitchen, Stack, Tartaglione and A. Williams of Philadelphia and Pileggi and Erickson of Delaware County voted for a mercury bill that rejects the regulation recommended by the Pennsylvania Department of Environmental Protection and instead adopts EPA's slow modest reduction of mercury and allows companies to buy their way out of mercury emission controls. You may want to ask them why they voted for this bill that is much less protective of our health. The State House of Representative has yet to vote. Because this is an election year they may put off their vote until after the election but you should know how they intend to vote. Be sure and ask the candidates how they intend to vote.

You may hear the argument that trading worked for the gases: carbon, nitrogen and sulfur oxides, but mercury is a metal and it is poisonous to people and animals. Mercury doesn't diffuse away it accumulates in the soil and water. You may also hear that our energy costs will go sky high, they won't, and installation of the proper pollution controls is a onetime cost. Some of Pennsylvania's worst mercury polluting plants have been serious polluter since the 1970's because they were given a pass then and have never had to install any kind of pollution controls.

If your care more about taking action to protect the children, the born and yet to be born, than you fear a possible one cost for installing mercury pollution controls on our polluting power plants, let you representative know that you support the Pennsylvania Department of Environmental Protection's Mercury Emissions Reduction Regulations.

Current Comments List Continued

Re: Proposed Rulemaking: Mercury Emission Reduction Requirements for Electric Generating Units (#7-405)

951. Phyllis Parker -----
Senate Bill 1201 & House Bill 2610

To Whom It May Concern:

I'm against Senate Bill 1201, House Bill 2610 and any other bill that would block DEP's state-level proposal requiring 90% mercury reductions from Pennsylvania;s coal-fired power plants by 2015 and prevent PA plants from opting out of reducing their emissions by purchasing credits from plants in other states. Protecting children from brain and nervous system damage and adults from heart and immune system damage is more important than corporate profits.

Sincerely,
Phyllis Parker
2426 Oakland Dr.
Norristown, PA 19403

952. -----
Mercury emissions

953. Sr. Constance Kozel -----
Mercury emissions

Dear Governor Rendell<

YES, I am FOR reducing mercury emissions by regulating coal-fired power plants. I would say that it shoud be done IMMEDIATELY...2015 seems so far away

Thank You!

Sincerely,
Sr. Constance Kozel
121 Lake St.
Dallas, PA. 18612-1024

954. VIRGINIA HARDEN -----

I'm writing to let the Pa. Department of Environmental Protection know that I strongly support the plan that will reduce mercury pollution by 90% in the next 9 years, reduce mercury from each individual plant, and not allow emission trading.

955. George Magaro Sr. Delaware Riveer Shad Fisherman's Assoc. -----

We the members of the D.R.S.F.A. sponsor any Legislation and Bills that will eliminate the Mercury Emissions being produced by any and all companies in Penna. We just say, "What took you so long to act on this very important issue". Specially when you and the other government agencies knew of the hazards for quite some time.

Just think of all the lives of wildlife and human's that could have been saved or would have had better health to continue on in life. I can remember when I was a child and the only stove we had in the house was a combination stove to heat,cook, bake with and in the winter we would leave the top lids off to feel the heat on our faces,and without knowing we were taking in the mercury pollutants unknowingly..Back then 90 to 95% of the homes burned coal and emmitted pollutants and no one said a word of ill health to humans and the wildlife of Penna.or the country.. We say and tell you that it is about time that you take action., George Magaro Sr. Pres. DRSFA

956. Janet M. Whitmer -----

957. Margaret Pianelli -----

958. Ben & Ellen Caccavale -----

959. Gayle Shisler -----

960. Genevieve Garvin-Isaac -----

961. Milton Manes Kent State University -----

962. Dr. James Brancato -----

Current Comments List Continued

Re: Proposed Rulemaking: Mercury Emission Reduction Requirements for Electric Generating Units (#7-405)

Re: Mercury Emission Reduction Proposed Regulation

Dear Environmental Quality Board,

I am writing in strong support of the state moving forward with DEP's state-level proposal to cut mercury pollution from Pennsylvania's coal-fired power plants by 90 percent by 2015. Indeed, I believe we could move faster, but this is at least a start. Coal-fired power plants are the largest unregulated source of mercury pollution, which contaminates our waterways and eventually the fish that end up on our dinner plates. Medical experts say that even low levels of mercury exposure can affect the way kids learn, think, memorize and behave.

The technology exists to cut mercury pollution by 90 percent, and I support DEP's efforts to require these cuts at Pennsylvania power plants, without allowing for mercury pollution "credit" trading. With the Bush administration weakening our federal mercury protections, it is essential that state decision-makers take the lead in protecting our environment and public health by cutting this toxic pollution from Pennsylvania power plants.

Sincerely,

Dr. James Brancato
930 N. Broad St.
Allentown, PA 181043851
963. Virginia Alpaugh -----
Re: Mercury Emission Reduction Proposed Regulation

Dear Environmental Quality Board,

I am writing in support of the state moving forward with DEP's state-level proposal to cut mercury pollution from Pennsylvania's coal-fired power plants by 90 percent by 2015. Coal-fired power plants are the largest unregulated source of mercury pollution, which contaminates our waterways and eventually the fish that end up on our dinner plates. Medical experts say that even low levels of mercury exposure can affect the way kids learn, think, memorize and behave.

The technology exists to cut mercury pollution by 90 percent, and I support DEP's efforts to require these cuts at Pennsylvania power plants without allowing for mercury pollution "credit" trading. With the Bush administration weakening our federal mercury protections, it is essential that state decision-makers take the lead in protecting our environment and public health by cutting this toxic pollution from Pennsylvania power plants.

I am proud of the way Pennsylvania has begun responding to these environmental threats. The state is already reaping rewards in financial and employment terms. Our family is counting on your continued help to rid the environment of the toxins that are having such a terrible effect on ourselves and our children.

Sincerely,

Virginia Alpaugh
312 Roslyn Avenue
Glenside, PA 190383518
964. Joan Sage -----
Credit Trading 8-1
965. John Reedmon -----
Credit Trading 8-1
966. Ed Harkins -----
Credit Trading 8-1
967. Grant McDowell -----
Credit Trading 8-1
968. Joshua Affrime -----
Credit Trading 8-1
969. Eileen Szparagowski -----
Credit Trading 8-1

Current Comments List Continued

Re: Proposed Rulemaking: Mercury Emission Reduction Requirements for Electric Generating Units (#7-405)

970. Brian Revak -----

Credit Trading 8-1

971. Mark Rimple -----

Credit Trading 8-1

972. Konrad Howitz -----

Re: Mercury Emission Reduction Proposed Regulation

Dear Environmental Quality Board,

I am writing in support of the state moving forward with DEP's state-level proposal to cut mercury pollution from Pennsylvania's coal-fired power plants by 90 percent by 2015. Coal-fired power plants are the largest unregulated source of mercury pollution, which contaminates our waterways and eventually the fish that end up on our dinner plates. Medical experts say that even low levels of mercury exposure can affect the way kids learn, think, memorize and behave.

The technology exists to cut mercury pollution by 90 percent, and I support DEP's efforts to require these cuts at Pennsylvania power plants, without allowing for mercury pollution "credit" trading. With the Bush administration weakening our federal mercury protections, it is essential that state decision-makers take the lead in protecting our environment and public health by cutting this toxic pollution from Pennsylvania power plants.

A heavy-metal pollutant like mercury falls to earth close to where it is produced. This is why it makes sense to regulate its emission at the state level. It's also why it doesn't make sense to engage in the trading of mercury pollution credits. By not taking local emissions into account, the trading approach runs the risk of simply moving the problem to someone else's back yard.

I heard on the news today that Arnold Schwarzenegger and Tony Blair had agreed that California and the UK would cooperate on reducing greenhouse gases. Given the way the current Federal Government has abandoned its responsibility for environmental stewardship, state-level efforts, like Gov. Schwarzenegger's initiative, are all the more necessary. The same hold's true for the DEP's mercury proposal and I urge that it be implemented.

Sincerely

Konrad Howitz
1125 N. 25th St.
Allentown, PA 181042971

973. Theresa Cole -----

Credit Trading 8-1

974. Sherry McNeil -----

Dear Environmental Quality Board,

I am writing in support of the state moving forward with DEP's state-level proposal to cut mercury pollution from Pennsylvania's coal-fired power plants by 90 percent by 2015. Coal-fired power plants are the largest unregulated source of mercury pollution, which contaminates our waterways and eventually the fish that end up on our dinner plates. Medical experts say that even low levels of mercury exposure can affect the way kids learn, think, memorize and behave.

The technology exists to cut mercury pollution by 90 percent, and I support DEP's efforts to require these cuts at Pennsylvania power plants, without allowing for mercury pollution "credit" trading. With the Bush administration weakening our federal mercury protections, it is essential that state decision-makers take the lead in protecting our environment and public health by cutting this toxic pollution from Pennsylvania power plants.

Let me just add that I am chemcially sensitive and am too well aware of the effects of pollution on our bodies. I live a few miles from a power plant and am moving because of it. The technology is there to clean our air. Let's not wait for the Federal Government.

Sincerely,

Sherry McNeil
519 8th St

975. Rebecca Winant -----

Current Comments List Continued

Re: Proposed Rulemaking: Mercury Emission Reduction Requirements for Electric Generating Units (#7-405)

Re: Mercury Emission Reduction Proposed Regulation

Dear Environmental Quality Board,

You may receive many of the following message. Despite the repetition this is a heartfelt plea. Water is critical to human life - yours and mine, family and friends and everyone who makes up this planet.

I am writing in support of the state moving forward with DEP's state-level proposal to cut mercury pollution from Pennsylvania's coal-fired power plants by 90 percent by 2015. Coal-fired power plants are the largest unregulated source of mercury pollution, which contaminates our waterways and eventually the fish that end up on our dinner plates. Medical experts say that even low levels of mercury exposure can affect the way kids learn, think, memorize and behave.

The technology exists to cut mercury pollution by 90 percent, and I support DEP's efforts to require these cuts at Pennsylvania power plants, without allowing for mercury pollution "credit" trading. With the Bush administration weakening our federal mercury protections, it is essential that state decision-makers take the lead in protecting our environment and public health by cutting this toxic pollution from Pennsylvania power plants.

Sincerely,

- Rebecca Winant
833 Pheasant Run
West Chester, PA 103828143
- 976. Herbert Bawden -----
Credit Trading 8-1
- 977. Alice Kelley -----
Credit Trading 8-1
- 978. Devin Greco -----
Credit Trading 8-1
- 979. Devin Greco -----
Credit Trading 8-1
- 980. David Perelman -----

Dear Environmental Quality Board,

I am writing in support of the state moving forward with DEP's state-level proposal to cut mercury pollution from Pennsylvania's coal-fired power plants by 90 percent by 2015. Coal-fired power plants are the largest unregulated source of mercury pollution, which contaminates our waterways and eventually the fish that end up on our dinner plates. Medical experts say that even low levels of mercury exposure can affect the way kids learn, think, memorize and behave.

The technology exists to cut mercury pollution by 90 percent, and I support DEP's efforts to require these cuts at Pennsylvania power plants, without allowing for mercury pollution "credit" trading. With the Bush administration weakening our federal mercury protections, it is essential that state decision-makers take the lead in protecting our environment and public health by cutting this toxic pollution from Pennsylvania power plants.

So much for the canned message. I regret that I must add that to thyat my own sad story. I grew up a lot of years ago. The water was clean, the air was clear and a God fearing soul could believe that this planet was created for us. Sadly the money grubbers feel that their profits trump the needs of the people. They cry sad songs that they'll remove all of our jobs if we don't come to heel and grant their wishes. And that is exactly the game they play. First they say heel then jump then HIGHER. For the sake of the people of this state you must not give in to the money grubbers.

Thank You for your attention.

Sincerely,

- David Perelman
8214 Marion Rd
Elkins Park, PA 190272410
- 981. Lydia Tackett Lydstert@aol.com -----
Credit Trading 8-1

Current Comments List Continued

Re: Proposed Rulemaking: Mercury Emission Reduction Requirements for Electric Generating Units (#7-405)

- 982. A. Brennan -----
Credit Trading 8-1
- 983. Eric Miller -----
Credit Trading 8-1
- 984. George Heid -----
Credit Trading 8-1
- 985. Libby J. Goldstein -----
Credit Trading 8-1
- 986. Leslie Boardman -----
Credit Trading 8-1
- 987. Margaret Ann King -----
Credit Trading 8-1
- 988. Shari Paglia -----
Credit Trading 8-1
- 989. Kevin Ryan -----
Credit Trading 8-1
- 990. Stephanie Parke -----
Re: Mercury Emission Reduction Proposed Regulation

Dear Environmental Quality Board,

I am writing in support of the state moving forward with DEP's state-level proposal to cut dangerous mercury pollution from Pennsylvania's coal-fired power plants by 90 percent by 2015.

Since an affordable solution to mercury pollution is available, supporting this proposal is common sense. I can't understand how any legislator could fail to support it, since mercury pollution is a threat to the health of all.

Sincerely,

- Stephanie Parke
1101 N New St
West Chester PA 103803870
- 991. Sunshine Stadelman -----
Credit Trading 8-1
 - 992. Aaron Warren -----
Credit Trading 8-1
 - 993. Michelle Robinson -----
Credit Trading 8-1
 - 994. Peter Buffum -----
Credit Trading 8-1
 - 995. Peg Schiavo -----
Credit Trading 8-1
 - 996. Molly Schafer -----
Credit Trading 8-1
 - 997. Kathryn Harrison -----

Current Comments List Continued

Re: Proposed Rulemaking: Mercury Emission Reduction Requirements for Electric Generating Units (#7-405)

Dear Environmental Quality Board,

I am writing in support of the state moving forward with DEP's state-level proposal to cut mercury pollution from Pennsylvania's coal-fired power plants by 90 percent by 2015. Coal-fired power plants are the largest unregulated source of mercury pollution, which contaminates our waterways and eventually the fish that end up on our dinner plates. Medical experts say that even low levels of mercury exposure can affect the way kids learn, think, memorize and behave.

The technology exists to cut mercury pollution by 90 percent, and I support DEP's efforts to require these cuts at Pennsylvania power plants, without allowing for mercury pollution "credit" trading. With the Bush administration weakening our federal mercury protections, it is essential that state decision-makers take the lead in protecting our environment and public health by cutting this toxic pollution from Pennsylvania power plants.

Please keep me updated on how this legislation is coming along. It is truly imperative that the state takes pollution of its rivers into its own hands. Thank you for your hard work, and for not bowing to pressure from coal-fired power plant companies. This is an issue worth advocating for.

Sincerely,

Kat Harrison

Kathryn Harrison
6 Princess Ave
Marlton, NJ 080531315
998. Joan Franco -----
Credit Trading 8-1
999. Julia Kalloz -----
Credit Trading 8-1
1,000. Randi Thompson -----
Credit Trading 8-1
1,001. Colleen Affrime -----
Re: Mercury Emission Reduction Proposed Regulation

Dear Environmental Quality Board,

Please reduce the amount of Mercury in our waterways. Even low levels of mercury exposure can affect the way kids learn, think, memorize and behave.

The technology exists to cut mercury pollution by 90 percent, and I support DEP's efforts to require these cuts at Pennsylvania power plants, without allowing for mercury pollution "credits." It has not been shown that allowing credits works.

Sincerely,

~~Colleen Affrime~~
1,002. Lisa Simonetti -----
Credit Trading 8-1
1,003. Danielle Wright -----
Credit Trading 8-1
1,004. Mike Long -----

Current Comments List Continued

Re: Proposed Rulemaking: Mercury Emission Reduction Requirements for Electric Generating Units (#7-405)

Re: Mercury Emission Reduction Proposed Regulation

Dear Environmental Quality Board,

I am writing in support of the state moving forward with DEP's state-level proposal to cut mercury pollution from Pennsylvania's coal-fired power plants by 90 percent by 2015. Coal-fired power plants are the largest unregulated source of mercury pollution, which contaminates our waterways and eventually the fish that end up on our dinner plates. Medical experts say that even low levels of mercury exposure can affect the way kids learn, think, memorize and behave.

The technology exists to cut mercury pollution by 90 percent, and I support DEP's efforts to require these cuts at Pennsylvania power plants, without allowing for mercury pollution "credit" trading. With the Bush administration weakening our federal mercury protections, it is essential that state decision-makers take the lead in protecting our environment and public health by cutting this toxic pollution from Pennsylvania power plants.

Our families' health is the most important thing to me. Regardless of economic gain, without health you have nothing. As we rely heavily on coal in western PA to fuel our power plants let's take a leap to improve the air quality for all residents. It may pay off with huge dividends in healthcare related costs due to the pollution.

Sincerely,
Mike Long

Mike Long
861 Weldon St.
Latrobe, PA 156501610
1,005. Michael Sullivan -----
Credit Trading 8-1

1,006. Kristin Karkut -----
Credit Trading 8-1

1,007. David Hepler -----
Credit Trading 8-1

1,008. William Linkenheimer III -----
Credit Trading 8-1

1,009. James Rosario -----
Credit Trading 8-1

1,010. E. Wright -----
Credit Trading 8-1

1,011. Teri D'Ignazio -----
Credit Trading 8-1

1,012. Virginia Focht-New -----
Credit Trading 8-1

1,013. Sharon Bleiler -----
Dear Environmental Quality Board,

I am in favor of the DEP's proposal to cut mercury pollution over and above the weak standards provided by our federal government.

Our state must take the steps necessary to protect our residents if our federal government will not.

Sincerely,

Sharon Bleiler
207 Wiltshire Dr.
Chalfont, PA 189142247
1,014. William Huey -----
Credit Trading 8-1

Current Comments List Continued

Re: Proposed Rulemaking: Mercury Emission Reduction Requirements for Electric Generating Units (#7-405)

1,015. Beverly Gast -----

Dear Environmental Quality Board,

I am writing in support of the state moving forward with DEP's state-level proposal to cut mercury pollution from Pennsylvania's coal-fired power plants by 90 percent by 2015. Coal-fired power plants are the largest unregulated source of mercury pollution, which contaminates our waterways and eventually the fish that end up on our dinner plates. Medical experts say that even low levels of mercury exposure can affect the way kids learn, think, memorize and behave.

The technology exists to cut mercury pollution by 90 percent, and I support DEP's efforts to require these cuts at Pennsylvania power plants, without allowing for mercury pollution "credit" trading. With the Bush administration weakening our federal mercury protections, it is essential that state decision-makers take the lead in protecting our environment and public health by cutting this toxic pollution from Pennsylvania power plants.

Improved schools don't count if this substance impairs our kids ability to learn....my comment.

Sincerely,

Beverly Gast
212 Nevin lane
Ambler, PA 190022032

1,016. Peter Foltz -----

Re: Mercury Emission Reduction Proposed Regulation

Dear Environmental Quality Board,

I am writing in support of moving forward with DEP's state-level proposal to cut mercury pollution from Pennsylvania's coal-fired power plants by 90 percent by 2015. Coal-fired power plants are the largest unregulated source of mercury pollution, which contaminates our waterways and eventually the fish that end up on our dinner plates. Medical experts say that even low levels of mercury exposure can affect the way kids learn, think, memorize and behave.

The technology exists to cut mercury pollution by 90 percent, and I support DEP's efforts to require these cuts at Pennsylvania power plants, without allowing for mercury pollution "credit" trading.

We need to move ahead of the federal government in this matter because of its shameful and greed-based position on environmental stewardship.

Sincerely,

Peter Foltz
45 Laurel Ridge Road
Hersey PA 170332512

1,017. Stephanie Aguila -----

Credit Trading 8-1

1,018. Madelyn Vickers -----

Credit Trading 8-1

1,019. Leslie Cohen -----

Credit Trading 8-1

1,020. Gordon N. Fleming -----

Credit Trading 8-1

1,021. Heather Scott -----

Credit Trading 8-1

1,022. Susan Kreider, MS, RN -----

Current Comments List Continued

Re: Proposed Rulemaking: Mercury Emission Reduction Requirements for Electric Generating Units (#7-405)

Dear Environmental Quality Board,

I am writing in support of the state moving forward with DEP's state-level proposal to cut mercury pollution from Pennsylvania's coal-fired power plants by 90 percent by 2015. Coal-fired power plants are the largest unregulated source of mercury pollution, which contaminates our waterways and eventually the fish that end up on our dinner plates. Medical experts say that even low levels of mercury exposure can affect the way kids learn, think, memorize and behave.

I was the 'hypothetical' woman of childbearing years who sustained pure sensory peripheral neuron damage following vaccination with multiple shots containing thimerosal (49.6% mercury by weight.) At the time I had approx. 5-6 grams of metallic mercury in my mouth. Possibly I would not have had such severe outcome were I not a PA resident all but 3 of my 49 years. PA has the 2nd highest mercury emissions of any U.S. State.

The technology exists to cut mercury pollution by 90 percent, and I support DEP's efforts to require these cuts at Pennsylvania power plants, without allowing for mercury pollution "credit" trading. With the Bush administration weakening our federal mercury protections, it is essential that state decision-makers take the lead in protecting our environment and public health by cutting this toxic pollution from Pennsylvania power plants.

Sincerely,

Susan Kreider, MS, RN
169 W. Queen Lane
Philadelphia, PA 191446274
1,023. James Madson -----
Credit Trading 8-1
1,024. Charles Miller -----
Credit Trading 8-1
1,025. Maggie Chapman -----
Credit Trading 8-1
1,026. Sally Hammerman -----
Credit Trading 8-1
1,027. Kris O'Brien -----
Credit Trading 8-1
1,028. Bradley Hochberg -----
Credit Trading 8-1
1,029. Bryan Murphy -----
Re: Mercury Emission Reduction Proposed Regulation

Dear Environmental Quality Board,

I support the DEP's proposal for a 90% cut in mercury pollution from PA coal-power plants. We're already being measurably impacted mercury fallout, and I believe the current energy climate makes substantive measures -both for efficiency and for health considerations - more urgent than ever before. We all know the cost of energy is going up, and half-measures can rob us of the benefits but WON'T save us any pain.

Current technology can cut our mercury pollution by 90% - and mercury pollution "credit" trading ducks the issue shamefully.

Sincerely,

Bryan Murphy
569 Colonial Ave
Souderton PA 189647025
1,030. Mickey Bannon -----
Credit Trading 8-1
1,031. Peter Commons -----
Credit Trading 8-1

Current Comments List Continued

Re: Proposed Rulemaking: Mercury Emission Reduction Requirements for Electric Generating Units (#7-405)

1,032. Tim Baker -----

Dear Environmental Quality Board,

We need your wise leadership. Please support the DEP's state-level proposal to cut mercury pollution from Pennsylvania's coal-fired power plants by 90 percent by 2015.

We're talking about our future here. As you know, coal-fired power plants are the largest unregulated source of mercury pollution. This is not acceptable in this day and age. Medical experts say that even low levels of mercury exposure can affect the way kids learn, think, memorize and behave.

With the Bush administration weakening our federal mercury protections, it is essential that state decision-makers take the lead in protecting our environment and public health by cutting this toxic pollution from Pennsylvania power plants. Yes, it's a problem here in PA. We can make all kinds of excuses about it blowing in from elsewhere, but look, somebody has got to have the guts to start, to lead.

Please act with the best interests of the most vulnerable at heart. They are the future.

Sincerely,

Tim Baker
3110 E Market St
York PA 174022512

1,033. Daniel Volz -----

Credit Trading 8-1

1,034. Michael Greenle -----

Credit Trading 8-1

1,035. Charles Jacobs -----

Current Comments List Continued

Re: Proposed Rulemaking: Mercury Emission Reduction Requirements for Electric Generating Units (#7-405)

Re: Mercury Emission Reduction Proposed Regulation

Dear Environmental Quality Board,

Sure, I've lived a fairly long life, since I'm 65, but I hope to live much longer. And, do you know what really worries me? The fact that, living above a railroad yard and living in a house with a coal-fired furnace, I was exposed to mercury and asbestos throughout the 1940s and '50s, and I've no idea what damage that exposure may have already wrought!

That's why I am writing in support of the state moving forward with DEP's state-level proposal to cut mercury pollution from Pennsylvania's coal-fired power plants by 90 percent by 2015. If there's a way to minimize future damage, I am all for it!

As is known, coal-fired power plants are the largest unregulated source of mercury pollution, which contaminates our waterways and eventually the fish that end up on our dinner plates.

Medical experts say that even low levels of mercury exposure can affect the way kids learn, think, memorize and behave. With 30 years of secondary-level teaching behind me, I've no doubt that some of the students I taught were victims of mercury poisoning.

Further, when I was a child, I unwittingly played with the mercury from one or another broken thermometers that I *came across* in search of something to play with. Worse, as a high school junior, I was allowed to handle mercury in our make-do chemistry lab!

This is no longer the 1940s nor '50s, and it's time to wake up! At this very moment, the technology exists to cut mercury pollution by 90 percent. And, expense be damned, you should act on implementing such technology!

I support DEP's efforts to require these cuts at Pennsylvania power plants, without allowing for mercury pollution "credit" trading. With the Bush administration weakening our federal mercury protections, it is essential that state decision-makers take the lead in protecting our environment and public health by cutting this toxic pollution from Pennsylvania power plants.

Sincerely,

Charles Jacobs
696 Fruithurst Dr.

1,036. Joel Platt -----

Credit Trading 8-1

1,037. Jon-Paul Jaworski -----

Re: Mercury Emission Reduction Proposed Regulation

Dear Environmental Quality Board,

I am writing in support of the state moving forward with DEP's state-level proposal to cut mercury pollution from Pennsylvania's coal-fired power plants by 90 percent by 2015. Coal-fired power plants are not just sources of pollution, they are sources of community poison. Even low levels of mercury exposure can affect our every day lives and the development of our families.

The technology exists to cut mercury pollution by 90 percent, and I support DEP's efforts to require these cuts at Pennsylvania power plants, without allowing for mercury pollution "credit" trading. It is essential that state decision-makers take the lead in protecting our environment and public health.

Sincerely,

Jon-Paul Jaworski
400 Ford St
Conshohocken, PA 194282922

1,038. Jay Eaton -----

Credit Trading 8-1

1,039. Diana Hulboy -----

Credit Trading 8-1

Current Comments List Continued

Re: Proposed Rulemaking: Mercury Emission Reduction Requirements for Electric Generating Units (#7-405)

1,040. Robin Schaefer -----

Dear Environmental Quality Board,

I am writing in support of the state moving forward with DEP's state-level proposal to cut mercury pollution from Pennsylvania's coal-fired power plants by 90 percent by 2015. Coal-fired power plants are the largest unregulated source of mercury pollution, which contaminates our waterways and eventually the fish that end up on our dinner plates. Medical experts say that even low levels of mercury exposure can affect the way kids learn, think, memorize and behave.

The technology exists to cut mercury pollution by 90 percent, and I support DEP's efforts to require these cuts at Pennsylvania power plants, without allowing for mercury pollution "credit" trading. With the Bush administration weakening our federal mercury protections, it is essential that state decision-makers take the lead in protecting our environment and public health by cutting this toxic pollution from Pennsylvania power plants.

Without making Pennsylvania a place to hunt, fish or visit within clean environments, why would anyone "visit PA" as our logo states? Pennsylvanians voted for making our state greener, and this is just one step to get there. We need to go above and beyond the backwards thinking of our current federal administration.

Sincerely,

Robin Schaefer
12158 Highway 198
Guys Mills, PA 163272548

1,041. Jodi Phillips -----
Credit Trading 8-1

1,042. James McVoy -----
Credit Trading 8-1

1,043. John Marchioni -----
Credit Trading 8-1

1,044. Ed Kwedar -----
Credit Trading 8-1

1,045. Marguerite Carver -----
Credit Trading 8-1

1,046. Carol Meyers -----
Credit Trading 8-1

1,047. Kerry Gidley -----
Credit Trading 8-1

1,048. Whitney Cantrell -----
Credit Trading 8-1

1,049. Christopher Thawley -----
Dear Environmental Quality Board,

I am writing in support of the state moving forward with DEP's state-level proposal to cut mercury pollution from Pennsylvania's coal-fired power plants by 90 percent by 2015. Coal-fired power plants are the largest unregulated source of mercury pollution, which contaminates our waterways and eventually the fish that end up on our dinner plates. Medical experts say that even low levels of mercury exposure can affect the way kids learn, think, memorize and behave as well as pregnant moms.

The technology exists to cut mercury pollution by 90 percent, and I support DEP's efforts to require these cuts at Pennsylvania power plants, without allowing for mercury pollution "credit" trading. With the Bush administration weakening our federal mercury protections, it is essential that state decision-makers take the lead in protecting our environment and public health by cutting this toxic pollution from Pennsylvania power plants.

Sincerely,

Christopher Thawley
512 S Old Middletown Rd
Media, PA 190634910

Current Comments List Continued

Re: Proposed Rulemaking: Mercury Emission Reduction Requirements for Electric Generating Units (#7-405)

1,050. Margaret Motheral -----

Dear Environmental Quality Board,

I am writing in support of the state moving forward with DEP's state-level proposal to cut mercury pollution from Pennsylvania's coal-fired power plants by 90 percent by 2015. Coal-fired power plants are the largest unregulated source of mercury pollution, which contaminates our waterways and eventually the fish that end up on our dinner plates. Medical experts say that even low levels of mercury exposure can affect the way kids learn, think, memorize and behave.

The technology exists to cut mercury pollution by 90 percent, and I support DEP's efforts to require these cuts at Pennsylvania power plants, without allowing for mercury pollution "credit" trading. With the Bush administration weakening our federal mercury protections, it is essential that state decision-makers take the lead in protecting our environment and public health by cutting this toxic pollution from Pennsylvania power plants.

My own health has personally been harmed by environmental pollutants and I cannot stress how important it is to make the safety and health of our planet a good place for our child and grandchildren.

Sincerely,

Margaret Motheral

Margaret Motheral
259 East Sydney Street
Philadelphia, PA 191191836

1,051. John Schussler -----

Dear Environmental Quality Board,

I am writing in support of the state moving forward with DEP's state-level proposal to cut mercury pollution from Pennsylvania's coal-fired power plants by 90 percent by 2015. Coal-fired power plants are the largest unregulated source of mercury pollution, which contaminates our waterways and eventually the fish that end up on our dinner plates. Medical experts say that even low levels of mercury exposure can affect the way kids learn, think, memorize and behave.

The technology exists to cut mercury pollution by 90 percent, and I support DEP's efforts to require these cuts at Pennsylvania power plants, without allowing for mercury pollution "credit" trading.

We don't want Pennsylvania polluters to pay for their right to pollute - we want them to stop polluting in Pennsylvania!

With the Bush administration weakening our federal mercury protections, it is essential that state decision-makers take the lead in protecting our environment and public health by cutting this toxic pollution from Pennsylvania power plants.

Sincerely,

John Schussler
115 Gulph Hills Rd.
Wayne PA 190874615

1,052. Brett Taubman -----

Credit Trading 8-1

1,053. Martin Jacobs -----

Current Comments List Continued

Re: Proposed Rulemaking: Mercury Emission Reduction Requirements for Electric Generating Units (#7-405)

Dear Environmental Quality Board,

As a chemist with a graduate degree, I am writing in support of the state moving forward with DEP's state-level proposal to cut mercury pollution from Pennsylvania's coal-fired power plants by 90 percent by 2015. Coal-fired power plants are the largest unregulated source of mercury pollution, which contaminates our waterways and eventually the fish that end up on our dinner plates. Medical experts say that even low levels of mercury exposure can affect the way kids learn, think, memorize and behave.

The technology exists to cut mercury pollution by 90 percent, and I support DEP's efforts to require these cuts at Pennsylvania power plants, without allowing for mercury pollution "credit" trading. With the federal government weakening our federal mercury protections, it is essential that state decision-makers take the lead in protecting our environment and public health by cutting this toxic pollution from Pennsylvania power plants.

Sincerely,

Martin Jacobs
208 Fort Washington Ave
Fort Washington, PA 190341436
1,054. Victoria Howitz -----

Dear Environmental Quality Board,

Please consider making Pennsylvania a model of environmental protection, instead of the laughing stock it usually is!

I am writing in support of the state moving forward with DEP's state-level proposal to cut mercury pollution from Pennsylvania's coal-fired power plants by 90 percent by 2015. Coal-fired power plants are the largest unregulated source of mercury pollution, which contaminates our waterways and eventually the fish that end up on our dinner plates. Medical experts say that even low levels of mercury exposure can affect the way kids learn, think, memorize and behave.

The technology exists to cut mercury pollution by 90 percent, and I support DEP's efforts to require these cuts at Pennsylvania power plants, without allowing for mercury pollution "credit" trading. With the Bush administration weakening our federal mercury protections, it is essential that state decision-makers take the lead in protecting our environment and public health by cutting this toxic pollution from Pennsylvania power plants.

Sincerely,

Victoria Howitz
1125 N 25th St
Allentown, PA 181042971
1,055. Mia Bosna -----

Dear EQ Board,

I am writing to support the state with the DEP's state-level proposal to cut mercury pollution from Pennsylvania's coal-fired power plants.

Old technology + old problem-solving techniques = ecological disasters.

Please help us to step into the future in ways that are for the good of all living creatures.

Sincerely,

Mia Bosna

Mia Bosna
345 Jug Hollow Road
Phoenixville PA 194602734
1,056. Gregory Pais -----

Credit Trading 8-1

1,057. Stephanie O'Neill McKenna -----
Credit Trading 8-1

Current Comments List Continued

Re: Proposed Rulemaking: Mercury Emission Reduction Requirements for Electric Generating Units (#7-405)

- 1,058. Valerie Daniel -----
Credit Trading 8-1
- 1,059. Patrick Edward Murray -----
Credit Trading 8-1
- 1,060. David Kanthor -----
Credit Trading 8-1
- 1,061. Christopher Hons -----
Credit Trading 8-1
- 1,062. Christine Ware -----
Credit Trading 8-1
- 1,063. Melinda Zipin -----
Re: Mercury Emission Reduction Proposed Regulation

Dear Environmental Quality Board,

I want you to know that I fully support Governor Rendell's proposal to reduce mercury pollution from coal-related plants by 90%. This will make our state a safer place for kids (and keep them more intelligent and capable!)

Thank you for protecting PA!

I understand that my electric bill might go up \$1 per month. That is OK by me if it gets the mercury out of our air and water.

To attract and retain the best and brightest, we have to make PA the most desirable place to live. The 90% reduction in mercury is part of that. Hopefully the Board will take multiple steps in this regard.

Thank you,

Melinda Zipin
3120 Midvale Avenue

- 1,064. Liz Dudley -----
Credit Trading 8-1
- 1,065. Megan Groff -----
Credit Trading 8-1
- 1,066. Lou Metzger -----
Credit Trading 8-1
- 1,067. Sam Walker -----
Credit Trading 8-1
- 1,068. Dennis Winters -----
Credit Trading 8-1
- 1,069. Michael Harvey -----
Credit Trading 8-1
- 1,070. Edmund Good -----
Credit Trading 8-1
- 1,071. Audrey Hois -----
Credit Trading 8-1
- 1,072. Sarah Rocker -----
Credit Trading 8-1
- 1,073. Stanley Cutler -----

Current Comments List Continued

Re: Proposed Rulemaking: Mercury Emission Reduction Requirements for Electric Generating Units (#7-405)

Re: Mercury Emission Reduction Proposed Regulation

Dear Environmental Quality Board,

Reducing mercury pollution is good policy that you should endorse. The Bush administration's weakening of federal mercury protections only benefits the shareholders in power companies. Ordinary citizens, like me, don't mind paying a bit more for energy if it means cleaner air and healthier children. Please take the lead in protecting our environment and public health by cutting toxic, heavy metal pollutants from Pennsylvania power plants.

Sincerely,

Stanley Cutler
230 W Highland Ave
Philadelphia, PA 191183820
1,074. Michelle LaBreche -----
Credit Trading 8-1

1,075. Erika Martin -----
Credit Trading 8-1

1,076. Mary Ellen Kendgia -----

Re: Mercury Emission Reduction Proposed Regulation

Dear Environmental Quality Board,

I am writing in support of the state moving forward with DEP's state-level proposal to cut mercury pollution from Pennsylvania's coal-fired power plants by 90 percent by 2015. Coal-fired power plants are the largest unregulated source of mercury pollution, which contaminates our waterways and eventually the fish that end up on our dinner plates. Medical experts say that even low levels of mercury exposure can affect the way kids learn, think, memorize and behave.

The technology exists to cut mercury pollution by 90 percent, and I support DEP's efforts to require these cuts at Pennsylvania power plants, without allowing for mercury pollution "credit" trading. With the Bush administration weakening our federal mercury protections, it is essential that state decision-makers take the lead in protecting our environment and public health by cutting this toxic pollution from Pennsylvania power plants.

My husband and I have always gone to Apollo PA and near Smicksburg on Saturday trips to get fruit and vegetables from the farmers up there. On our trips we can see the power plant and all the "clouds" coming from it. Imagine our surprise to find out that it is in the worst category of the power plants in the US. Here I am buying from organic farms from up there and the power plant is giving off toxins at a high level. I feel for farmers because they can't get a break. You and only you has the power to either help the people who trusted you enough to vote for you or for those who might be lining your pockets. It's the moral thing to do and I'm asking you to help us who can only trust you to do the right thing.

Sincerely, Mary Ellen Kendgia

mary Ellen kendgia
10907 Frankstown Road
pittsburgh, PA 152353044
1,077. John Smith -----
Credit Trading 8-1
1,078. John Smith -----
Credit Trading 8-1
1,079. Clifford Hritz -----
Credit Trading 8-1
1,080. Lynda Maldonado -----
Credit Trading 8-1
1,081. Anne Moore -----

Current Comments List Continued

Re: Proposed Rulemaking: Mercury Emission Reduction Requirements for Electric Generating Units (#7-405)

Re: Mercury Emission Reduction Proposed Regulation

Dear Environmental Quality Board,

I am writing in support of the state moving forward with DEP's state-level proposal to cut mercury pollution from Pennsylvania's coal-fired power plants by 90 percent by 2015. Coal-fired power plants are the largest unregulated source of mercury pollution, which contaminates our waterways and eventually the fish that end up on our dinner plates. Medical experts say that even low levels of mercury exposure can affect the way kids learn, think, memorize and behave.

The technology exists to cut mercury pollution by 90 percent, and I support DEP's efforts to require these cuts at Pennsylvania power plants, without allowing for mercury pollution "credit" trading.

It is essential that state decision-makers take the lead in protecting our environment and public health by cutting this toxic pollution from Pennsylvania power plants. The federal weakening of such protection is not good enough for Pennsylvanians' well-being, particularly our children whose lives would be negatively affected.

Sincerely,

Anne Moore

400 N. Walnut Street

West Chester PA 193802434

1,082. Barry Tepperman -----
Credit Trading 8-1

1,083. Kristin Roehl -----
Credit Trading 8-1

1,084. Diana Brueckner -----
Credit Trading 8-1

1,085. Katherine Straub -----
Credit Trading 8-1

1,086. Ayla Pamukcu -----
Credit Trading 8-1

1,087. John Skibinski -----
Credit Trading 8-1

1,088. Pamela Pike -----
Credit Trading 8-1

1,089. Claire Satlof -----
Credit Trading 8-1

1,090. Brian McCullough -----
Credit Trading 8-1

1,091. Joseph Bridy -----

Current Comments List Continued

Re: Proposed Rulemaking: Mercury Emission Reduction Requirements for Electric Generating Units (#7-405)

Dear Environmental Quality Board,

As a concerned and proactive parent, I am writing in support of the state moving forward with DEP's state-level proposal to cut mercury pollution from Pennsylvania's coal-fired power plants by 90 percent by 2015. Coal-fired power plants are the largest unregulated source of mercury pollution, which contaminates our waterways and eventually the fish that end up on our dinner plates. Medical experts say that even low levels of mercury exposure can affect the way kids learn, think, memorize and behave.

The technology exists to cut mercury pollution by 90 percent, and I support DEP's efforts to require these cuts at Pennsylvania power plants, without allowing for mercury pollution "credit" trading. With the Bush administration weakening our federal mercury protections, it is essential that state decision-makers take the lead in protecting our environment and public health by cutting this toxic pollution from Pennsylvania power plants.

Sincerely,

Joseph Bridy
709 Morris St.
Phila. PA 191481226
1,092. Virginia Schoenman -----
Credit Trading 8-1
1,093. Dale Dye -----
Credit Trading 8-1
1,094. Rae O'Haih -----
Credit Trading 8-1
1,095. Phyllis Chapell -----
Credit Trading 8-1
1,096. Paul Thompson -----
Credit Trading 8-1
1,097. Richard Himes -----
Credit Trading 8-1
1,098. Linda Shein -----
Re: Mercury Emission Reduction Proposed Regulation

Dear Environmental Quality Board,

I am writing in support of the state moving forward with DEP's state-level proposal to cut mercury pollution from Pennsylvania's coal-fired power plants by 90 percent by 2015.(I wish it would be sooner!!!!!!) Coal-fired power plants are the largest unregulated source of mercury pollution, which contaminates our waterways and eventually the fish that end up on our dinner plates. Medical experts say that even low levels of mercury exposure can affect the way kids learn, think, memorize and behave. It is outrageous that there is any debate as to whether this should go forward.

The technology exists to cut mercury pollution by 90 percent, and I support DEP's efforts to require these cuts at Pennsylvania power plants, without allowing for mercury pollution "credit" trading. With the Bush administration weakening our federal mercury protections, it is essential that state decision-makers take the lead in protecting our environment and public health by cutting this toxic pollution from Pennsylvania power plants.

While I have my MBA from Harvard Business School and understand all too well the corporate interests, there is a clear line in the sand where corporate interests stop and reason and logic prevail. In this instance, there are clearly steps to take to control the emissions of this most toxic substance, and the rules should be enforced with no loopholes and no questions asked.

Sincerely,

Linda Shein
330 Linden Lane
Merion PA 19066

Current Comments List Continued

Re: Proposed Rulemaking: Mercury Emission Reduction Requirements for Electric Generating Units (#7-405)

1,099. Lynne Starrett -----

Credit Trading 8-1

1,100. Cheri Wiseman -----

Credit Trading 8-1

1,101. Sarah Jewett -----

Re: Mercury Emission Reduction Proposed Regulation

Dear Environmental Quality Board,

I am writing in support of the state moving forward with DEP's state-level proposal to cut mercury pollution from Pennsylvania's coal-fired power plants by 90 percent by 2015. Coal-fired power plants are the largest unregulated source of mercury pollution, which contaminates our waterways and eventually the fish that end up on our dinner plates. Medical experts say that even low levels of mercury exposure can affect the way kids learn, think, memorize and behave.

The technology exists to cut mercury pollution by 90 percent, and I support DEP's efforts to require these cuts at Pennsylvania power plants, without allowing for mercury pollution "credit" trading. With the Bush administration weakening our federal mercury protections, it is essential that state decision-makers take the lead in protecting our environment and public health by cutting this toxic pollution from Pennsylvania power plants.

We need to start taking action with our environmental protection before it's too late.

Sincerely,

Sarah Jewett

606 Meadowvale Lane

Media, PA 190635016

1,102. Christina Gubicza -----

Credit Trading 8-1

1,103. John Duda -----

Dear Environmental Quality Board,

I am writing in support of the state moving forward with DEP's state-level proposal to cut mercury pollution from Pennsylvania's coal-fired power plants by 90 percent by 2015. Haven't we lived with short-sited, industry-supporting legislative policies concerning the environment long enough. Yes, it will cost us all more in the long run to clean up the environment, but isn't it worth it for the future and our kids' future? Coal-fired power plants are the largest unregulated source of mercury pollution, which contaminates our waterways and eventually the fish that end up on our dinner plates. Medical experts say that even low levels of mercury exposure can affect the way kids learn, think, memorize and behave.

The technology exists to cut mercury pollution by 90 percent, and I support DEP's efforts to require these cuts at Pennsylvania power plants, without allowing for mercury pollution "credit" trading. With the Bush administration taking the scientifically unjustified and blatantly pro-corporate stance of weakening our federal mercury protections, it is essential that state decision-makers take the lead in protecting our environment and public health by cutting this toxic pollution from Pennsylvania power plants. Please help me to be able to one day look my kids and grandkids in the eyes and tell them that our generation did what we could to save the environment for them.

Sincerely,

John Duda

1121 Wisteria Drive

Malvern, PA 193559735

1,104. Jean Wright -----

Credit Trading 8-1

1,105. Michael Baker -----

Current Comments List Continued

Re: Proposed Rulemaking: Mercury Emission Reduction Requirements for Electric Generating Units (#7-405)

Dear Environmental Quality Board,

I am writing in support of the state moving forward with DEP's state-level proposal to cut mercury pollution from Pennsylvania's coal-fired power plants by 90 percent by 2015. Coal-fired power plants are the largest unregulated source of mercury pollution, which contaminates our waterways and eventually the fish that end up on our dinner plates. Medical experts say that even low levels of mercury exposure can affect the way kids learn, think, memorize and behave.

The technology exists to cut mercury pollution by 90 percent, and I support DEP's efforts to require these cuts at Pennsylvania power plants, without allowing for mercury pollution "credit" trading. With the Bush administration weakening our federal mercury protections, it is essential that state decision-makers take the lead in protecting our environment and public health by cutting this toxic pollution from Pennsylvania power plants.

We don't get a "bill" for the healthcare costs of pollution, but those costs are very real. This is also an issue more likely to affect lower income people who are more likely to fish in our rivers as a way to add protein to their diet.

Sincerely,

Michael Baker
5547 Raleigh St
Pittsburgh, PA 152171534
1,106. Sandra Fulton -----
Re: Mercury Emission Reduction Proposed Regulation

Dear Environmental Quality Board:

I am begging you to maintain strong rules in Pennsylvania against mercury poisoning from coal-burning smokestacks.

My dear uncle, a Clarion County coal miner from deep mining through the era of strip-mining, died at an earlier age than anyone else in our family--who included my great-uncle and great-aunt, who lived to 103 and 99. His death was not from black lung or lung cancer but from mysterious complications, with symptoms that sounded suspiciously like mercury poisoning.

My uncle had a family to support. When on strike or laid off--like many other miners--he went to Pittsburgh to work in the steel mills. Even if raw Bituminous coal contaminated him only minimally with mercury, Pittsburgh's polluted air in the 1940s and 50s certainly did.

Uncle Paul died long ago but our family still grieves for him. You must make sure that no other families experience such needless loss. If we maintain Gov. Rendell's high mercury emission control standards not only will we protect future generation, but we will bring new, nonpolluting industries to our state. Don't give in to the benighted lobbyists!

Sincerely,

Sandra Fulton
121 W. Mt. Pleasant Ave.
Pittsburgh, PA 151102412
1,107. Zoe Warner -----
Credit Trading 8-1
1,108. Joel Hecker -----

Current Comments List Continued

Re: Proposed Rulemaking: Mercury Emission Reduction Requirements for Electric Generating Units (#7-405)

Dear Environmental Quality Board,

I am writing in support of the state moving forward with DEP's state-level proposal to cut mercury pollution from Pennsylvania's coal-fired power plants by 90 percent by 2015. Coal-fired power plants are the largest unregulated source of mercury pollution, which contaminates our waterways and eventually the fish that end up on our dinner plates. Medical experts say that even low levels of mercury exposure can affect the way kids learn, think, memorize and behave.

The technology exists to cut mercury pollution by 90 percent, and I support DEP's efforts to require these cuts at Pennsylvania power plants, without allowing for mercury pollution "credit" trading. With the Bush administration weakening our federal mercury protections, it is essential that state decision-makers take the lead in protecting our environment and public health by cutting this toxic pollution from Pennsylvania power plants.

As the father of two young children and as a religious person concerned about our stewardship of this planet, this issue is of particular interest to me.

Sincerely,

Joel Hecker
372 Bala Ave
Bala Cynwyd, PA 190042833

1,109. Lisa Rosenkoetter -----
Credit Trading 8-1

1,110. Grace Steele -----
Credit Trading 8-1

1,111. Sidney Goldstein -----
Credit Trading 8-1

1,112. Michelle Clark -----
Credit Trading 8-1

1,113. Douglas Claney -----
Credit Trading 8-1

1,114. Todd Miller -----
Credit Trading 8-1

1,115. Hilary Martin -----
Re: Mercury Emission Reduction Proposed Regulation

Dear Environmental Quality Board,

I am writing to support the DEP's state-level proposal to cut mercury pollution from Pennsylvania's coal-fired power plants by 90 percent by 2015 (why not sooner?!). Coal-fired power plants are the largest unregulated source of mercury pollution, which contaminates our waterways, and eventually the fish population, including those that we eat! Medical experts agree that even low levels of mercury exposure can affect the way kids learn, think, memorize and behave.

Since the technology exists to cut mercury pollution by 90 percent, I support DEP's efforts to require these cuts at Pennsylvania power plants, with ABSOLUTELY NO mercury pollution "credit" trading permitted. Considering the very great, proven threat to public health, it is essential that state decision-makers take the lead in protecting us and our environment by cutting this toxic pollution from Pennsylvania power plants. Now.

Sincerely,

Hilary Martin
112 Glenn Rd
Ardmore, PA 190032510
1,116. Tammy Crouthamel -----

Current Comments List Continued

Re: Proposed Rulemaking: Mercury Emission Reduction Requirements for Electric Generating Units (#7-405)

Dear Environmental Quality Board,

I am writing as a concerned parent in support of the state moving forward with DEP's state-level proposal to cut mercury pollution from Pennsylvania's coal-fired power plants by 90 percent by 2015. Coal-fired power plants are the largest unregulated source of mercury pollution, which contaminates our waterways and eventually the fish that end up on our dinner plates. Medical experts say that even low levels of mercury exposure can affect the way kids learn, think, memorize and behave.

The technology exists to cut mercury pollution by 90 percent, and I support DEP's efforts to require these cuts at Pennsylvania power plants, without allowing for mercury pollution "credit" trading. With the Bush administration weakening our federal mercury protections, it is essential that state decision-makers take the lead in protecting our environment and public health by cutting this toxic pollution from Pennsylvania power plants.

Sincerely,

Tammy Crouthamel
139 PEARCE RD
MARS, PA 16046-3809

1,117. Eve Edwards -----

Dear Environmental Quality Board,

I am writing in support of the state moving forward with DEP's state-level proposal to cut mercury pollution from Pennsylvania's coal-fired power plants by 90 percent by 2015. Coal-fired power plants are the largest unregulated source of mercury pollution, which contaminates our waterways and eventually the fish that end up on our dinner plates. Medical experts say that even low levels of mercury exposure can affect the way kids learn, think, memorize and behave. (To this point, my autistic spectrum son's urine was recently tested for mercury excretion. He tested at TWICE the normal expected level excreted.)

The technology exists to cut mercury pollution by 90 percent, and I support DEP's efforts to require these cuts at Pennsylvania power plants, without allowing for mercury pollution "credit" trading. With the Bush administration weakening our federal mercury protections, it is essential that state decision-makers take the lead in protecting our environment and public health by cutting this toxic pollution from Pennsylvania power plants.

Sincerely,

Eve Edwards
15 Delphi Rd
Schwenksville, PA 194731713

1,118. Olaf Saykiewicz -----

Credit Trading 8-1

1,119. John Boyle -----

Credit Trading 8-1

1,120. Daniel Burston -----

Credit Trading 8-1

1,121. John Lentz -----

Credit Trading 8-1

1,122. Allison Cohen -----

Credit Trading 8-1

1,123. Dave Sobal -----

Current Comments List Continued

Re: Proposed Rulemaking: Mercury Emission Reduction Requirements for Electric Generating Units (#7-405)

Re: Mercury Emission Reduction Proposed Regulation

Dear Environmental Quality Board,

I am writing in support of the state moving forward with DEP's state-level proposal to cut mercury pollution from Pennsylvania's coal-fired power plants by 90 percent by 2015. Coal-fired power plants are the largest unregulated source of mercury pollution, which contaminates our waterways and eventually the fish that end up on our dinner plates. Medical experts say that even low levels of mercury exposure can affect the way kids learn, think, memorize and behave.

The technology exists to cut mercury pollution by 90 percent, and I support DEP's efforts to require these cuts at Pennsylvania power plants, without allowing for mercury pollution "credit" trading. With the Bush administration weakening our federal mercury protections, it is essential that state decision-makers take the lead in protecting our environment and public health by cutting this toxic pollution from Pennsylvania power plants.

This action will not only have a positive effect on our near future, but on generations to come. This is a chance to leave a positive legacy and set an example for environmental reform. Sincerely,

Dave Sobal
5663 Marlborough Rd
Pittsburgh, PA 152171404

1,124. Priscilla Mattison -----

Dear Environmental Quality Board,

As a Pennsylvanian concerned about our environment and public health, I support the state moving forward with DEP's state-level proposal to cut mercury pollution from Pennsylvania's coal-fired power plants by 90 percent by 2015.

Coal-fired power plants are the largest unregulated source of mercury pollution, which contaminates our waterways and eventually the fish that end up on our dinner plates. Medical experts say that even low levels of mercury exposure can affect the way kids learn, think, memorize and behave.

The technology exists to cut mercury pollution by 90 percent. I support DEP's efforts to require these cuts at Pennsylvania power plants, without allowing for mercury pollution "credit" trading.

With the Bush administration weakening our federal mercury protections, it is essential that state decision-makers take the lead in protecting our environment and public health by cutting this toxic pollution from Pennsylvania power plants.

Please be proactive for human and environmental health. Thank you for your attention.

Sincerely,

Priscilla Mattison
351 Hidden River Rd

1,125. Ruth Genter -----

Credit Trading 8-1

1,126. Rhonda Wexler -----

Credit Trading 8-1

1,127. KC Carney -----

Credit Trading 8-1

1,128. Tennyson Wellman -----

Credit Trading 8-1

1,129. Erik Scheuermann -----

Credit Trading 8-1

1,130. Manish Sharma -----

Credit Trading 8-1

Current Comments List Continued

Re: Proposed Rulemaking: Mercury Emission Reduction Requirements for Electric Generating Units (#7-405)

- 1,131. Jere Martin -----
Credit Trading 8-1
- 1,132. David Shoemaker -----
Credit Trading 8-1
- 1,133. Kathy Johnston-Keane -----
Re: Mercury Emission Reduction Proposed Regulation

Dear Environmental Quality Board,

I am writing in support of the DEP's proposal to cut mercury pollution from Pennsylvania's coal-fired power plants by 90 percent by 2015. These plants are the largest unregulated source of mercury pollution. These plants contaminate our waterways and eventually the fish that we eat. As a result of this tainted fish, our children may experience learning difficulties and behavioral problems.

We know how to cut mercury pollution by 90 percent. When the technology exists to improve our health, there is no excuse for lack of action on this matter. I support DEP's efforts to require these cuts at Pennsylvania power plants. This is a true effort to cleanup our environment and halts the mercury pollution problem instead of allowing some plants to continue polluting with the use of "credit" trading. This "credit" is no solution, but rather an avoidance of right action.

With the Bush administration consistantly weakening our federal environmental protections (including those protectiong us from mercury poisoning), it is essential that state decision-makers take the lead in protecting the environment and the health of their loved ones and fellow citizens. This of particular importance in Pennsylvania where the levels of this toxic pollution are so dangerously high.

Sincerely,

Kathy Johnston-Keane
1551 Old Beulah Rd
Pittsbureh. PA 152355019

- 1,134. Donalee McElrath -----
Credit Trading 8-1
- 1,135. Sherron Thiry -----
Credit Trading 8-1
- 1,136. Sue Bumbaugh -----
Credit Trading 8-1
- 1,137. Tracy L. Finegan -----
Credit Trading 8-1
- 1,138. Karen DiOrio -----
Credit Trading 8-1
- 1,139. J.J. Van Name -----
Credit Trading 8-1
- 1,140. Alison Greifenstein -----
Credit Trading 8-1
- 1,141. Kimberley Drexler -----
Credit Trading 8-1
- 1,142. Matthew Zipin -----
Credit Trading 8-1
- 1,143. Aileen Nguyen -----
Credit Trading 8-1
- 1,144. Brian Gillin -----
Credit Trading 8-1
- 1,145. Shelly Lukon -----
Credit Trading 8-1
- 1,146. Paul Riley -----
Credit Trading 8-1

Current Comments List Continued

Re: Proposed Rulemaking: Mercury Emission Reduction Requirements for Electric Generating Units (#7-405)

1,147. Elizabeth Keech -----

Credit Trading 8-1

1,148. Teddi Prettiman -----

Credit Trading 8-1

1,149. Andrew Summa -----

Credit Trading 8-1

1,150. Debra Morris -----

Credit Trading 8-1

1,151. Emily Welsh -----

Credit Trading 8-1

1,152. Edward Massimo -----

Credit Trading 8-1

1,153. Joseph Werzinski -----

Credit Trading 8-1

1,154. Andria Saia -----

Credit Trading 8-1

1,155. Amanda Bergson-Shilcock -----

Dear Environmental Quality Board,

Please keep helping Pennsylvania take the lead in enacting state-level standards on mercury pollution! I am proud that our state has proposed this action -- our families' health and safety will benefit significantly.

I am writing in support of the state moving forward with DEP's state-level proposal to cut mercury pollution from Pennsylvania's coal-fired power plants by 90 percent by 2015. Coal-fired power plants are the largest unregulated source of mercury pollution, which contaminates our waterways and eventually the fish that end up on our dinner plates. Medical experts say that even low levels of mercury exposure can affect the way kids learn, think, memorize and behave.

The technology exists to cut mercury pollution by 90 percent, and I support DEP's efforts to require these cuts at Pennsylvania power plants, without allowing for mercury pollution "credit" trading. With the Bush administration weakening our federal mercury protections, it is essential that state decision-makers take the lead in protecting our environment and public health by cutting this toxic pollution from Pennsylvania power plants.

Sincerely,

Amanda Bergson-Shilcock

P.O. Box 272

Bryn Mawr PA 190100272

1,156. Noelle Slusarski -----

Current Comments List Continued

Re: Proposed Rulemaking: Mercury Emission Reduction Requirements for Electric Generating Units (#7-405)

Dear Environmental Quality Board,

I am writing in support of the state moving forward with DEP's state-level proposal to cut mercury pollution from Pennsylvania's coal-fired power plants by 90 percent by 2015. Coal-fired power plants are the largest unregulated source of mercury pollution, which contaminates our waterways and eventually the fish that end up on our dinner plates. Medical experts say that even low levels of mercury exposure can affect the way kids learn, think, memorize and behave.

The technology exists to cut mercury pollution by 90 percent, and I support DEP's efforts to require these cuts at Pennsylvania power plants, without allowing for mercury pollution "credit" trading. With the Bush administration weakening our federal mercury protections, it is essential that state decision-makers take the lead in protecting our environment and public health by cutting this toxic pollution from Pennsylvania power plants.

We want our children to be able to breathe clean air.

Sincerely,

- Noelle] Slusarski
827 Penn Ave.
Ardslev, PA 190381820
1,157. Stella Volpe -----
Credit Trading 8-1
- 1,158. Quentin Wenzel -----
Credit Trading 8-1
- 1,159. Cathy Morelli -----
Credit Trading 8-1
- 1,160. Kristen McPherson -----
Credit Trading 8-1
- 1,161. Sarah Lombardi -----
Credit Trading 8-1
- 1,162. Kate Hunsinger -----
Credit Trading 8-1
- 1,163. Jamie Alexander -----
Credit Trading 8-1
- 1,164. Miriam Greenwald -----
Re: Mercury Emission Reduction Proposed Regulation

Dear Environmental Quality Board,

I am writing in support of the state moving forward with DEP's state-level proposal to cut mercury pollution from Pennsylvania's coal-fired power plants by 90 percent by 2015. Coal-fired power plants are the largest unregulated source of mercury pollution, which contaminates our waterways and eventually the fish that end up on our dinner plates. Medical experts say that even low levels of mercury exposure can affect the way kids learn, think, memorize and behave. It affects us all, finally. I would like someday to be able to eat all the fish I want and not worry that I am endangering my health.

The technology exists to cut mercury pollution by 90 percent, and I support DEP's efforts to require these cuts at Pennsylvania power plants, without allowing for mercury pollution "credit" trading. With the Bush administration weakening our federal mercury protections, it is essential that state decision-makers take the lead in protecting our environment and public health by cutting this toxic pollution from Pennsylvania power plants. Then other states will look to Pennsylvania in this serious problem and maybe we will make some headway nationally in clearing up mercury pollution and eliminating the dangers it poses.

Sincerely,

- Miriam Greenwald
215 Edgehill Road
Merion Station, PA 190661805
1,165. Karen Mauch -----
Credit Trading 8-1

Current Comments List Continued

Re: Proposed Rulemaking: Mercury Emission Reduction Requirements for Electric Generating Units (#7-405)

1,166. Shirley Palmer -----

Credit Trading 8-1

1,167. Anthony Spadaro -----

Credit Trading 8-1

1,168. Lauri Peacock -----

Credit Trading 8-1

1,169. Beth Rockwell -----

Re: Mercury Emission Reduction Proposed Regulation

Dear Environmental Quality Board,

I am writing in support of the state moving forward with DEP's state-level proposal to cut mercury pollution from Pennsylvania's coal-fired power plants by 90 percent by 2015. Coal-fired power plants are the largest unregulated source of mercury pollution, which contaminates our waterways and eventually the fish that end up on our dinner plates. Medical experts say that even low levels of mercury exposure can affect the way kids learn, think, memorize and behave.

The technology exists to cut mercury pollution by 90 percent, and I support DEP's efforts to require these cuts at Pennsylvania power plants, without allowing for mercury pollution "credit" trading. With the Bush administration weakening our federal mercury protections, it is essential that state decision-makers take the lead in protecting our environment and public health by cutting this toxic pollution from Pennsylvania power plants.

I have a precious great-grandchild, and for his sake as well as future great-grandchildren, I urge prompt and meaningful reductions.

Sincerely,

Beth Rockwell

132 W. 23rd St. Apt. 313

Erie PA 165022851

1,170. Bob O'Connor -----

Re: Mercury Emission Reduction Proposed Regulation

Dear Environmental Quality Board,

While I agree with the below, let's keep it simple.

I live here and believe we have the right not to be poisoned by our own waste. Clean it up. Yes, it will cost more for all of us. I don't care.

I am writing in support of the state moving forward with DEP's state-level proposal to cut mercury pollution from Pennsylvania's coal-fired power plants by 90 percent by 2015. Coal-fired power plants are the largest unregulated source of mercury pollution, which contaminates our waterways and eventually the fish that end up on our dinner plates. Medical experts say that even low levels of mercury exposure can affect the way kids learn, think, memorize and behave.

The technology exists to cut mercury pollution by 90 percent, and I support DEP's efforts to require these cuts at Pennsylvania power plants, without allowing for mercury pollution "credit" trading. With the Bush administration weakening our federal mercury protections, it is essential that state decision-makers take the lead in protecting our environment and public health by cutting this toxic pollution from Pennsylvania power plants.

Sincerely,

Bob O'Connor

114 S Main ST

Current Comments List Continued

Re: Proposed Rulemaking: Mercury Emission Reduction Requirements for Electric Generating Units (#7-405)

1,171. Burr Mc Farlane -----

Credit Trading 8-1

1,172. Clarence Burgher -----

Credit Trading 8-1

1,173. Christopher Sekulski -----

Credit Trading 8-1

1,174. Rachel Buchman -----

Credit Trading 8-1

1,175. Kenneth Trauger -----

Credit Trading 8-1

1,176. Dawn Scheets -----

Credit Trading 8-1

1,177. Kara Popowich -----

Credit Trading 8-1

1,178. Anne Jackson -----

Re: Mercury Emission Reduction Proposed Regulation

Dear Environmental Quality Board,

I know that if I personalize this you will pay more attention to it, but I can't write it better, or more succinctly, than what is written below Please take this seriously and know that the great majority of us support any and all measures to cut mercury emissions here, and everywhere else Global warming is here and we all need to do everything we can to stop it!! And so here is the formal letter to which I am adding my name:

I am writing in support of the state moving forward with DEP's state-level proposal to cut mercury pollution from Pennsylvania's coal-fired power plants by 90 percent by 2015. Coal-fired power plants are the largest unregulated source of mercury pollution, which contaminates our waterways and eventually the fish that end up on our dinner plates. Medical experts say that even low levels of mercury exposure can affect the way kids learn, think, memorize and behave.

The technology exists to cut mercury pollution by 90 percent, and I support DEP's efforts to require these cuts at Pennsylvania power plants, without allowing for mercury pollution "credit" trading. With the Bush administration weakening our federal mercury protections, it is essential that state decision-makers take the lead in protecting our environment and public health by cutting this toxic pollution from Pennsylvania power plants.

Sincerely,

anne jackson

po box 516

mercer2000 PA 106420516

1,179. Elizabeth Kuhn -----

Credit Trading 8-1

1,180. Elizabeth Kuhn -----

Credit Trading 8-1

1,181. Alex Balboa -----

Credit Trading 8-1

1,182. Michele Fadel -----

Credit Trading 8-1

1,183. Barbara Dively -----

Current Comments List Continued

Re: Proposed Rulemaking: Mercury Emission Reduction Requirements for Electric Generating Units (#7-405)

Re: Mercury Emission Reduction Proposed Regulation

Dear Environmental Quality Board,

Without any public opposition, corporations have poisoned the air, land and water that God gave us for survival.

God gave us clean air, land and water to sustain all life on earth, but we have forgotten our obligation to maintain Earth as a safe habitat.

I am writing in support of the state moving forward with DEP's state-level proposal to cut mercury pollution from Pennsylvania's coal-fired power plants by 90 percent by 2015. Coal-fired power plants are the largest unregulated source of mercury pollution, which contaminates our waterways and eventually the fish that end up on our dinner plates. Medical experts say that even low levels of mercury exposure can affect the way kids learn, think, memorize and behave.

The technology exists to cut mercury pollution by 90 percent, and I support DEP's efforts to require these cuts at Pennsylvania power plants, without allowing for mercury pollution "credit" trading. With the Bush administration weakening our federal mercury protections, it is essential that state decision-makers take the lead in protecting our environment and public health by cutting this toxic pollution from Pennsylvania power plants.

Sincerely,

- Barbara Dively
2275 Glenview Dr
Pottsville, PA 17866
1,184. Patricia Parker -----
Credit Trading 8-1
- 1,185. Lisa Baeringer -----
Treasures 8-1
- 1,186. Jill Avery -----
Treasures 8-1
- 1,187. Elizabeth Sterling -----

Re: Mercury Emission Reduction Proposed Regulation

Dear Environmental Quality Board,

I am writing in support of the state moving forward with DEP's state-level proposal to cut mercury pollution from Pennsylvania's coal-fired power plants by 90 percent by 2015. Coal-fired power plants are the largest unregulated source of mercury pollution, which contaminates our waterways and eventually the fish that end up on our dinner plates. Medical experts say that even low levels of mercury exposure can affect the way kids learn, think, memorize and behave.

The technology exists to cut mercury pollution by 90 percent, and I support DEP's efforts to require these cuts at Pennsylvania power plants, without allowing for mercury pollution "credit" trading. With the Bush administration weakening our federal mercury protections, it is essential that state decision-makers take the lead in protecting our environment and public health by cutting this toxic pollution from Pennsylvania power plants.

Our children are being exposed to mercury from so many sources. As a breastfeeding mother of an infant, I am very concerned about this and the effects it will have on my child and his future. Please put into place the strictest policies for mercury regulation. Sincerely,

- Elizabeth Sterling
3855 Creek Rd
Millmont, PA 178459508
1,188. Shweta Tripathi -----
Treasures 8-1
- 1,189. Julie Rizzo -----
Credit Trading 8-1

Current Comments List Continued

Re: Proposed Rulemaking: Mercury Emission Reduction Requirements for Electric Generating Units (#7-405)

1,190. L. Matthew Schwartz, MD -----

Re: Mercury Emission Reduction Proposed Regulation

Dear Environmental Quality Board,

As a physician, I see more and more Alzheimer's Disease, Parkinson's Disease, Autism, and other neurological degenerative diseases. Some environmental scientists have opined that the increase is due to the high mercury emissions from coal-fired power plants and the marked poisoning of fish in most of our waters.

You have a chance to correct this injustice to public health. The technology already exists to protect human health - and the EPA was already in the process of insuring a 90% reduction of emissions by 2007 ... when the Bush Administration took over. Now - they say we can't do it before 2025!

I say - pollution credits and delays are NOT ACCEPTABLE. People, not profits! Remember - you are supposed to represent the public, not the coal industry.

Please support a 90% reduction of mercury emissions by 2015 (it CAN be done!)

Sincerely,

L. Matthew Schwartz, MD
456 Box Elder Lane

1,191. Darlin M. McDaniel -----

Treasures 8-1

1,192. Sandra Vilsack -----

Re: Mercury Emission Reduction Proposed Regulation

Dear Environmental Quality Board,

I support the state moving forward with DEP's proposal to cut mercury pollution from Pennsylvania's coal-fired power plants by 90 percent by 2015.

Sincerely,
Sandra Vilsack
Pittsburgh

Sandra Vilsack
645 Greer St
Pittsburgh PA 152172810

1,193. Theresa Ciavarella -----

Treasures 8-1

1,194. Dalene Neopolitan -----

Credit Trading 8-1

1,195. Garry Doll -----

Credit Trading 8-1

1,196. Erin Casey -----

Credit Trading 8-1

1,197. Frances Leary -----

Credit Trading 8-1

1,198. B. Daniels -----

Treasures 8-1

1,199. Edward Waxman -----

Treasures 8-1

Current Comments List Continued

Re: Proposed Rulemaking: Mercury Emission Reduction Requirements for Electric Generating Units (#7-405)

- 1,200. George Bradley -----
Credit Trading 8-1
- 1,201. Richard Myers -----
Credit Trading 8-1
- 1,202. Vincent Strangio -----
Treasures 8-1
- 1,203. Ann Conroy -----
Credit Trading 8-1
- 1,204. Fran Lorie -----
Credit Trading 8-1
- 1,205. Nicole Matz -----
Credit Trading 8-1
- 1,206. Jacqueline Sloan -----
Treasures 8-1
- 1,207. Daniel Savini -----
Re: Mercury Emission Reduction Proposed Regulation

Dear Environmental Quality Board,
I am writing in support of the state moving forward with DEP's state-level proposal to cut mercury pollution from Pennsylvania's coal-fired power plants by 90 percent by 2015. Coal-fired power plants are the largest unregulated source of mercury pollution, which contaminates our waterways and eventually the fish that end up on our dinner plates. Medical experts say that even low levels of mercury exposure can affect the way kids learn, think, memorize and behave.

The technology exists to cut mercury pollution by 90 percent, and I support DEP's efforts to require these cuts at Pennsylvania power plants, without allowing for mercury pollution "credit" trading. With the Bush administration weakening our federal mercury protections, it is essential that state decision-makers take the lead in protecting our environment and public health by cutting this toxic pollution from Pennsylvania power plants. DO SOMETHING RIGHT FOR ONCEEEEEEEEE!

Sincerely,

Daniel Savini
1214 chapel road
Monaca. PA 150612736
1,208. Thomas Frantz -----
Credit Trading 8-1
1,209. Clark Hiestand -----

Current Comments List Continued

Re: Proposed Rulemaking: Mercury Emission Reduction Requirements for Electric Generating Units (#7-405)

Department of Environmental Protection
PA

Dear Department of Environmental Protection,

I strongly support the Department of Environmental Protection's proposed regulation to reduce mercury emissions from the state's coal plants. I applaud the DEP for taking bold action to address our state's largest source of toxic mercury pollution.

As someone who treasures the fish, wildlife and outdoor recreation opportunities in Pennsylvania, I am concerned about the high levels of mercury contamination in our environment. I want to see my state government take the aggressive action necessary to clean up our state's biggest mercury polluters.

Mercury contamination is a serious and growing problem in Pennsylvania, and yet affordable technology can control 90 percent of a coal plant's mercury emissions today. There is no justifiable reason to delay reducing mercury pollution in our state any longer. The only way we will see relief from mercury-contaminated waters and fish in Pennsylvania is to require each and every source to do their part and dramatically reduce emissions.

Unless DEP's mercury rule is finalized, Pennsylvania plants will have the option to purchase mercury emission credits instead of technology to clean up their pollution. This is an unacceptable approach to a very serious problem. I am grateful that the DEP has proposed this much-needed plan to protect future generations of people and wildlife in Pennsylvania from toxic mercury exposure.

Please protect the planet, future generations are counting on you!

Thank you very much.

Sincerely,

Clark Hiestand
151 Old River Rd.
Wilkes Barre, Pennsylvania 18702
1,210. Roy Wetterholt -----
Credit Trading 8-1
1,211. Paul Johnson -----
Treasures 8-1
1,212. David Houck -----
Credit Trading 8-1
1,213. Sue Bialostosky -----
Credit Trading 8-1
1,214. David Drescher -----
Credit Trading 8-1
1,215. Joanne Telenko -----
Treasures 8-1

Current Comments List Continued

Re: Proposed Rulemaking: Mercury Emission Reduction Requirements for Electric Generating Units (#7-405)

1,216. Marshall Thomas -----

Re: Mercury Emission Reduction Proposed Regulation

Dear Environmental Quality Board,

I am writing in support of the state moving forward with DEP's state-level proposal to cut mercury pollution from Pennsylvania's coal-fired power plants by 90 percent by 2015. Coal-fired power plants are the largest unregulated source of mercury pollution, which contaminates our waterways and eventually the fish that end up on our dinner plates. Medical experts say that even low levels of mercury exposure can affect the way kids learn, think, memorize and behave.

The technology exists to cut mercury pollution by 90 percent, and I support DEP's efforts to require these cuts at Pennsylvania power plants, without allowing for mercury pollution "credit" trading. With the Bush administration weakening our federal mercury protections, it is essential that state decision-makers take the lead in protecting our environment and public health by cutting this toxic pollution from Pennsylvania power plants.

The cost of a dollar per month to the consumer does not seem like too big a price to pay for the health and safety of our children.

Sincerely,
Marshall Thomas

Sincerely,

Marshall Thomas
812 E. Sharpnack St.
Philadelphia, PA 191101527

1,217. Priscilla Laws -----

Re: Mercury Emission Reduction Proposed Regulation

To this Environmental Quality Board,

I support DEP's proposal to cut mercury pollution from coal-fired power plants by 90 percent by 2015. As a research physicist to know first hand that Mercury poisoning is a serious problem as its effects are cumulative.

Yours Truly,

Priscilla Laws
10 Douglas Court
Carlisle PA 170131714

1,218. Ken Flinchbaugh -----

Treasures 8-1

1,219. Barbara Clarke -----

Credit Trading 8-1

1,220. Bonnie De Bold -----

Credit Trading 8-1

1,221. Lisa Lunny -----

Treasures 8-1

1,222. Kathleen Schmick -----

Current Comments List Continued

Re: Proposed Rulemaking: Mercury Emission Reduction Requirements for Electric Generating Units (#7-405)

Re: Mercury Emission Reduction Proposed Regulation

Dear Environmental Quality Board,

I am writing in support of the state moving forward with DEP's state-level proposal to cut mercury pollution from Pennsylvania's coal-fired power plants by 90 percent by 2015. Coal-fired power plants are the largest unregulated source of mercury pollution, which contaminates our waterways and eventually the fish that end up on our dinner plates. Medical experts say that even low levels of mercury exposure can affect the way kids learn, think, memorize and behave.

The technology exists to cut mercury pollution by 90 percent, and I support DEP's efforts to require these cuts at Pennsylvania power plants, without allowing for mercury pollution "credit" trading. With the Bush administration weakening our federal mercury protections, it is essential that state decision-makers take the lead in protecting our environment and public health by cutting this toxic pollution from Pennsylvania power plants.

I am willing to pay higher rates to protect our environment as witnessed by the fact the I have signed up for wind energy. It is necessary for the future of our children and grandchildren. We can not delay or count on the federal government to do what is right.

Sincerely,

Kathleen Schmick
204 Dogwood Lane
Wallingford, PA 19086-6006
1,223. Rev. Linda Noonan -----
Re: Mercury Emission Reduction Proposed Regulation

Dear Environmental Quality Board,

I am a pastor at the Chestnut Hill United Methodist Church. My congregation supports the state moving forward with DEP's state-level proposal to cut mercury pollution from Pennsylvania's coal-fired power plants by 90 percent by 2015. Coal-fired power plants are the largest unregulated source of mercury pollution, which contaminates our waterways and eventually the fish that end up on our dinner plates. Medical experts say that even low levels of mercury exposure can affect the way kids learn, think, memorize and behave.

The technology exists to cut mercury pollution by 90 percent, and I support DEP's efforts to require these cuts at Pennsylvania power plants, without allowing for mercury pollution "credit" trading. With the Bush administration weakening our federal mercury protections, it is essential that state decision-makers take the lead in protecting our environment and public health by cutting this toxic pollution from Pennsylvania power plants.

Sincerely,

Rev. Linda Noonan
8812 Germantown Avenue
Philadelphia, PA 191182719
1,224. Greg Pasquarello -----

Current Comments List Continued

Re: Proposed Rulemaking: Mercury Emission Reduction Requirements for Electric Generating Units (#7-405)

Re: Mercury Emission Reduction Proposed Regulation

Dear Environmental Quality Board,
Pennsylvanians want the Commonwealth moving forward with these much-needed mercury pollution standards.

I am writing in support of the state moving forward with DEP's state-level proposal to cut mercury pollution from Pennsylvania's coal-fired power plants by 90 percent by 2015. Coal-fired power plants are the largest unregulated source of mercury pollution, which contaminates our waterways and eventually the fish that end up on our dinner plates. Medical experts say that even low levels of mercury exposure can affect the way kids learn, think, memorize and behave.

The technology exists to cut mercury pollution by 90 percent, and I support DEP's efforts to require these cuts at Pennsylvania power plants, without allowing for mercury pollution "credit" trading. With the Bush administration weakening our federal mercury protections, it is essential that state decision-makers take the lead in protecting our environment and public health by cutting this toxic pollution from Pennsylvania power plants.

Sincerely,

Greg Pasquarello
122 Sunset Ave
Phoenixville, PA 194601127
1,225. Thomas Frantz -----
Credit Trading 8-1
1,226. Henry Frank -----
Department of Environmental Protection
PA

Dear Department of Environmental Protection,

I support DEP's proposed regulation to reduce coal plant mercury emissions.

We must take action to clean our state's biggest mercury polluters.

I oppose the option to purchase mercury emission credits.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Henry Frank
2763 Island Ave
1,227. Christopher Cretella -----
Credit Trading 8-1
1,228. Rose Flood -----
Credit Trading 8-1
1,229. Lani Frank -----
Credit Trading 8-1
1,230. Stacey Daman -----
Credit Trading 8-1
1,231. Kerrie Doree -----
Treasures 8-1

Current Comments List Continued

Re: Proposed Rulemaking: Mercury Emission Reduction Requirements for Electric Generating Units (#7-405)

- 1,232. Benjamin Mudry -----
Credit Trading 8-1
- 1,233. Edward Thornton -----
Credit Trading 8-1
- 1,234. Erick Rexrode -----
Re: Mercury Emission Reduction Proposed Regulation

Dear Environmental Quality Board,

I am writing in support of the state moving forward with DEP's state-level proposal to cut mercury pollution from Pennsylvania's coal-fired power plants by 90 percent by 2015.

The technology exists to cut mercury pollution by 90 percent, and I support DEP's efforts to require these cuts at Pennsylvania power plants, without allowing for mercury pollution "credit" trading.

Sincerely,

Erick Rexrode
775 South 6th Street
Philadelphia, PA 19147

Erick Rexrode
775 South 6th St.

Philadelphia PA 191473037

- 1,235. Ralph A. Caprio -----
Treasures 8-1
- 1,236. Frances Caprio -----
Treasures 8-1
- 1,237. Maria Olshin -----
Treasures 8-1
- 1,238. Alexandra Stehman -----
Treasures 8-1
- 1,239. Lisa Dorak -----
Treasures 8-1
- 1,240. Joseph Naumowicz -----
Treasures 8-1
- 1,241. Jennifer Danner -----
Treasures 8-1
- 1,242. Joan Book -----
Treasures 8-1
- 1,243. Steve Karas -----
Treasures 8-1
- 1,244. Renee Thomson-Hohl -----
Treasures 8-1
- 1,245. Joan S. Fabrega -----
Treasures 8-1
- 1,246. Connie V. Conaway -----
Treasures 8-1
- 1,247. Anna M. Gamby -----
Treasures 8-1
- 1,248. Amy Wagner -----
Treasures 8-1
- 1,249. Diane Walker -----
Treasures 8-1

Current Comments List Continued

Re: Proposed Rulemaking: Mercury Emission Reduction Requirements for Electric Generating Units (#7-405)

1,250. Geraldine Lebby -----

Treasures 8-1

1,251. Gary Dukart -----

Treasures 8-1

1,252. Richard Retherford -----

Treasures 8-1

1,253. Linda Raun -----

Treasures 8-1

1,254. Harry Martin -----

Treasures 8-1

1,255. Erika Boka -----

Treasures 8-1

1,256. Margelyn Parrett -----

Treasures 8-1

1,257. Ronald Wilson -----

Treasures 8-1

1,258. Robert W. Rhodes -----

Treasures 8-1

1,259. Patricia Turk -----

Treasures 8-1

1,260. Robert Higgins -----

Credit Trading 8-1

1,261. Constance Karrs -----

Credit Trading 8-1

1,262. John Sedia -----

Credit Trading 8-1

1,263. Leena Jaffer -----

Credit Trading 8-1

1,264. Stephen Hopkins -----

Dear Environmental Quality Board,

I am writing in support of the state moving forward with DEP's state-level proposal to cut mercury pollution from Pennsylvania's coal-fired power plants by 90 percent by 2015. Coal-fired power plants are the largest unregulated source of mercury pollution, which contaminates our waterways and eventually the fish that end up on our dinner plates. Medical experts say that even low levels of mercury exposure can affect the way kids learn, think, memorize and behave. Please, for the sake of our children, do this.

The technology exists to cut mercury pollution by 90 percent, and I support DEP's efforts to require these cuts at Pennsylvania power plants, without allowing for mercury pollution "credit" trading. With the Bush administration weakening our federal mercury protections, it is essential that state decision-makers take the lead in protecting our environment and public health by cutting this toxic pollution from Pennsylvania power plants.

1,265. Ellen Poist -----

Credit Trading 8-1

1,266. Judith Roberts -----

Credit Trading 8-1

1,267. Carol Paredes -----

Credit Trading 8-1

1,268. Carl Kugel -----

Credit Trading 8-1

1,269. Emily Lansburg -----

Credit Trading 8-1

1,270. Chris Cavallucci -----

Credit Trading 8-1

1,271. Christina Arlt -----

Credit Trading 8-1

Current Comments List Continued

Re: Proposed Rulemaking: Mercury Emission Reduction Requirements for Electric Generating Units (#7-405)

1,272. Kim Eisen -----
Credit Trading 8-1

1,273. Charles Grant -----
Credit Trading 8-1

1,274. Jeff Shapiro -----
Credit Trading 8-1

1,275. Kelly Wong -----
Dear Environmental Quality Board,

I am a nurse practitioner student and I have learned about the adverse health effects that mercury pollution can have on the future of our children. I support the state moving forward with DEP's state-level proposal to cut mercury pollution from Pennsylvania's coal-fired power plants by 90 percent by 2015.

If we don't take responsibility soon, the problem doesn't go away, it only worsens through "bioaccumulation."

It has been proposed that mercury may be linked to autism, which affects many children and families and taxpayers.

Please help to consider the technologies that cut mercury pollution by 90 percent. I support DEP's efforts to require these cuts at Pennsylvania power plants, without allowing for mercury pollution "credit" trading. With the Bush administration weakening our federal mercury protections, it is essential that state decision-makers take the lead in protecting our environment and public health by cutting this toxic pollution from Pennsylvania power plants.

Sincerely,

Kelly Wong, RN,BSN,CCRN

Kelly Wong
2306 Spruce St apt 201
Philadelphia PA 191036443

1,276. A.W. Bradburd -----
Credit Trading 8-1

1,277. David Benner -----
Credit Trading 8-1

1,278. Kelly Weinberg -----
Credit Trading 8-1

1,279. Katy Ruckdeschel -----
Credit Trading 8-1

1,280. Kris Rust -----
Credit Trading 8-1

1,281. Stephen Banks -----
Credit Trading 8-1

1,282. Elise Pasles -----
Credit Trading 8-1

1,283. Kevin McGlynn -----
Credit Trading 8-1

1,284. Sarah Hipple -----
Credit Trading 8-1

1,285. Fonda Hollenbaugh -----
Credit Trading 8-1

1,286. Fred Baurer -----
Credit Trading 8-1

1,287. Marta Palm -----
Credit Trading 8-1

1,288. Richard Frey -----
Credit Trading 8-1

Current Comments List Continued

Re: Proposed Rulemaking: Mercury Emission Reduction Requirements for Electric Generating Units (#7-405)

1,289. David Jones -----
Dear Environmental Quality Board,

It is beyond understanding that citizens have to take to the mails to remind legislators of their responsibilities !!! If the technology to reduce mercury is available as claimed, then the process to begin reduction and carry it through MUST BEGIN !!!

Sincerely,

David Jones
225 Overlook Road
Ambler PA 190023515

1,290. Brewster Fay -----
Credit Trading 8-1

1,291. Ruth Finley -----
Credit Trading 8-1

1,292. John Ginther -----
Credit Trading 8-1

1,293. Milton Shapiro -----
Credit Trading 8-1

1,294. Carli Younce -----
Credit Trading 8-1

1,295. Christina Hagan -----
Dear Environmental Quality Board,

I am writing in support of the state moving forward with DEP's state-level proposal to cut mercury pollution from Pennsylvania's coal-fired power plants by 90 percent by 2015. Coal-fired power plants are the largest unregulated source of mercury pollution, which contaminates our waterways and eventually the fish that end up on our dinner plates. Medical experts say that even low levels of mercury exposure can affect the way kids learn, think, memorize and behave.

The technology exists to cut mercury pollution by 90 percent, and I support DEP's efforts to require these cuts at Pennsylvania power plants, without allowing for mercury pollution "credit" trading. With the Bush administration weakening our federal mercury protections, it is essential that state decision-makers take the lead in protecting our environment and public health by cutting this toxic pollution from Pennsylvania power plants.

As a YMCA Director, I work with hundreds of children each day. And I know first hand the importance of bonding that can occur when adults share the outdoors with children. Many well known agencies sponsor fishing programs for young children as an alternative to drug use- I am sure you have seen these billboards as well! So please, let us reduce mercury emission so that those who do fish, can even enjoy what they catch. And that in turn, can keep our youth involved in things that are positive and have a greater effect on their lives.

Sincerely,
Christina Hagan

Christina Hagan
124 Washington Blvd.
Bangor, PA 18013

1,296. Jennyrose Spence -----
Credit Trading 8-1

1,297. Paul Albrecht -----

Current Comments List Continued

Re: Proposed Rulemaking: Mercury Emission Reduction Requirements for Electric Generating Units (#7-405)

Dear Environmental Quality Board,

I am writing in support of the state moving forward with DEP's state-level proposal to cut mercury pollution from Pennsylvania's coal-fired power plants by 90 percent by 2015. Coal-fired power plants are the largest unregulated source of mercury pollution, which contaminates our waterways and eventually the fish that end up on our dinner plates. Medical experts say that even low levels of mercury exposure can affect the way kids learn, think, memorize and behave.

The technology exists to cut mercury pollution by 90 percent, and I support DEP's efforts to require these cuts at Pennsylvania power plants, without allowing for mercury pollution "credit" trading. With the Bush administration weakening our federal mercury protections, it is essential that state decision-makers take the lead in protecting our environment and public health by cutting this toxic pollution from Pennsylvania power plants.

Get your Goddamned mercury away from us.F the BushNazi CrimeCabal and their constant efforts to cause as much death,destruction,and human misery and degradation as possible.Mercury is just one more weapon in their demonic arsenal.
Sincerely,

Paul Albrecht

700 Shawmont Ave

Phila, PA 191283125

1,298. Deborah Pestrak -----

Credit Trading 8-1

1,299. Doreen Shiavi -----

Credit Trading 8-1

1,300. A. Moyer -----

Credit Trading 8-1

1,301. Robin Cutler-Levine -----

Credit Trading 8-1

1,302. Forrest Piver -----

Credit Trading 8-1

1,303. Emily Bittler -----

Credit Trading 8-1

1,304. George Carlisle -----

Credit Trading 8-1

1,305. Joan Fabrega -----

Current Comments List Continued

Re: Proposed Rulemaking: Mercury Emission Reduction Requirements for Electric Generating Units (#7-405)

Dear Environmental Quality Board,

I am writing in support of the state moving forward with DEP's state-level proposal to cut mercury pollution from Pennsylvania's coal-fired power plants by 90 percent by 2015. Coal-fired power plants are the largest unregulated source of mercury pollution, which contaminates our waterways and eventually the fish that end up on our dinner plates. Medical experts say that even low levels of mercury exposure can affect the way kids learn, think, memorize and behave.

The technology exists to cut mercury pollution by 90 percent, and I support DEP's efforts to require these cuts at Pennsylvania power plants, without allowing for mercury pollution "credit" trading. With the Bush administration weakening our federal mercury protections, it is essential that state decision-makers take the lead in protecting our environment and public health by cutting this toxic pollution from Pennsylvania power plants.

Pennsylvania has the second highest level of mercury of any state in the U. S. Is this what we want to be famous (infamous) for?.

Cut mercury emissions now.

Sincerely, Joan Fabrega

Sincerely,

Joan Fabrega

257 Kenforest Dr

1,306. Alisha Ingersoll -----

Treasures 8-1

1,307. Barbara Likens -----

Credit Trading 8-1

1,308. Bonnie Diehl -----

Treasures 8-1

1,309. Dianne Oswald -----

Credit Trading 8-1

1,310. Melissa Dyas -----

Credit Trading 8-1

1,311. Anthony A. Capobianco -----

Treasures 8-1

1,312. Nancy Vintilla -----

Credit Trading 8-1

1,313. Kelly Riley -----

Credit Trading 8-1

1,314. Richard Margulies -----

Credit Trading 8-1

1,315. Cass Peluso -----

Treasures 8-1

1,316. Ashlee Salloom -----

Current Comments List Continued

Re: Proposed Rulemaking: Mercury Emission Reduction Requirements for Electric Generating Units (#7-405)

Dear Environmental Quality Board,

Although I now live in MD, I grew up in PA and still have family and friends that live there. As an active environmentalist I continue to support active measures to make PA a healthy state.

I am writing in support of the state moving forward with DEP's state-level proposal to cut mercury pollution from Pennsylvania's coal-fired power plants by 90 percent by 2015. Coal-fired power plants are the largest unregulated source of mercury pollution, which contaminates our waterways and eventually the fish that end up on our dinner plates. Medical experts say that even low levels of mercury exposure can affect the way kids learn, think, memorize and behave.

The technology exists to cut mercury pollution by 90 percent, and I support DEP's efforts to require these cuts at Pennsylvania power plants, without allowing for mercury pollution "credit" trading. With the Bush administration weakening our federal mercury protections, it is essential that state decision-makers take the lead in protecting our environment and public health by cutting this toxic pollution from Pennsylvania power plants.

Sincerely,

Ashlee Salloom

8719 Blairwood Rd.

Nottingham, MD 212362397

1,317. Joseph Sweeney -----

Credit Trading 8-1

1,318. Kenneth Miller -----

Credit Trading 8-1

1,319. Kevin Scott -----

Credit Trading 8-1

1,320. Janet Miller -----

Credit Trading 8-1

1,321. Issy Lawrie -----

Credit Trading 8-1

1,322. Christine Bower -----

Treasures 8-1

1,323. Steph Reed -----

Treasures 8-1

1,324. Dominic Spadaccino -----

Treasures 8-1

1,325. Dianne Hvizdos -----

Treasures 8-1

1,326. Donald Waltman -----

Credit Trading 8-1

1,327. Doug Hilton -----

Treasures 8-1

1,328. David Podietz -----

Treasures 8-1

1,329. Charles D. Jacobs -----

Treasures 8-1

1,330. Andrew Wadsworth -----

Treasures 8-2

1,331. Katey Graffman -----

Treasures 8-1

1,332. Susan E. Kline -----

Current Comments List Continued

Re: Proposed Rulemaking: Mercury Emission Reduction Requirements for Electric Generating Units (#7-405)

Mercury Emission Reduction Requirements for Electric Generating Units (#7-405)

Department of Environmental Protection
PA

Dear Department of Environmental Protection,

I strongly support the Department of Environmental Protection's proposed regulation to reduce mercury emissions from the state's coal plants. I applaud the DEP for taking bold action to address our state's largest source of toxic mercury pollution.

It's TIME to clean it up and we need your help.

Thank you very much.

Sincerely,

Susan E Kline
1156 Monheim Lane

1,333. Jerry O. Davies -----
Treasures 8-2

1,334. Nancy Bocchino -----
Treasures 8-2

1,335. Howard Quaintance -----
Treasures 8-1

1,336. Mary Longstreth -----
Treasures 8-2

1,337. Craig C. Conn -----
Treasures 8-2

1,338. Dolney Rachel -----
Treasures 8-2

1,339. Daniel Hoover -----
Treasures 8-1

1,340. Jerry Wilson -----
Treasures 8-2

1,341. Huron Wright-Campbell -----
Treasures 8-2

1,342. Jeremy Black -----

Dear Environmental Quality Board,

If Gov. Rendell's mercury reduction plan is not passed, I hope that your children and the children of all area coal companies suffer miserably from the effects of mercury poisoning. Inaction on this plan only guarantees the suffering of untold children in our state. Passage leads to cleaner air for all, additional jobs through green technologies, and even the possibility of greater profits for the coal industries through improved efficiency.

Sincerely,
Jeremy Black
Pittsburgh

Jeremy Black
1355 HEBERTON ST
Pittsburgh, PA 15206

Current Comments List Continued

Re: Proposed Rulemaking: Mercury Emission Reduction Requirements for Electric Generating Units (#7-405)

- 1,343. Jan and Michele Merlino Mendon Elementary School -----
Treasures 8-1
- 1,344. Chris Hudock -----
Treasures 8-2
- 1,345. Melody Trunfio -----
Treasures 8-2
- 1,346. Patricia Orr -----
Treasures 8-1
- 1,347. Eileen Fournier -----
Treasures 8-2
- 1,348. James Strick -----
Credit Trading 8-2
- 1,349. Sherry Osada-Barrett -----
Treasures 8-2
- 1,350. Jesse Ritrovato -----
Treasures 8-1
- 1,351. Mary Maher -----
Treasures 8-2
- 1,352. Jennifer Hunsinger -----
Credit Trading 8-2
- 1,353. Jean Barrell -----
Treasures 8-1
- 1,354. William Leslie -----
Treasures 8-2
- 1,355. James Kerhin -----
Credit Trading 8-2
- 1,356. Holly Noble -----
Treasures 8-2
- 1,357. Josh Lipschutz -----
Treasures 8-1
- 1,358. Sharon Saphore -----
Treasures 8-2
- 1,359. Andrew Dorman -----

Dear Environmental Quality Board,
It's time to get into gear the state-level proposal to cut mercury pollution from Pennsylvania's coal-fired power plants by 90 percent by 2015. These plants are the largest unregulated source of mercury pollution, which contaminates our waterways and eventually the fish that end up on our dinner plates. **IT'S BEEN WIDELY ESTABLISHED** what medical expert say is true: even low levels of mercury exposure can affect the way kids learn, think, memorize and behave.

The technology exists to cut mercury pollution by 90 percent. I support DEP's efforts to require these cuts at Pennsylvania power plants, without allowing for mercury pollution "credit" trading. With the Bush administration weakening our federal mercury protections, it is essential that state decision-makers take the lead in protecting our environment and public health by cutting this toxic pollution from Pennsylvania power plants.

Sincerely,

Andrew Dorman
31 W. North St., Rear
Bethlehem, PA 18018
1,360. Sharon Saphore -----
Treasures 8-2
1,361. Kathleen Peters -----
Treasures 8-1

Current Comments List Continued

Re: Proposed Rulemaking: Mercury Emission Reduction Requirements for Electric Generating Units (#7-405)

1,362. Mark & Nancy Wolfe -----
Treasures 8-2

1,363. Lamont Broadhead -----
Treasures 8-1

1,364. Kurt Eichman -----
Treasures 8-2

1,365. Deanne O'Donnell -----
Treasures 8-2

1,366. Shobhana Kanal -----
Dear Environmental Quality Board,

I attended the public hearing in Norristown last week, as a citizen and a parent, to show support for the DEP's proposed regulations to cut mercury emissions from coal-fired power plants by 90 percent by the year 2015.

I am now writing to reiterate how important it is that the DEP's proposal be implemented. Coal-fired power plants are the largest unregulated source of mercury pollution, which contaminates our waterways and eventually the fish that end up on our dinner plates. Medical experts say that even low levels of mercury exposure can affect the way kids learn, think, memorize and behave.

The technology exists to cut mercury pollution by 90 percent, and I support DEP's efforts to require these cuts at Pennsylvania power plants, without allowing for mercury pollution "credit" trading. With the Bush administration weakening our federal mercury protections, it is essential that state decision-makers take the lead in protecting our environment and public health by cutting this toxic pollution from Pennsylvania power plants.

Sincerely,

Shobhana Kanal
364 Trevor Lane
Bala Cynwvd. PA 19004

1,367. Lesley Fleischman -----
Treasures 8-1

1,368. H. Jean Sinal -----
Treasures 8-2

1,369. Joseph & Marguerit Arbuckle -----
Treasures 8-2

1,370. Kathryn Thompson -----
Treasures 8-1

1,371. Andrew Dorman -----
Dear Environmental Quality Board,

It's time to get into gear the state-level proposal to cut mercury pollution from Pennsylvania's coal-fired power plants by 90 percent by 2015. These plants are the largest unregulated source of mercury pollution, which contaminates our waterways and eventually the fish that end up on our dinner plates. IT'S BEEN WIDELY ESTABLISHED what medical expert say is true: even low levels of mercury exposure can affect the way kids learn, think, memorize and behave.

The technology exists to cut mercury pollution by 90 percent. I support DEP's efforts to require these cuts at Pennsylvania power plants, without allowing for mercury pollution "credit" trading. With the Bush administration weakening our federal mercury protections, it is essential that state decision-makers take the lead in protecting our environment and public health by cutting this toxic pollution from Pennsylvania power plants.

Sincerely,

Andrew Dorman
31 W. North St., Rear
Bethlehem, PA 18018

1,372. Matt Bango -----
Treasures 8-2

Current Comments List Continued

Re: Proposed Rulemaking: Mercury Emission Reduction Requirements for Electric Generating Units (#7-405)

- 1,373. Kathy Trimmer -----
Treasures 8-2
- 1,374. Linda Paul -----
Treasures 8-1
- 1,375. Diane E & Darwin Hollinger -----
Treasures 8-2
- 1,376. Dorothy H. L. Carroll -----
Credit Trading 8-2
- 1,377. Cynthia Marshall -----
Treasures 8-1
- 1,378. Claudia Olivie -----
Treasures 8-2
- 1,379. Jason Miller -----
Treasures 8-2
- 1,380. Gretchen Heacock -----
Credit Trading 8-2
- 1,381. Daniel Ruppert -----
Treasures 8-2
- 1,382. Carole Mayers -----
Treasures 8-2
- 1,383. Matthias Hess -----

Department of Environmental Protection
PA

Dear Department of Environmental Protection,

I strongly support the Department of Environmental Protection's proposed regulation to reduce mercury emissions from the state's coal plants. I applaud the DEP for taking bold action to address our state's largest source of toxic mercury pollution.

As someone who treasures the fish, wildlife and outdoor recreation opportunities in Pennsylvania, I am concerned about the high levels of mercury contamination in our environment. I want to see my state government take the aggressive action necessary to clean up our state's biggest mercury polluters.

Unless DEP's mercury rule is finalized, Pennsylvania plants will have the option to purchase mercury emission credits instead of technology to clean up their pollution. This is an unacceptable approach to a very serious problem. I am grateful that the DEP has proposed this much-needed plan to protect future generations of people and wildlife in Pennsylvania from toxic mercury exposure, and I hope to see it put into practice.

- 1,384. Erin Dunleavy -----
Treasures 8-2
- 1,385. Joseph Sweeney -----
Credit Trading 8-2
- 1,386. Carol Thompson -----
Treasures 8-1
- 1,387. Cheryl McVickar -----
Credit Trading 8-2
- 1,388. Mike Ronco -----
Credit Trading 8-2

Current Comments List Continued

Re: Proposed Rulemaking: Mercury Emission Reduction Requirements for Electric Generating Units (#7-405)

- 1,389. A. Fkiaras -----
Credit Trading 8-2
- 1,390. Jeanine Vermillion -----
Credit Trading 8-2
- 1,391. Molly Anderson -----
Credit Trading 8-2
- 1,392. Tara Yaney -----
Credit Trading 8-2
- 1,393. Mcgowan Southworth -----
Credit Trading 8-1
- 1,394. Audrey Pancoe -----
Credit Trading 8-2
- 1,395. Martin Gromulat -----
Treasures 8-1
- 1,396. Marsha Clink -----
Credit Trading 8-2
- 1,397. Margaret Gude -----
Treasures 8-1
- 1,398. Jason Perkins -----
Credit Trading 8-2
- 1,399. Michael McDevitt -----
Treasures 8-1
- 1,400. Resident -----
Credit Trading 8-2
- 1,401. Cheryl Redfern -----
Treasures 8-1
- 1,402. Carol Solon -----
Credit Trading 8-2
- 1,403. Vaughan Boleky -----
Credit Trading 8-2
- 1,404. Kevin Korowicki -----
Credit Trading 8-2
- 1,405. Lyla Kaplan -----
Credit Trading 8-2
- 1,406. Jacqueline Stern -----
Credit Trading 8-2
- 1,407. Matthew Matell -----
Credit Trading 8-2
- 1,408. Sherrie Robinson -----
Credit Trading 8-2
- 1,409. Resident -----
Credit Trading 8-2
- 1,410. Eileen Flanagan -----
Credit Trading 8-2
- 1,411. Sheila Weinhardt -----
Credit Trading 8-2
- 1,412. Mark Zolandz University of Pennsylvania -----

Current Comments List Continued

Re: Proposed Rulemaking: Mercury Emission Reduction Requirements for Electric Generating Units (#7-405)

Mercury Emission Reduction Requirements for Electric Generating Units (#7-405)

Department of Environmental Protection
PA

Dear Department of Environmental Protection,

I strongly support the Department of Environmental Protection's proposed regulation to reduce mercury emissions from the state's coal plants. I applaud the DEP for taking bold action to address our state's largest source of toxic mercury pollution.

As someone who treasures the fish, wildlife and outdoor recreation opportunities in Pennsylvania, I am concerned about the high levels of mercury contamination in our environment. I want to see my state government take the aggressive action necessary to clean up our state's biggest mercury polluters. As a former intern of the Department of Environmental Protection, I know how much pride the department takes in Pennsylvania's natural treasures and how amazing it is that Pennsylvania has shown how much it cares about the environment.

Mercury contamination is a serious and growing problem in Pennsylvania, and yet affordable technology can control 90 percent of a coal plant's mercury emissions today. There is no justifiable reason to delay reducing mercury pollution in our state any longer. The only way we will see relief from mercury-contaminated waters and fish in Pennsylvania is to require each and every source to do their part and dramatically reduce emissions.

Unless DEP's mercury rule is finalized, Pennsylvania plants will have the option to purchase mercury emission credits instead of technology to clean up their pollution. This is an unacceptable approach to a very serious problem. I am grateful that the DEP has proposed this much-needed plan to protect future generations of people and wildlife in Pennsylvania from toxic mercury exposure.

Thank you very much.

- 1,413. Stephen L. Wood -----
Credit Trading 8-2
- 1,414. Mark Perry -----
Credit Trading 8-2
- 1,415. Taryn Toma -----
Credit Trading 8-2
- 1,416. Pat Pizza -----
Treasures 8-1
- 1,417. Barbara Durkin -----
Credit Trading 8-2
- 1,418. Anil Venkatesh -----
Credit Trading 8-2
- 1,419. Tina Horowitz -----
Credit Trading 8-2
- 1,420. Paul Smith -----
Treasures 8-1

Current Comments List Continued

Re: Proposed Rulemaking: Mercury Emission Reduction Requirements for Electric Generating Units (#7-405)

1,421. Milton Alter -----

Dear Environmental Quality Board,

I am writing in support of the state moving forward with DEP's state-level proposal to cut mercury pollution from Pennsylvania's coal-fired power plants by 90 percent by 2015. Coal-fired power plants are the largest unregulated source of mercury pollution, which contaminates our waterways and eventually the fish that end up on our dinner plates. Medical experts say that even low levels of mercury exposure can affect the way kids learn, think, memorize and behave.

The technology exists to cut mercury pollution by 90 percent, and I support DEP's efforts to require these cuts at Pennsylvania power plants, without allowing for mercury pollution "credit" trading. With the Bush administration weakening our federal mercury protections, it is essential that state decision-makers take the lead in protecting our environment and public health by cutting this toxic pollution from Pennsylvania power plants. I worked with Dr. Leonard Kurland at the National Institutes of Health. He studied Minimata Disease, caused by eating mercury contaminated fish in Japan. The effects were horrendous. Let us avoid such a calamity here.

Sincerely,

Milton Alter
236 Indian Creek Rd
Wynnewood, PA 19096

1,422. Thomas Thomassen -----

Credit Trading 8-2

1,423. Peter Stone -----

Credit Trading 8-2

1,424. Milton Alter -----

Dear Environmental Quality Board,

I am writing in support of the state moving forward with DEP's state-level proposal to cut mercury pollution from Pennsylvania's coal-fired power plants by 90 percent by 2015. Coal-fired power plants are the largest unregulated source of mercury pollution, which contaminates our waterways and eventually the fish that end up on our dinner plates. Medical experts say that even low levels of mercury exposure can affect the way kids learn, think, memorize and behave.

The technology exists to cut mercury pollution by 90 percent, and I support DEP's efforts to require these cuts at Pennsylvania power plants, without allowing for mercury pollution "credit" trading. With the Bush administration weakening our federal mercury protections, it is essential that state decision-makers take the lead in protecting our environment and public health by cutting this toxic pollution from Pennsylvania power plants. I worked with Dr. Leonard Kurland at the National Institutes of Health. He studied Minimata Disease, caused by eating mercury contaminated fish in Japan. The effects were horrendous. Let us avoid such a calamity here.

Sincerely,

Milton Alter
236 Indian Creek Rd
Wynnewood, PA 19096

1,425. Harris Tinkleman -----

Current Comments List Continued

Re: Proposed Rulemaking: Mercury Emission Reduction Requirements for Electric Generating Units (#7-405)

Re: Mercury Emission Reduction Proposed Regulation

Dear Environmental Quality Board,

I am writing in support of the state moving forward with DEP's state-level proposal to cut mercury pollution from Pennsylvania's coal-fired power plants by 90 percent by 2015. Coal-fired power plants are the largest unregulated source of mercury pollution, which contaminates our waterways and eventually the fish that end up on our dinner plates. Medical experts say that even low levels of mercury exposure can affect the way kids learn, think, memorize and behave.

The technology exists to cut mercury pollution by 90 percent, and I support DEP's efforts to require these cuts at Pennsylvania power plants, without allowing for mercury pollution "credit" trading. With the Bush administration weakening our federal mercury protections, it is essential that state decision-makers take the lead in protecting our environment and public health by cutting this toxic pollution from Pennsylvania power plants.

It is urgent that the legislature pass this measure to protect the population of this commonwealth.

Sincerely,

- Harris Tinkleman
109 Krewson Lane
Cheltenham, PA 19012
1,426. Resident -----
Credit Trading 8-2
- 1,427. Lisa Peterman -----
Credit Trading 8-2
- 1,428. David Gurule -----
Credit Trading 8-2
- 1,429. Walter Garvin -----
Credit Trading 8-2
- 1,430. C. Duncan -----
Credit Trading 8-2
- 1,431. Maria K. Maguire -----
Treasures 8-2
- 1,432. Leonora Carr -----
Treasures 8-2
- 1,433. Teresa Brown -----
Treasures 8-2
- 1,434. Barbara Silbert -----

Dear Environmental Quality Board,

I am writing in support of the state moving forward with DEP's state-level proposal to cut mercury pollution from Pennsylvania's coal-fired power plants by 90 percent by 2015. While our Federal Government is too short sighted to pass their own legislation **PENNSYLVANIA CAN ACT FOR ITS OWN CITIZENS!! WE CAN NOT WAIT FOR THE FEDS!**

Coal-fired power plants are the largest unregulated source of mercury pollution, which contaminates our waterways and eventually the fish that end up on our dinner plates. **FISH IS A HEALTHY FOOD AND WE'RE MAKING IT TOXIC!** Medical experts say that even low levels of mercury exposure can affect the way kids learn, think, memorize and behave.

The technology exists to cut mercury pollution by 90 percent, and I support DEP's efforts to require these cuts at Pennsylvania power plants, without allowing for mercury pollution "credit" trading. With the Bush administration weakening our federal mercury protections, it is essential that state decision-makers take the lead in protecting our environment and public health by cutting this toxic pollution from Pennsylvania power plants.

Sincerely,

Barbara Silbert
7802 Ardmore Ave
Wyndmoor, PA 190388508

Current Comments List Continued

Re: Proposed Rulemaking: Mercury Emission Reduction Requirements for Electric Generating Units (#7-405)

1,435. Denis Brennan -----
Treasures 8-2

1,436. Kimberly Clemens -----
Treasures 8-2

1,437. Brian Fink -----
Credit Trading 8-2

1,438. Tamara Marshall -----
Treasures 8-2

1,439. Tina Thomas -----
Treasures 8-2

1,440. Kate Black -----
Credit Trading 8-2

1,441. Wanda Heimann -----
Treasures 8-2

1,442. David Heimann -----
Treasures 8-2

1,443. Henri van Naerssen -----
Dear Environmental Quality Board,

We need cleaner, not dirtier air in Pennsylvania. And certainly not by mercury tainted air.

I am writing in support of the state moving forward with DEP's state-level proposal to cut mercury pollution from Pennsylvania's coal-fired power plants by 90 percent by 2015. Coal-fired power plants are the largest unregulated source of mercury pollution, which contaminates our waterways and eventually the fish that end up on our dinner plates. Medical experts say that even low levels of mercury exposure can affect the way kids learn, think, memorize and behave.

The technology exists to cut mercury pollution by 90 percent, and I support DEP's efforts to require these cuts at Pennsylvania power plants, without allowing for mercury pollution "credit" trading. With the Bush administration weakening our federal mercury protections, it is essential that state decision-makers take the lead in protecting our environment and public health by cutting this toxic pollution from Pennsylvania power plants.

Sincerely,

Henri van Naerssen
460 Prussian Lane
Wayne PA 19087

1,444. Melissa Garvin -----
Treasures 8-2

1,445. Julianne Ulery -----
Treasures 8-2

1,446. Annette Harkness -----
Treasures 8-2

1,447. Ryan L. Ace -----
Treasures 8-1

1,448. Susi Godfrey -----
Credit Trading 8-2

1,449. Margaret A. Benner -----
Treasures 8-2

1,450. Amanda Reed -----
Treasures 8-2

1,451. Robert Nyce -----
Treasures 8-1

1,452. Joyce Akins -----
Credit Trading 8-2

Current Comments List Continued

Re: Proposed Rulemaking: Mercury Emission Reduction Requirements for Electric Generating Units (#7-405)

1,453. Stefanie Sibert -----

Treasures 8-2

1,454. Ronald Pyle -----

Treasures 8-2

1,455. Allie Baurer -----

Credit Trading 8-2

1,456. Robin Wilson -----

Mercury Emission Reduction Requirements for Electric Generating Units (#7-405)

Department of Environmental Protection

PA

Dear Department of Environmental Protection,

I strongly support the Department of Environmental Protection's proposed regulation to reduce mercury emissions from the state's coal plants. I applaud the DEP for taking bold action to address our state's largest source of toxic mercury pollution.

As someone who treasures the fish, wildlife and outdoor recreation opportunities in Pennsylvania, I am concerned about the high levels of mercury contamination in our environment. I want to see my state government take the aggressive action necessary to clean up our state's biggest mercury polluters.

Mercury contamination is a serious and growing problem in Pennsylvania, and yet affordable technology can control 90 percent of a coal plant's mercury emissions today. There is no justifiable reason to delay reducing mercury pollution in our state any longer. The only way we will see relief from mercury-contaminated waters and fish in Pennsylvania is to require each and every source to do their part and dramatically reduce emissions.

Unless DEP's mercury rule is finalized, Pennsylvania plants will have the option to purchase mercury emission credits instead of technology to clean up their pollution. This is an unacceptable approach to a very serious problem. I am grateful that the DEP has proposed this much-needed plan to protect future generations of people and wildlife in Pennsylvania from toxic mercury exposure.

You have already done a great job in bringing back the eagle population in our area. Please continue on with the good work!

Thank you very much.

1,457. Theresa A. Knapp -----

Treasures 8-2

1,458. Rosemary Hoff -----

Treasures 8-2

1,459. Lisa Lipschutz -----

Treasures 8-1

1,460. Gail Kibler -----

Treasures 8-2

1,461. Joseph Escher -----

Credit Trading 8-2

Current Comments List Continued

Re: Proposed Rulemaking: Mercury Emission Reduction Requirements for Electric Generating Units (#7-405)

1,462. Sister M. Philothea -----

Treasures 8-2

1,463. Andrea Dunlavy -----

Treasures 8-2

1,464. Mirjana Jelic -----

Credit Trading 8-2

1,465. Sherri Sternberg -----

Credit Trading 8-1

1,466. Adrian Moyer -----

Treasures 8-2

1,467. Helen Weber -----

Credit Trading 8-2

1,468. Diane E. McCloskey -----

Treasures 8-2

1,469. Stanley Hoffman -----

Treasures 8-1

1,470. Linda Fitz -----

Treasures 8-2

1,471. Dee Holland-Vogt -----

Credit Trading 8-2

1,472. Nancy L. Janda -----

Treasures 8-2

1,473. Donna Fahey -----

Credit Trading 8-2

1,474. Heidi Miller -----

Treasures 8-2

1,475. Stuart Miller -----

Current Comments List Continued

Re: Proposed Rulemaking: Mercury Emission Reduction Requirements for Electric Generating Units (#7-405)

Mercury Emission Reduction Requirements for Electric Generating Units (#7-405)

Department of Environmental Protection
PA

Dear Department of Environmental Protection,

I am deeply concerned about the mercury being callously dumped into the Pennsylvania environment and I strongly support the Department of Environmental Protection's proposed regulation to reduce mercury emissions from the state's coal plants. I applaud the DEP for taking bold action to address our state's largest source of toxic mercury pollution.

As someone who treasures my future grand children, the fish, wildlife and outdoor recreation opportunities in Pennsylvania, I am concerned about the high levels of mercury contamination in our environment. I want to see my state government take the aggressive action necessary to clean up our state's biggest mercury polluters.

Mercury contamination is a serious and growing problem in Pennsylvania, and yet affordable technology can control 90 percent of a coal plant's mercury emissions today. There is no justifiable reason to delay reducing mercury pollution in our state any longer. The only way we will see relief from mercury-contaminated waters and fish in Pennsylvania is to require each and every source to do their part and dramatically reduce emissions.

Unless DEP's mercury rule is finalized, Pennsylvania plants will have the option to purchase mercury emission credits instead of technology to clean up their pollution. This is an unacceptable approach to a very serious problem. I am grateful that the DEP has proposed this much-needed plan to protect future generations of people and wildlife in Pennsylvania from toxic mercury exposure.

Thank you very much.

1,476. Mark Leeson -----
Treasures 8-2

1,477. Bryn Richard -----
Credit Trading 8-2

1,478. Carol Mase -----
Treasures 8-2

1,479. Steve Greenbaum -----
Credit Trading 8-1

1,480. John McGuire -----
Treasures 8-2

1,481. Loni Aichele -----
Credit Trading 8-2

1,482. Susan Warner -----
Treasures 8-1

1,483. Shanon Burkland -----
Treasures 8-2

Current Comments List Continued

Re: Proposed Rulemaking: Mercury Emission Reduction Requirements for Electric Generating Units (#7-405)

1,484. Stephen Gionta -----
Treasures 8-1

1,485. Allyson Degroat -----
Treasures 8-2

1,486. Donna Galvin -----
Credit Trading 8-2

1,487. Tammy Burkhart -----
Treasures 8-1

1,488. James Reichelderfer -----
Mercury Emission Reduction Requirements for Electric Generating Units (#7-405)

As a Pennsylvania resident, I strongly support the DEP's proposed mercury emission rule to reduce mercury emissions from coal fired power plants 90% by 2015. PA is the second largest source of mercury pollution in the U.S. We know that mercury is dangerous for children, and fish across our state are contaminated.

I urge you to oppose any pollution trading rules for mercury, and require all coal plants in PA to reduce their mercury emissions. Please enact the DEP's mercury reduction proposal as soon as possible. Our kids' health is at stake, and they deserve protection today!

Thank you.

Respectfully yours

James Reichelderfer
jpr@erols.com
106 Lombardy Drive
Wallingford PA 19086

1,489. Jacob Galvin -----
Credit Trading 8-2

1,490. Grace Hockenberry -----
Treasures 8-1

1,491. Hilary Entley -----
Treasures 8-2

1,492. Zoe Warner -----
Treasures 8-1

1,493. David Allara -----
Credit Trading 8-2

1,494. George E. Cogswell -----
Treasures 8-2

1,495. Joel Platt -----
Credit Trading 8-2

1,496. Laura Cincotti -----
Treasures 8-2

1,497. Russell Snyder -----
Credit Trading 8-2

1,498. Angela Szesciorka -----
Treasures 8-1

1,499. Liz Tymkiw -----
Credit Trading 8-2

1,500. Barbara Appleton -----
Treasures 8-1

Current Comments List Continued

Re: Proposed Rulemaking: Mercury Emission Reduction Requirements for Electric Generating Units (#7-405)

1,501. Todd Stevenson -----

Credit Trading 8-2

1,502. Debbie Brown -----

Treasures 8-1

1,503. Bret A. Presser -----

Treasures 8-1

1,504. Jamie McVickar -----

Credit Trading 8-2

1,505. Eileen Moran -----

Dear Environmental Quality Board,

I am writing in support of the state moving forward with DEP's state-level proposal to cut mercury pollution from Pennsylvania's coal-fired power plants by 90 percent by 2015. Coal-fired power plants are the largest unregulated source of mercury pollution, which contaminates our waterways and eventually the fish that end up on our dinner plates. Medical experts say that even low levels of mercury exposure can affect the way kids learn, think, memorize and behave.

The technology exists to cut mercury pollution by 90 percent, and I support DEP's efforts to require these cuts at Pennsylvania power plants, without allowing for mercury pollution "credit" trading. With the Bush administration weakening our federal mercury protections, it is essential that state decision-makers take the lead in protecting our environment and public health by cutting this toxic pollution from Pennsylvania power plants.

Take note: Most of the people who oppose this legislation will not be around several years from now when the full consequences are revealed.

Sincerely,
Eileen Moran

Eileen Moran
1 W INDIAN LANE
Jeffersonville, PA 19403

1,506. Guy Gray -----

Dear Environmental Quality Board,

I am writing in support of the state moving forward with DEP's state-level proposal to cut mercury pollution from Pennsylvania's coal-fired power plants by 90 percent by 2015. Coal-fired power plants are the largest unregulated source of mercury pollution, which contaminates our waterways and eventually the fish that end up on our dinner plates. Medical experts say that even low levels of mercury exposure can affect the way kids learn, think, memorize and behave.

The technology exists to cut mercury pollution by 90 percent, and I support DEP's efforts to require these cuts at Pennsylvania power plants, without allowing for mercury pollution "credit" trading. With the Bush administration weakening our federal mercury protections, it is essential that state decision-makers take the lead in protecting our environment and public health by cutting this toxic pollution from Pennsylvania power plants.

Sincerely,

Guy Gray
801 Vernon St.
Bethlehem, PA 18015

1,507. June Almes -----

Credit Trading 8-2

1,508. David Dwight -----

Credit Trading 8-2

1,509. Sam Gillin -----

Credit Trading 8-2

1,510. Thomas W. Flynn, III -----

Credit Trading 8-2

Current Comments List Continued

Re: Proposed Rulemaking: Mercury Emission Reduction Requirements for Electric Generating Units (#7-405)

1,511. Erin Dunleavy -----
Credit Trading 8-2

1,512. Mary Fineran -----
Credit Trading 8-2

1,513. David Mettler -----
Credit Trading 8-2

1,514. Kathryn Cloutman -----
Credit Trading 8-2

1,515. Mary Kane -----
Dear Environmental Quality Board,

Coal is costing us more than we can imagine. The health of our nation depends on stopping the mining, the burning and the sequestration of residue. All this is un sustainable. It provides few jobs, it is burnt up leading to more greenhouse gases, and the resulting toxins are poisoning us and our children.

I am writing in support of the state moving forward with DEP's state-level proposal to cut mercury pollution from Pennsylvania's coal-fired power plants by 90 percent by 2015. Coal-fired power plants are the largest unregulated source of mercury pollution, which contaminates our waterways and eventually the fish that end up on our dinner plates. Medical experts say that even low levels of mercury exposure can affect the way kids learn, think, memorize and behave.

The technology exists to cut mercury pollution by 90 percent, and I support DEP's efforts to require these cuts at Pennsylvania power plants, without allowing for mercury pollution "credit" trading. With the Bush administration weakening our federal mercury protections, it is essential that state decision-makers take the lead in protecting our environment and public health by cutting this toxic pollution from Pennsylvania power plants.

Sincerely,

Mary Kane
5 Rachel Dr.
Chester Springs, PA 19425

1,516. Marvin Meyer -----
Credit Trading 8-2

1,517. Kathleen Gilmore -----
Dear Environmental Quality Board,

Please support the DEP's state-level proposal to cut mercury pollution from Pennsylvania's coal-fired power plants by 90 percent by 2015.

The article below outlines what happens when state by state, industry by industry, country by country, we ignore the laws of nature. By rearranging the chain of life--which we falsely assume we have somehow escaped--we foul our own nest. This is such a sad story--I hope you will do your part to reverse this damage to our planet.
http://www.truthout.org/docs_2006/073106G.shtml

kathleen gilmore
966 kimberton road
chester springs. PA 19425

1,518. Marie Kelsey -----
Credit Trading 8-2

1,519. Michael Leone -----
Credit Trading 8-2

1,520. Kimberly Clemens -----
Credit Trading 8-2

1,521. Catherine Zeldman -----
Credit Trading 8-2

Current Comments List Continued

Re: Proposed Rulemaking: Mercury Emission Reduction Requirements for Electric Generating Units (#7-405)

1,522. Rebecca Rehr -----
Credit Trading 8-2

1,523. George Adams -----
Credit Trading 8-2

1,524. Lori DeWalt -----
Credit Trading 8-2

1,525. Alexandra Kanoff -----
Credit Trading 8-2

1,526. Wood Bouldin -----
Credit Trading 8-2

1,527. Yvonne Serensky -----
Credit Trading 8-2

1,528. Randy Sklar -----
Credit Trading 8-2

1,529. Andrew Hunsinger -----
Credit Trading 8-2

1,530. Stefania Gallucci -----
Credit Trading 8-2

1,531. David Keefe -----
Credit Trading 8-2

1,532. Paul Hiler -----
Credit Trading 8-2

1,533. Erich Burkhard -----
Treasures 8-1

1,534. Scott Smith -----
Credit Trading 8-2

1,535. Jean Sheats -----
Treasures 8-1

1,536. Corey Fuhrer -----
Treasures 8-1

1,537. Noel Bednaz -----
Credit Trading 8-2

1,538. Rebecca Cesarz -----
Credit Trading 8-2

1,539. Catherine Mott -----
Treasures 8-1

1,540. Judy Rosenblum -----
Credit Trading 8-2

1,541. Brenda Kluhsman -----
Credit Trading 8-2

1,542. Burr C. McFarlane -----
Treasures 8-1

1,543. Lisa Wetherby -----
Credit Trading 8-2

1,544. Dennis Coffman -----
Credit Trading 8-2

1,545. Andrew Collings -----
Treasures 8-1

1,546. Elizabeth Sterner -----
Treasures 8-1

1,547. Tracy Millard -----
Credit Trading 8-2

Current Comments List Continued

Re: Proposed Rulemaking: Mercury Emission Reduction Requirements for Electric Generating Units (#7-405)

1,548. Mixhael J. Rohall -----

Treasures 8-1

1,549. Jeffrey Daman -----

Credit Trading 8-2

1,550. Elizabeth Berman -----

Credit Trading 8-2

1,551. Linda Rosen -----

Treasures 8-1

1,552. Luke Paglia -----

Credit Trading 8-2

1,553. Garry Doll -----

Treasures 8-1

1,554. F. David Marschka -----

Credit Trading 8-1

1,555. Heather Carskaddan -----

Treasures 8-1

1,556. Joseph Calhoun -----

Treasures 8-1

1,557. Jeanne Held-Warmkessel -----

Treasures 8-1

1,558. Walter Scott -----

Dear Environmental Quality Board,

As a chest surgeon who works at a cancer center, I am writing in support of the state moving forward with DEP's state-level proposal to cut mercury pollution from Pennsylvania's coal-fired power plants by 90 percent by 2015. Coal-fired power plants are the largest unregulated source of mercury pollution, which contaminates our waterways and eventually the fish that end up on our dinner plates. Medical experts say that even low levels of mercury exposure can affect the way kids learn, think, memorize and behave.

The technology exists to cut mercury pollution by 90 percent, and I support DEP's efforts to require these cuts at Pennsylvania power plants, without allowing for mercury pollution "credit" trading. With the Bush administration weakening our federal mercury protections, it is essential that state decision-makers take the lead in protecting our environment and public health by cutting this toxic pollution from Pennsylvania power plants.

Sincerely,

Walter Scott

1464 Hunter Rd

1,559. Nancy Ohm -----

Treasures 8-1

1,560. Jim Donovan -----

Treasures 8-1

1,561. Judith Hamilton -----

Treasures 8-1

1,562. Jo Ann A. Moore -----

Treasures 8-1

1,563. Jacob Strano -----

Credit Trading 8-2

1,564. Michael Cawley -----

Credit Trading 8-2

1,565. Michael Balsai -----

Credit Trading 8-2

1,566. Jill Walters -----

Credit Trading 8-2

Current Comments List Continued

Re: Proposed Rulemaking: Mercury Emission Reduction Requirements for Electric Generating Units (#7-405)

1,567. Dale Hendricks -----
Credit Trading 8-2

1,568. Kathryn Keegan -----
Dear Environmental Quality Board,

I am writing in support of the state moving forward with DEP's state-level proposal to cut mercury pollution from Pennsylvania's coal-fired power plants by 90 percent by 2015. Coal-fired power plants are the largest unregulated source of mercury pollution, which contaminates our waterways and eventually the fish that end up on our dinner plates. Medical experts say that even low levels of mercury exposure can affect the way kids learn, think, memorize and behave.

The technology exists to cut mercury pollution by 90 percent, and I support DEP's efforts to require these cuts at Pennsylvania power plants, without allowing for mercury pollution "credit" trading. With the Bush administration weakening our federal mercury protections, it is essential that state decision-makers take the lead in protecting our environment and public health by cutting this toxic pollution from Pennsylvania power plants.

It is time for Pennsylvania to take pioneering steps to develop alternative energy sources that don't pollute the environment. Elected officials are meant to serve the people. I direct you to the Constitution of the Commonwealth of PA, Article 1, Section 27..."the people have a right to clean air, pure water, and to the preservation of the natural scenic, historic and esthetic values of the environment...". Please do your job for the people and not for the coal industry lobbyists.

Sincerely,

kathryn keegan
Po Box 12
birchrunville, PA 19421

1,569. John Grunwell -----
Dear Environmental Quality Board,

I am writing in support of the state moving forward with DEP's state-level proposal to cut mercury pollution from Pennsylvania's coal-fired power plants by 90 percent by 2015.

Sincerely,
John Grunwell

John Grunwell
1413 Steeplechase Rd
Downingtown, PA 19335

1,570. Kipp Gilmore-Clough -----
Credit Trading 8-2

1,571. Kevin Meehan -----
Credit Trading 8-2

1,572. Sarah Peck -----
Credit Trading 8-2

1,573. Frances Pierce -----
Credit Trading 8-2

1,574. Jane Mangini -----
Treasures 8-1

1,575. James McCurley -----
Treasures 8-1

1,576. Joel Platt -----
Treasures 8-1

1,577. Kathryn Hockenberry -----
Treasures 8-1

1,578. Karen Whyte -----
Treasures 8-1

1,579. Lauren Kramer -----
Treasures 8-1

Current Comments List Continued

Re: Proposed Rulemaking: Mercury Emission Reduction Requirements for Electric Generating Units (#7-405)

- 1,580. Lillian King -----
Treasures 8-1
- 1,581. John Ingersoll -----
Treasures 8-1
- 1,582. Janet I. Hill -----
Treasures 8-1
- 1,583. Leonard Hess -----
Treasures 8-1
- 1,584. Christine McCarthy -----
Treasures 8-1
- 1,585. Melody Kraus -----
Treasures 8-1
- 1,586. Mary Malley -----
Treasures 8-1
- 1,587. Nathan Kaleta -----
Treasures 8-1
- 1,588. Peg Schiavo -----

Mercury Emission Reduction Requirements for Electric Generating Units (#7-405)

As a Pennsylvania resident, I strongly support the DEP's proposed mercury emission rule to reduce mercury emissions from coal fired power plants 90% by 2015. PA is the second largest source of mercury pollution in the U.S. We know that mercury is dangerous for children, and fish across our state are contaminated.

I urge you to oppose any pollution trading rules for mercury, and require all coal plants in PA to reduce their mercury emissions. Please enact the DEP's mercury reduction proposal as soon as possible. Our kids' health is at stake, and they deserve protection today!

Thank you.

- 1,589. Pat Toner -----
Treasures 8-1
- 1,590. Marjorie Rathbone -----
Treasures 8-1
- 1,591. Tom Ronan -----
Treasures 8-1
- 1,592. Sarah Heffner -----
Treasures 8-1
- 1,593. Jason Hannon -----
Treasures 8-1
- 1,594. Susan Ferrara -----
Treasures 8-1
- 1,595. Daniel Shively -----
Treasures 8-1
- 1,596. Steven K. Kokol -----
Treasures 8-1
- 1,597. Steven J. Schey -----
Treasures 8-1
- 1,598. Thomas Knott Sr. -----
Treasures 8-1
- 1,599. Thomas McDowell -----
Treasures 8-1
- 1,600. Regina T. Neizmik -----
Treasures 8-1

Current Comments List Continued

Re: Proposed Rulemaking: Mercury Emission Reduction Requirements for Electric Generating Units (#7-405)

1,601. Cynthia Derr -----

Treasures 8-1

1,602. Jerry Young -----

Treasures 8-1

1,603. Melody Bowers -----

Treasures 8-3

1,604. Brittany Toft -----

Credit Trading 8-3

1,605. Kristen Dressler -----

Treasures 8-3

1,606. Cheryl Zang -----

Treasures 8-3

1,607. Diane Law -----

Treasures 8-3

1,608. Rodney Saylor -----

Credit Trading 8-3

1,609. Lauren Steele -----

Credit Trading 8-3

1,610. John Farver -----

Treasures 8-3

1,611. Judith C. Stoltzfus -----

Treasures 8-3

1,612. Carl Meixsell -----

As a Pennsylvania resident, I strongly support the DEP's proposed mercury emission rule to reduce mercury emissions from coal fired power plants 90% by 2015. PA is the second largest source of mercury pollution in the U.S. We know that mercury is dangerous for children, and fish across our state are contaminated.

I urge you to oppose any pollution trading rules for mercury, and require all coal plants in PA to reduce their mercury emissions. Please enact the DEP's mercury reduction proposal as soon as possible. Our kids' health is at stake, and they deserve protection today!

Thank you.

Sincerely

Carl Meixsell
cmeixsell@juno.com
202 Summer Ave.
Horsham, PA 19044
1,613. A. Hsieh -----

Current Comments List Continued

Re: Proposed Rulemaking: Mercury Emission Reduction Requirements for Electric Generating Units (#7-405)

Dear Environmental Quality Board,

I am writing in support of the state moving forward with DEP's state-level proposal to cut mercury pollution from Pennsylvania's coal-fired power plants by 90 percent by 2015. Coal-fired power plants are the largest unregulated source of mercury pollution, which contaminates our waterways and eventually the fish that end up on our dinner plates. Medical experts say that even low levels of mercury exposure can affect the way kids learn, think, memorize and behave.

The technology exists to cut mercury pollution by 90 percent, and I support DEP's efforts to require these cuts at Pennsylvania power plants, without allowing for mercury pollution "credit" trading. With the Bush administration weakening our federal mercury protections, it is essential that state decision-makers take the lead in protecting our environment and public health by cutting this toxic pollution from Pennsylvania power plants.

Sincerely,

A. Hsieh
1131 Treeline Drive
Allentown, PA 181036049

1,614. Mark Hirschman -----
Treasuries 8-3

1,615. Ernest Schulte -----
Credit Trading 8-3

1,616. Dana Sommer -----
Credit Trading 8-3

1,617. Allison Glancey -----
Treasuries 8-3

1,618. Anne Phillips -----
Credit Trading 8-3

1,619. Judy Meyers -----

As a Pennsylvania resident, I strongly support the DEP's proposed mercury emission rule to reduce mercury emissions from coal fired power plants 90% by 2015. PA is the second largest source of mercury pollution in the U.S. We know that mercury is dangerous for children, and fish across our state are contaminated.

I urge you to oppose any pollution trading rules for mercury, and require all coal plants in PA to reduce their mercury emissions. Please enact the DEP's mercury reduction proposal as soon as possible. Our kids' health is at stake, and they deserve protection today!

Thank you.

Sincerely

Judy Meyers
jmeyers@zoominternet.net
903 Stonehenge Way
Cranberry Twp., PA 16066
1,620. James Lutz -----

Current Comments List Continued

Re: Proposed Rulemaking: Mercury Emission Reduction Requirements for Electric Generating Units (#7-405)

As a Pennsylvania resident, I strongly support the DEP's proposed mercury emission rule to reduce mercury emissions from coal fired power plants 90% by 2015. PA is the second largest source of mercury pollution in the U.S. We know that mercury is dangerous for children, and fish across our state are contaminated.

I urge you to oppose any pollution trading rules for mercury, and require all coal plants in PA to reduce their mercury emissions. Please enact the DEP's mercury reduction proposal as soon as possible. Our kids' health is at stake, and they deserve protection today!

Thank you.

Sincerely

James Lutz
lutzbelz@aol.com
218 Dan Dr.
Pittsburgh, PA 15216
1,621. Leslie Celia -----

As a Pennsylvania resident, I strongly support the DEP's proposed mercury emission rule to reduce mercury emissions from coal fired power plants 90% by 2015. PA is the second largest source of mercury pollution in the U.S. We know that mercury is dangerous for children, and fish across our state are contaminated.

I urge you to oppose any pollution trading rules for mercury, and require all coal plants in PA to reduce their mercury emissions. Please enact the DEP's mercury reduction proposal as soon as possible. Our kids' health is at stake, and they deserve protection today!

Thank you.

Sincerely

Leslie Celia
lcdesign@aol.com
986 N. Penn Dr.
West Chester, PA 19380
1,622. Sally Alexander -----

Current Comments List Continued

Re: Proposed Rulemaking: Mercury Emission Reduction Requirements for Electric Generating Units (#7-405)

As a Pennsylvania resident, I strongly support the DEP's proposed mercury emission rule to reduce mercury emissions from coal fired power plants 90% by 2015. PA is the second largest source of mercury pollution in the U.S. We know that mercury is dangerous for children, and fish across our state are contaminated.

I urge you to oppose any pollution trading rules for mercury, and require all coal plants in PA to reduce their mercury emissions. Please enact the DEP's mercury reduction proposal as soon as possible. Our kids' health is at stake, and they deserve protection today!

Thank you.

Sincerely

Sally Alexander
shalexan@libcom.com
5648 Marlborough Rd.
Pittsburgh, PA 15217
1,623. Cerise Josephs -----

As a Pennsylvania resident, I strongly support the DEP's proposed mercury emission rule to reduce mercury emissions from coal fired power plants 90% by 2015. PA is the second largest source of mercury pollution in the U.S. We know that mercury is dangerous for children, and fish across our state are contaminated.

I urge you to oppose any pollution trading rules for mercury, and require all coal plants in PA to reduce their mercury emissions. Please enact the DEP's mercury reduction proposal as soon as possible. Our kids' health is at stake, and they deserve protection today!

Thank you.

Sincerely

Cerise Josephs
cjosephs1@msn.com
1258 Morningside Ave.
Pittsburgh, PA 15206
1,624. George Aulisio -----
Credit Trading 8-3
1,625. Mischa Gelman -----
Credit Trading 8-3
1,626. Trudy McGrane -----
Credit Trading 8-3
1,627. Jonathan Aldrich -----

Current Comments List Continued

Re: Proposed Rulemaking: Mercury Emission Reduction Requirements for Electric Generating Units (#7-405)

Dear Environmental Quality Board,

As a parent of a 1-year old child, I am very concerned about mercury pollution in Pennsylvania. Please move forward with DEP's state-level proposal to cut mercury pollution from Pennsylvania's coal-fired power plants by 90 percent by 2015. Coal-fired power plants are the largest unregulated source of mercury pollution. Medical experts say that even low levels of mercury exposure can affect the way kids learn, think, memorize and behave.

The technology exists to cut mercury pollution by 90 percent, and I support DEP's efforts to require these cuts at Pennsylvania power plants. I believe that allowing for mercury pollution "credit" trading is a bad idea, because Pennsylvania's problem is worse than many other states and the trading mechanism could allow polluters in our state to avoid cleaning up their mess.

Sincerely,

- Jonathan Aldrich
5426 Beacon St
Pittsburgh, PA 152171902
1,628. Leslie Felker -----
Credit Trading 8-3
- 1,629. Priscilla Molina -----
Credit Trading 8-3
- 1,630. Laura Long -----
Credit Trading 8-3
- 1,631. James Baldassarre -----
Credit Trading 8-3
- 1,632. Jennifer Ray -----
Credit Trading 8-3
- 1,633. Regina R. Dougherty, SSJ -----
Credit Trading 8-3
- 1,634. Hal Lehman -----
Credit Trading 8-3
- 1,635. Victoria Todd -----
Credit Trading 8-3
- 1,636. Meri K. Sigmund -----
Credit Trading 8-3
- 1,637. Rebecca Denison -----
Credit Trading 8-3
- 1,638. Linda Blythe -----
Credit Trading 8-3
- 1,639. Linda Stat -----
Credit Trading 8-3
- 1,640. Ty Bernhard -----

Current Comments List Continued

Re: Proposed Rulemaking: Mercury Emission Reduction Requirements for Electric Generating Units (#7-405)

Dear Environmental Quality Board,

I am writing in support of the state moving forward with DEP's state-level proposal to cut mercury pollution from Pennsylvania's coal-fired power plants by 90 percent by 2015. Coal-fired power plants are the largest unregulated source of mercury pollution, which contaminates our waterways and eventually the fish that end up on our dinner plates. Medical experts say that even low levels of mercury exposure can affect the way kids learn, think, memorize and behave.

My wife has personally experienced the effects of mercury exposure, which has affected her on a physical level. Due to the out-gassing of mercury fillings she has experienced decreased muscle function and associated chronic pain.

The technology exists to cut mercury pollution by 90 percent, and I support DEP's efforts to require these cuts at Pennsylvania power plants, without allowing for mercury pollution "credit" trading. With the Bush administration weakening our federal mercury protections, it is essential that state decision-makers take the lead in protecting our environment and public health by cutting this toxic pollution from Pennsylvania power plants.

Sincerely,

Ty Bernhard
1621 Woomere Way
Havertown, PA 19083

1,641. Joanne Haughton -----
Credit Trading 8-3

1,642. Julie Delp -----
Credit Trading 8-3

1,643. J. Anderson -----
Credit Trading 8-3

1,644. Dana Moss -----
Credit Trading 8-3

1,645. Rosemary Reshetar -----
Credit Trading 8-3

1,646. Kullie Mellor -----
Credit Trading 8-3

1,647. Ameet Ravital -----
Credit Trading 8-3

1,648. Phyllis Anastasio Stackho -----

Dear Environmental Quality Board,

I am writing in support of the state moving forward with DEP's state-level proposal to cut mercury pollution from Pennsylvania's coal-fired power plants by 90 percent by 2015. Coal-fired power plants are the largest unregulated source of mercury pollution, which contaminates our waterways and eventually the fish that end up on our dinner plates. Medical experts say that even low levels of mercury exposure can affect the way kids learn, think, memorize and behave.

The detrimental effects of this neurotoxin on unborn fetuses and small children are well-known. Since we have the technology to cut this pollution, it would be extremely irresponsible for us to continue with the present levels of mercury pollution.

The technology exists to cut mercury pollution by 90 percent, and I support DEP's efforts to require these cuts at Pennsylvania power plants, without allowing for mercury pollution "credit" trading. With the Bush administration weakening our federal mercury protections, it is essential that state decision-makers take the lead in protecting our environment and public health by cutting this toxic pollution from Pennsylvania power plants.

Sincerely,

Phyllis Anastasio Stackhouse
833 Twining Road
Dresher, PA 19025

1,649. Jenny Ruckdeschel -----
Credit Trading 8-3

Current Comments List Continued

Re: Proposed Rulemaking: Mercury Emission Reduction Requirements for Electric Generating Units (#7-405)

- 1,650. Sara Beltz -----
Credit Trading 8-3
- 1,651. Mary Beth Castillo -----
Credit Trading 8-3
- 1,652. Andrew Caples -----
PA Resident 8-3
- 1,653. Holly Visnesky -----
PA Resident 8-3
- 1,654. Vera Singh -----
PA Resident 8-3
- 1,655. Robert Lake -----
PA Resident 8-3
- 1,656. Elisabeth McNally -----
PA Resident 8-3
- 1,657. Mark Dewitte -----
PA Resident 8-3
- 1,658. Francine Salata -----
PA Resident 8-3
- 1,659. Suzanne Orsini -----
PA Resident 8-3
- 1,660. Robert Rossi -----
Treasures 8-3
- 1,661. Cheryl Rillo -----
PA Resident 8-3
- 1,662. Judy Faraklas -----
PA Resident 8-3
- 1,663. Andrew Neil -----
PA Resident 8-3
- 1,664. Edward Nycz, Jr. -----
PA Resident 8-3
- 1,665. Doug Dahms -----
PA Resident 8-3
- 1,666. Andrew Caples -----
PA Resident 8-3
- 1,667. Kip Leitner -----
PA Resident 8-3
- 1,668. Pat Amos -----
PA Resident 8-3
- 1,669. Kathy Jenkins -----
PA Resident 8-3
- 1,670. Luther Humm -----
PA Resident 8-3
- 1,671. Christa Chapman -----
PA Resident 8-3
- 1,672. John Wertz -----
PA Resident 8-3
- 1,673. Ruth Martt -----
PA Resident 8-3
- 1,674. David Follett -----
PA Resident 8-3
- 1,675. Carol Mauer -----
PA Resident 8-3

Current Comments List Continued

Re: Proposed Rulemaking: Mercury Emission Reduction Requirements for Electric Generating Units (#7-405)

1,676. Anna Grimshaw -----
PA Resident 8-3

1,677. Edward Schneider -----
Treasures 8-3

1,678. Neal Neamand -----
PA Resident 8-3

1,679. Bill Knittle -----
PA Resident 8-3

1,680. Martina Trovato -----
PA Resident 8-3

1,681. Robert Marx -----
Treasures 8-3

1,682. Judy Bell -----
Treasures 8-3

1,683. Rachel Rendsburg -----
PA Resident 8-3

1,684. Danielle Bethell -----
Treasures 8-3

1,685. Aggie Walton -----
Treasures 8-3

1,686. Shirley Beningo -----
Treasures 8-3

1,687. Shannon Wiersbitzky -----

As a Pennsylvania resident, a mother of two children, and a concerned citizen, I strongly support the DEP's proposed mercury emission rule to reduce mercury emissions from coal fired power plants 90% by 2015. PA is the second largest source of mercury pollution in the U.S. That is shameful! We know without a doubt that mercury is dangerous for children, and fish across our state are contaminated.

Just because we can't see mercury doesn't mean it isn't a threat. We have laws to protect our children from predators, but what about their drinking water? Do not allow this to continue. PA should be setting an example to the nation on this matter.

I urge you to STRONGLY oppose any pollution trading rules for mercury, and require all coal plants in PA to reduce their mercury emissions. Please enact the DEP's mercury reduction proposal as soon as possible. Our kids' health is at stake, and they deserve protection today!

Thank you.

Sincerely

Shannon Wiersbitzky
Wiersbitzky@msn.com
8 Oak Glen Drive
Malvern, PA 19355

1,688. Mary Herczeg -----
Treasures 8-3

1,689. Lori Hallwirth -----
Treasures 8-3

1,690. Sylvia Vignali -----
Treasures 8-3

Current Comments List Continued

Re: Proposed Rulemaking: Mercury Emission Reduction Requirements for Electric Generating Units (#7-405)

- 1,691. Christina Blackwood -----
Treasures 8-3
- 1,692. Sean Oldfield -----
Treasures 8-3
- 1,693. Albert R. Minnich -----
Treasures 8-3
- 1,694. Rita Graziano -----

- 1,695. Eileen Killoran -----

- 1,696. William Connors -----

- 1,697. George A. Carroll -----

- 1,698. Heather Quick -----
Credit Trading 8-4
- 1,699. James Butt -----
Re: Mercury Emission Reduction Proposed Regulation

Dear Environmental Quality Board,

I care. Pennsylvanians care. We want you to continue to care and to act responsibly for a clean Pennsylvania environment.

I am writing in support of the state moving forward with DEP's state-level proposal to cut mercury pollution from Pennsylvania's coal-fired power plants by 90 percent by 2015. Coal-fired power plants are the largest unregulated source of mercury pollution, which contaminates our waterways and eventually the fish that end up on our dinner plates. Medical experts say that even low levels of mercury exposure can affect the way kids learn, think, memorize and behave.

The technology exists to cut mercury pollution by 90 percent, and I support DEP's efforts to require these cuts at Pennsylvania power plants, without allowing for mercury pollution "credit" trading. With the Bush administration weakening our federal mercury protections, it is essential that state decision-makers take the lead in protecting our environment and public health by cutting this toxic pollution from Pennsylvania power plants.

Sincerely,

James Butt
1117 Coventry Ave
Chaltonham, PA 100121002

- 1,700. Kristin Dormuth -----
Credit Trading 8-4
- 1,701. Josephine Fitts -----
Credit Trading 8-4
- 1,702. Janet Spahr -----
Credit Trading 8-4
- 1,703. Scott McBurney -----
Credit Trading 8-4
- 1,704. Joanne Stearns -----
Credit Trading 8-4
- 1,705. Jacy Good -----
Credit Trading 8-4
- 1,706. Jim Ewing -----
Credit Trading 8-4
- 1,707. Faye Clark -----
Credit Trading 8-4

Current Comments List Continued

Re: Proposed Rulemaking: Mercury Emission Reduction Requirements for Electric Generating Units (#7-405)

1,708. Eileen Killeen -----
Credit Trading 8-4

1,709. Susan Gotwals -----
Re: Mercury Emission Reduction Proposed Regulation

Dear Environmental Quality Board,

I am writing in support of the state moving forward with DEP's state-level proposal to cut mercury pollution from Pennsylvania's coal-fired power plants by 90 percent by 2015. Coal-fired power plants are the largest unregulated source of mercury pollution, which contaminates our waterways and eventually the fish that end up on our dinner plates. Medical experts say that even low levels of mercury exposure can affect the way kids learn, think, memorize and behave.

The technology exists to cut mercury pollution by 90 percent, and I support DEP's efforts to require these cuts at Pennsylvania power plants, without allowing for mercury pollution "credit" trading. With the Bush administration weakening our federal mercury protections, it is essential that state decision-makers take the lead in protecting our environment and public health by cutting this toxic pollution from Pennsylvania power plants.

Let's not take a step backwards in our efforts to maintain our environment!

Sincerely,
Susan Gotwals

Susan Gotwals
205 N Matlack St
West Chester, PA 193802637

1,710. Merrill C. Horine -----
Credit Trading 8-4

1,711. Ruth Davis -----
Credit Trading 8-4

1,712. Eric Holte -----
Credit Trading 8-4

1,713. Lucia Schlossberg -----
Credit Trading 8-4

1,714. Katie Dickason -----
Treasures 8-5 & 8-6

1,715. Hannah Engel -----
Treasures 8-5 & 8-6

1,716. Lois Hluhan -----
Dear Environmental Quality Board,

Please keeo our children safe.

I am writing in support of the state moving forward with DEP's state-level proposal to cut mercury pollution from Pennsylvania's coal-fired power plants by 90 percent by 2015. Coal-fired power plants are the largest unregulated source of mercury pollution, which contaminates our waterways and eventually the fish that end up on our dinner plates. Medical experts say that even low levels of mercury exposure can affect the way kids learn, think, memorize and behave.

The technology exists to cut mercury pollution by 90 percent, and I support DEP's efforts to require these cuts at Pennsylvania power plants, without allowing for mercury pollution "credit" trading. With the Bush administration weakening our federal mercury protections, it is essential that state decision-makers take the lead in protecting our environment and public health by cutting this toxic pollution from Pennsylvania power plants.

Sincerely,

Lois Hluhan
20 Rocky Lane
Eighty Four, PA 15330

Current Comments List Continued

Re: Proposed Rulemaking: Mercury Emission Reduction Requirements for Electric Generating Units (#7-405)

1,717. Gerald Cooke -----

As a Pennsylvania resident, I strongly support the DEP's proposed mercury emission rule to reduce mercury emissions from coal fired power plants 90% by 2015. PA is the second largest source of mercury pollution in the U.S. We know that mercury is dangerous for children, and fish across our state are contaminated.

I urge you to oppose any pollution trading rules for mercury, and require all coal plants in PA to reduce their mercury emissions. Please enact the DEP's mercury reduction proposal as soon as possible. Our kids' health is at stake, and they deserve protection today!

Thank you.

Sincerely

gerald and margaret cooke

jcookep@verizon.net

613 ederer la

ambler, PA 19002

1,718. Sarah Stredny -----

Credit Trading 8-4

1,719. Will Rutledge -----

Credit Trading 8-4

1,720. Damon Jones -----

Credit Trading 8-4

1,721. Ronald Jones -----

Credit Trading 8-4

1,722. Veronica Heron -----

Credit Trading 8-4

1,723. Susan Maxwell -----

Credit Trading 8-5 & 8-6

1,724. Agnes Klosinski Gallen -----

Credit Trading 8-4

1,725. Ellie Francis -----

Re: Mercury Emission Reduction Proposed Regulation

Dear Environmental Quality Board,

I am writing in support of the state moving forward with DEP's state-level proposal to cut mercury pollution from Pennsylvania's coal-fired power plants by 90 percent by 2015. Coal-fired power plants are the largest unregulated source of mercury pollution, which contaminates our waterways and eventually the fish that end up on our dinner plates. Medical experts say that even low levels of mercury exposure can affect the way kids learn, think, memorize and behave.

The technology exists to cut mercury pollution by 90 percent, and I support DEP's efforts to require these cuts at Pennsylvania power plants, without allowing for mercury pollution "credit" trading. With the Bush administration weakening our federal mercury protections, it is essential that state decision-makers take the lead in protecting our environment and public health by cutting this toxic pollution from Pennsylvania power plants.

Thank you for your careful consideration of this most important issue.

Sincerely,

Ellie Francis

209 Garrett Ave

Swarthmore PA 19081

Current Comments List Continued

Re: Proposed Rulemaking: Mercury Emission Reduction Requirements for Electric Generating Units (#7-405)

1,726. David Forde -----

Dear Environmental Quality Board,

I am writing in support of the state moving forward with DEP's state-level proposal to cut mercury pollution from Pennsylvania's coal-fired power plants by 90 percent by 2015. Coal-fired power plants are the largest unregulated source of mercury pollution, which contaminates our waterways and eventually the fish that end up on our dinner plates. Medical experts say that even low levels of mercury exposure can affect the way kids learn, think, memorize and behave.

The technology exists to cut mercury pollution by 90 percent, and I support DEP's efforts to require these cuts at Pennsylvania power plants, without allowing for mercury pollution "credit" trading. With the current administration weakening our federal mercury protections, it is essential that state decision-makers take the lead in protecting our environment and public health by cutting this toxic pollution from Pennsylvania power plants.

Thank you for taking the time to read and listen.

Sincerely,

David Forde
3027 W Queen Lane
Philadelphia, PA 191291034

1,727. Joan Houk -----

Credit Trading 8-5 & 8-6

1,728. Dianne Cooper -----

Dear Environmental Quality Board,

I am writing in support of the state moving forward with DEP's state-level proposal to cut mercury pollution from Pennsylvania's coal-fired power plants by 90 percent by 2015. Coal-fired power plants are the largest unregulated source of mercury pollution, which contaminates our waterways and eventually the fish that end up on our dinner plates. Medical experts say that even low levels of mercury exposure can affect the way kids learn, think, memorize and behave.

The technology exists to cut mercury pollution by 90 percent, and I support DEP's efforts to require these cuts at Pennsylvania power plants, without allowing for mercury pollution "credit" trading. With the Bush administration weakening our federal mercury protections, it is essential that state decision-makers take the lead in protecting our environment and public health by cutting this toxic pollution from Pennsylvania power plants.

It so important that we do something today, or our future is doomed.

Sincerely,

Dianne Cooper
36 Overlook Rd
Morgantown, PA 195439351

1,729. Angela Ludovici -----

Credit Trading 8-5 & 8-6

1,730. David Skellie -----

Credit Trading 8-5 & 8-6

1,731. Sandra Freid -----

Credit Trading 8-5 & 8-6

1,732. Anne Cope -----

Credit Trading 8-5 & 8-6

1,733. Brian Cope -----

Credit Trading 8-5 & 8-6

1,734. Jay Harter -----

Treasures 8-4

1,735. Mary K. Bingler -----

Treasures 8-5 & 8-6

Current Comments List Continued

Re: Proposed Rulemaking: Mercury Emission Reduction Requirements for Electric Generating Units (#7-405)

1,736. Lisa Ginkinger -----
Treasures 8-4

1,737. Dina Grasso -----
Treasures 8-5 & 8-6

1,738. Lori Spohn -----
Treasures 8-5 & 8-6

1,739. Patricia A. Dengel -----
Treasures 8-4

1,740. Mary Kleinbach -----

Dear Environmental Quality Board,

I am writing in support of the state moving forward with DEP's state-level proposal to cut mercury pollution from Pennsylvania's coal-fired power plants by 90 percent by 2015. Coal-fired power plants are the largest unregulated source of mercury pollution, which contaminates our waterways and eventually the fish that end up on our dinner plates. Medical experts say that even low levels of mercury exposure can affect the way kids learn, think, memorize and behave.

The technology exists to cut mercury pollution by 90 percent, and I support DEP's efforts to require these cuts at Pennsylvania power plants, without allowing for mercury pollution credit trading. With the Bush administration weakening our federal mercury protections, it is essential that state decision-makers take the lead in protecting our environment and public health by cutting this toxic pollution from Pennsylvania power plants.

Sincerely,

mary kleinbach
31 sally ann rd.
Mertztown, PA 19539

1,741. Kathleen M. Smith GL -----
Treasures 8-4

1,742. Autumn Thomas -----
Treasures 8-5 & 8-6

1,743. Virginia Heise -----
Credit Trading 8-5 & 8-6

1,744. Katie Dickason -----
Treasures 8-5 & 8-6

1,745. Gregory Burgdorf -----
Credit Trading 8-5 & 8-6

1,746. Dawn Dippre -----
Treasures 8-5 & 8-6

1,747. Herman & Ida Sheriff -----
Treasures 8-4

1,748. Gary Delp -----
Credit Trading 8-5 & 8-6

1,749. Nicole Berger -----
Treasures 8-4

1,750. Thomas Runtagh -----
Credit Trading 8-5 & 8-6

1,751. Glenn Mehnert -----

Current Comments List Continued

Re: Proposed Rulemaking: Mercury Emission Reduction Requirements for Electric Generating Units (#7-405)

Dear Environmental Quality Board,

Saving money by poisoning our citizens (all at once or slowly over time) is dumb and wrong.

I am writing in support of the state moving forward with DEP's state-level proposal to cut mercury pollution from Pennsylvania's coal-fired power plants by 90 percent by 2015. Coal-fired power plants are the largest unregulated source of mercury pollution, which contaminates our waterways and eventually the fish that end up on our dinner plates. Medical experts say that even low levels of mercury exposure can affect the way kids learn, think, memorize and behave.

The technology exists to cut mercury pollution by 90 percent, and I support DEP's efforts to require these cuts at Pennsylvania power plants, without allowing for mercury pollution "credit" trading. With the Bush administration weakening our federal mercury protections, it is essential that state decision-makers take the lead in protecting our environment and public health by cutting this toxic pollution from Pennsylvania power plants.

Sincerely,

Glenn Mehnert
1249 Eastwick Circle
West Chester, PA 19380
1,752. Karen Eble -----

Dear Environmental Quality Board,

I am writing in support of the state moving forward with DEP's state-level proposal to cut mercury pollution from Pennsylvania's coal-fired power plants by 90 percent by 2015. Coal-fired power plants are the largest unregulated source of mercury pollution, which contaminates our waterways and eventually the fish that end up on our dinner plates. Medical experts say that even low levels of mercury exposure can affect the way kids learn, think, memorize and behave.

The technology exists to cut mercury pollution by 90 percent, and I support DEP's efforts to require these cuts at Pennsylvania power plants, without allowing for mercury pollution "credit" trading. With the Bush administration weakening our federal mercury protections, it is essential that state decision-makers take the lead in protecting our environment and public health by cutting this toxic pollution from Pennsylvania power plants.

We all deserve a healthy environment, but our children NEED a healthy environment to grow to their fullest potential.

Sincerely,

Karen Eble
58 Lee Lynn Ln
Huntingdon Vallev. PA 190067960
1,753. Louis Teodoro -----

Credit Trading 8-4

1,754. Louis Teodoro -----

Credit Trading 8-4

1,755. Steve Mackie -----

PA Resident 8-7

1,756. Debbie Wauthier -----

PA Resident 8-7

1,757. Eileen Gleisner -----

Credit Trading 8-7

1,758. Brad Barlow -----

Credit Trading 8-7

1,759. Diane Pakulski -----

PA Resident 8-7

1,760. Chris Ream -----

PA Resident 8-7

Current Comments List Continued

Re: Proposed Rulemaking: Mercury Emission Reduction Requirements for Electric Generating Units (#7-405)

- 1,761. Fred Evans -----
PA Resident 8-7
- 1,762. Laura O'Shea -----
PA Resident 8-7
- 1,763. John Stolz -----
PA Resident 8-7
- 1,764. Suzanne Potter -----
PA Resident 8-7
- 1,765. Lois Reich -----
PA Resident 8-7
- 1,766. Linda Judd -----
PA Resident 8-7
- 1,767. Cathy Ginsberg -----
PA Resident 8-7
- 1,768. Sheila Incognito -----
PA Resident 8-7
- 1,769. Hans Rauch -----
PA Resident 8-7
- 1,770. Donna James -----
PA Resident 8-7
- 1,771. Linda Sensenig -----
PA Resident 8-7
- 1,772. Teresa Goretzka -----
PA Resident 8-7
- 1,773. Tim Bradley -----
PA Resident 8-7
- 1,774. Paula Kline -----
PA Resident 8-7
- 1,775. Lorraine Lombardi -----
PA Resident 8-7
- 1,776. Edward Thornton -----

Current Comments List Continued

Re: Proposed Rulemaking: Mercury Emission Reduction Requirements for Electric Generating Units (#7-405)

Please support DEP Proposed Mercury Rulemaking

Edward Thornton
7 Swarthmore Place
Swarthmore, PA 19081-1023

August 7, 2006

members of the Environmental Quality Board (EQB)
Rachel Carson State Office Building, 15th Floor, 400 Market Street
P. O. Box 8477
Harrisburg, PA 17105-2063

Dear members of the Environmental Quality Board (EQB):

I strongly support the Department of Environmental Protection's (DEP) proposed rulemaking on mercury reductions from coal-fired power plants in Pennsylvania.

As a chemistry professor at Penn, I am well acquainted with the toxicity of mercury, and as a result I am very concerned about the high levels of mercury present in Pennsylvania.

Pennsylvania lakes, rivers, and streams are contaminated with mercury pollution. Every water in the Commonwealth has advisories on fish consumption due to high levels of mercury and other types of pollution. In over 200 sampling locations in the state, fish have such high amounts of mercury that people are advised to eat no more than two meals of those fish per month.

This an absurd and highly dangerous -- and unacceptable -- situation. I believe that serious and credible action that will really allow people to eat fish without danger is needed now.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment in support of the proposed rule for cutting mercury pollution from Pennsylvania's power plants.

Sincerely,

1,777. Kathleen Padalino -----
PA Resident 8-7

1,778. Elisabeth Halpert -----
Treasures 8-7

1,779. John Schott -----
Treasures 8-7

1,780. Paul Horvath -----
Treasures 8-7

1,781. The Hon. David J. Steil PA House of Representatives -----

1,782. Bonnie Howe -----

1,783. The Romano Family -----

Current Comments List Continued

Re: Proposed Rulemaking: Mercury Emission Reduction Requirements for Electric Generating Units (#7-405)

1,784. Mr. & Mrs. Robert Ball -----

1,785. Julia Johns -----

Current Comments List Continued

Re: Proposed Rulemaking: Mercury Emission Reduction Requirements for Electric Generating Units (#7-405)

Julia Johns
113 Golf View Drive
McMurray, PA 15317-5327

August 7, 2006

members of the Environmental Quality Board (EQB)
Rachel Carson State Office Building, 15th Floor, 400 Market Street
P. O. Box 8477
Harrisburg, PA 17105-2063

Dear members of the Environmental Quality Board (EQB):

I strongly support the Department of Environmental Protection's (DEP) proposed rulemaking on mercury reductions from coal-fired power plants in Pennsylvania.

Mercury pollution from coal-fired power plants affects health. Mercury pollution from power plants forms methylmercury, which poses a major health threat to humans and wildlife, primarily from eating fish. Mercury causes serious neurological problems in developing fetuses and babies. Very small amounts of mercury, passed to the child through the mother's blood or milk, can cause health effects. The U.S. Centers for Disease Control verify that over 600,000 women of childbearing age in the U.S. have levels of mercury in their blood higher than that considered safe for their developing babies. Mercury pollution is also causing developmental problems for a wide variety of wildlife, including song birds, mammals, and amphibians.

Pennsylvania lakes, rivers, and streams are contaminated with mercury pollution. Every water in the Commonwealth has advisories on fish consumption due to high levels of mercury and other types of pollution. In over 200 sampling locations in the state, fish have such high amounts of mercury that people are advised to eat no more than two meals of those fish per month.

Mercury pollution builds up in areas close to the source, creating dangerous "hot spots" of high mercury concentrations. Fish in Pennsylvania are highly contaminated with mercury in some areas, not as much in other areas. The areas with the highest concentrations correspond to those places downwind of mercury-spewing coal-fired power plants. Recent DEP data showed that over eight years, mercury levels at a sampling station located in Cambria County near power plants were 47 percent greater than mercury levels recorded at a sampling station located in Tioga County, which is not close to mercury sources. Recent EPA-funded studies show that up to 70 percent of mercury contamination comes from local and regional sources. The studies also show that cleaning up mercury pollution at nearby sources results in significant drops in mercury contamination in nearby fish.

Pennsylvania is number two in the nation for mercury pollution to air from coal-fired power plants. The most recent Toxic Release Inventory from the Environmental Protection Agency ranks Pennsylvania as second worst in the nation for mercury pollution to the air, behind only Texas. This is up from third in 2004.

Current Comments List Continued

Re: Proposed Rulemaking: Mercury Emission Reduction Requirements for Electric Generating Units (#7-405)

The federal Clean Air Mercury Rule (CAMR) does too little too late. CAMR proponents claim that Pennsylvania will see an 86 percent drop in mercury pollution as a result of the federal rule. But the Congressional Research Service has detailed that CAMR won't deliver the reductions it promises, due to mercury pollution trading, where dirty plants are allowed to buy credits from cleaner, more modern ones. Pennsylvania plants are traditionally the number one purchasers of pollution credits. Overall, the Congressional Research Service concluded that CAMR would result in at best a 70 percent reduction in mercury emissions, but not until 2030 or later. The Pennsylvania rule as proposed requires that plants in the state must reduce their mercury emission levels by 90 percent by 2015, and does not allow mercury trading. Further, Pennsylvania and 15 other states, are challenging CAMR as illegal under the Clean Air Act, because it fails to treat mercury as the hazardous pollutant that it is. Should the legal action prevail, CAMR will be struck down, and we will be left with no protections from mercury pollution. A number of other states have already passed their own, more protective mercury reduction rules, and many others are in the process of doing so.

Mercury pollution controls are available and affordable, and Pennsylvania coal-fired power plants are very profitable. Like a fully paid home mortgage, capital costs at coal-fired plants in Pennsylvania have been paid off. They are baseload plants that run all of the time, making electricity at costs far below wholesale prices, which more and more frequently is set by the cost of electricity produced by gas-fired plants. Wholesale electricity prices range between six and seven cents per kilowatt hour (kWh); coal-fired power plants produce electricity at a cost of between three and four cents per kWh. A recent National Wildlife Federation report estimated that the average customer would see an increase of \$1.08 on monthly electric bills if all the cost were passed through to consumers. In Pennsylvania's competitive retail electricity market, electricity suppliers cannot just routinely pass on their costs. They can choose to pass on none, some or all of their costs, or they can decide to reduce profits.

An overwhelming majority of Pennsylvanians support the state mercury rule, even if it means paying more on electricity bills. A recent opinion poll conducted by Terry Madonna Research Opinion found that 4 out of 5 Pennsylvanians support a mercury rule that is stronger and implemented faster than the federal rule. Eighty percent of the respondents would be willing to pay up to \$1.08 more on the electricity in support of the Pennsylvania rule. More than 60 health-affected, health, women's, children's, sporting, faith-based, environmental and conservation organizations around the state support the Pennsylvania rule. Over 100 hunting and angling clubs around the state support the rule. Over 100 medical experts and faith leaders around the state have co-signed letters in support of the state-specific rule.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment in support of the proposed rule for cutting mercury pollution from Pennsylvania's power plants.

Sincerely,

Julia Johns
7249417406

1,786. Tim Amick -----

Current Comments List Continued

Re: Proposed Rulemaking: Mercury Emission Reduction Requirements for Electric Generating Units (#7-405)

- 1,787. Eric J. Goetter -----
- 1,788. Jennifer O. Valentine -----
- 1,789. Rich & Debby Law -----
- 1,790. Theodore S. Valentine -----
- 1,791. Virginia Papiernik -----
- 1,792. Nancy L. Geesey -----
- 1,793. Mary Anne Bradley -----
- 1,794. Laura Tooker -----
- 1,795. B. Barndt -----
- 1,796. Jodi A. Hasbrouck -----
- 1,797. Lillian T. Shinsato -----
- 1,798. Catherine Bowes National Wildlife Federation -----
- 1,799. Robert Belchic -----
- 1,800. James A. Surges -----
- 1,801. Analia Lovato -----
- 1,802. Robert J. & Nellie M. Leavy -----
- 1,803. Mary Gallant -----
- 1,804. Marjory Belchic -----
- 1,805. Diane Chesna -----
- 1,806. Sharon Anderson -----
- 1,807. The Bartle Family -----
- 1,808. Mrs. James Bryan -----
- 1,809. Theresa Franklin -----
- 1,810. John & Diane Rickards -----
- 1,811. Wendy Moyer -----
- 1,812. Erik McDarby -----

Treasures 8-7

Current Comments List Continued

Re: Proposed Rulemaking: Mercury Emission Reduction Requirements for Electric Generating Units (#7-405)

1,813. Galen Wood -----
Treasures 8-7

1,814. James Perrins -----
Treasures 8-7

1,815. Rebecca Conrad -----
Treasures 8-7

1,816. Michael Lillys -----
Credit Trading 8-7

1,817. Bob Beaney -----
ear Environmental Quality Board,

We are destroying our environment, the health of our people and life for those who will exist after many of us are gone. I am writing in support of the state moving forward with DEP's state-level proposal to cut mercury pollution from Pennsylvania's coal-fired power plants by 90 percent by 2015. Coal-fired power plants are the largest unregulated source of mercury pollution, which contaminates our waterways and eventually the fish that end up on our dinner plates. Medical experts say that even low levels of mercury exposure can affect the way kids learn, think, memorize and behave.

The technology exists to cut mercury pollution by 90 percent, and I support DEP's efforts to require these cuts at Pennsylvania power plants, without allowing for mercury pollution "credit" trading. With the Bush administration weakening our federal mercury protections, it is essential that state decision-makers take the lead in protecting our environment and public health by cutting this toxic pollution from Pennsylvania power plants. Please think about the preservation of human life!
Sincerely,

Bob Beaney
308 Barry Lane
Wallingford, PA 19086

1,818. Doug Roysdon -----
PA Resident 8-7

1,819. John Kuzak -----
PA Resident 8-7

1,820. Roger Hamm -----
PA Resident 8-7

1,821. Susan Wallace -----
PA Resident 8-7

1,822. Nathaniel Doyno -----
Hot Spots 8-7

1,823. Stephanie Parke -----

Current Comments List Continued

Re: Proposed Rulemaking: Mercury Emission Reduction Requirements for Electric Generating Units (#7-405)

Stephanie Parke
1101 N New St
West Chester, PA 19380-3870

August 7, 2006

members of the Environmental Quality Board (EQB)
Rachel Carson State Office Building, 15th Floor, 400 Market Street
P. O. Box 8477
Harrisburg, PA 17105-2063

Dear members of the Environmental Quality Board (EQB):

I strongly support the Department of Environmental Protection's (DEP) proposed rulemaking on mercury reductions from coal-fired power plants in Pennsylvania.

Mercury is a proven poison and it is folly to ignore it.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment in support of the proposed rule for cutting mercury pollution from Pennsylvania's power plants.

Sincerely,

1,824. Barbara Field -----

Current Comments List Continued

Re: Proposed Rulemaking: Mercury Emission Reduction Requirements for Electric Generating Units (#7-405)

Barbara Field
318 Richfield Street
Pittsburgh, PA 15234-2935

August 7, 2006

members of the Environmental Quality Board (EQB)
Rachel Carson State Office Building, 15th Floor, 400 Market Street
P. O. Box 8477
Harrisburg, PA 17105-2063

Dear members of the Environmental Quality Board (EQB):

I strongly support the Department of Environmental Protection's (DEP) proposed rulemaking on mercury reductions from coal-fired power plants in Pennsylvania.

Mercury pollution from coal-fired power plants affects health. Mercury pollution from power plants forms methylmercury, which poses a major health threat to humans and wildlife, primarily from eating fish. Mercury causes serious neurological problems in developing fetuses and babies. Very small amounts of mercury, passed to the child through the mother's blood or milk, can cause health effects. The U.S. Centers for Disease Control verify that over 600,000 women of childbearing age in the U.S. have levels of mercury in their blood higher than that considered safe for their developing babies. Mercury pollution is also causing developmental problems for a wide variety of wildlife, including song birds, mammals, and amphibians.

Pennsylvania lakes, rivers, and streams are contaminated with mercury pollution. Every water in the Commonwealth has advisories on fish consumption due to high levels of mercury and other types of pollution. In over 200 sampling locations in the state, fish have such high amounts of mercury that people are advised to eat no more than two meals of those fish per month.

Mercury pollution builds up in areas close to the source, creating dangerous "hot spots" of high mercury concentrations. Fish in Pennsylvania are highly contaminated with mercury in some areas, not as much in other areas. The areas with the highest concentrations correspond to those places downwind of mercury-spewing coal-fired power plants. Recent DEP data showed that over eight years, mercury levels at a sampling station located in Cambria County near power plants were 47 percent greater than mercury levels recorded at a sampling station located in Tioga County, which is not close to mercury sources. Recent EPA-funded studies show that up to 70 percent of mercury contamination comes from local and regional sources. The studies also show that cleaning up mercury pollution at nearby sources results in significant drops in mercury contamination in nearby fish.

Pennsylvania is number two in the nation for mercury pollution to air from coal-fired power plants. The most recent Toxic Release Inventory from the Environmental Protection Agency ranks Pennsylvania as second worst in the nation for mercury pollution to the air, behind only Texas. This is up from third in 2004.

Current Comments List Continued

Re: Proposed Rulemaking: Mercury Emission Reduction Requirements for Electric Generating Units (#7-405)

The federal Clean Air Mercury Rule (CAMR) does too little too late. CAMR proponents claim that Pennsylvania will see an 86 percent drop in mercury pollution as a result of the federal rule. But the Congressional Research Service has detailed that CAMR won't deliver the reductions it promises, due to mercury pollution trading, where dirty plants are allowed to buy credits from cleaner, more modern ones. Pennsylvania plants are traditionally the number one purchasers of pollution credits. Overall, the Congressional Research Service concluded that CAMR would result in at best a 70 percent reduction in mercury emissions, but not until 2030 or later. The Pennsylvania rule as proposed requires that plants in the state must reduce their mercury emission levels by 90 percent by 2015, and does not allow mercury trading. Further, Pennsylvania and 15 other states, are challenging CAMR as illegal under the Clean Air Act, because it fails to treat mercury as the hazardous pollutant that it is. Should the legal action prevail, CAMR will be struck down, and we will be left with no protections from mercury pollution. A number of other states have already passed their own, more protective mercury reduction rules, and many others are in the process of doing so.

Mercury pollution controls are available and affordable, and Pennsylvania coal-fired power plants are very profitable. Like a fully paid home mortgage, capital costs at coal-fired plants in Pennsylvania have been paid off. They are baseload plants that run all of the time, making electricity at costs far below wholesale prices, which more and more frequently is set by the cost of electricity produced by gas-fired plants. Wholesale electricity prices range between six and seven cents per kilowatt hour (kWh); coal-fired power plants produce electricity at a cost of between three and four cents per kWh. A recent National Wildlife Federation report estimated that the average customer would see an increase of \$1.08 on monthly electric bills if all the cost were passed through to consumers. In Pennsylvania's competitive retail electricity market, electricity suppliers cannot just routinely pass on their costs. They can choose to pass on none, some or all of their costs, or they can decide to reduce profits.

An overwhelming majority of Pennsylvanians support the state mercury rule, even if it means paying more on electricity bills. A recent opinion poll conducted by Terry Madonna Research Opinion found that 4 out of 5 Pennsylvanians support a mercury rule that is stronger and implemented faster than the federal rule. Eighty percent of the respondents would be willing to pay up to \$1.08 more on the electricity in support of the Pennsylvania rule. More than 60 health-affected, health, women's, children's, sporting, faith-based, environmental and conservation organizations around the state support the Pennsylvania rule. Over 100 hunting and angling clubs around the state support the rule. Over 100 medical experts and faith leaders around the state have co-signed letters in support of the state-specific rule.

The federal mercury rule is bad for Pennsylvania's economy. Mercury contamination is threatening the Commonwealth's sporting, angling, and recreation industry, a significant source of revenue and jobs throughout the state. Because of the trading system set up in CAMR, Pennsylvania plants are more likely to pay for pollution credits than to clean up and modernize old plants. As a result, jobs are exported to other states, in the form of skilled labor required for the technological upgrades. As the electricity market becomes more integrated, cleaner plants (in other states) will out-compete their dirty counterparts (in Pennsylvania), forcing plants to close and more jobs to be lost. Pennsylvania's rule

Current Comments List Continued

Re: Proposed Rulemaking: Mercury Emission Reduction Requirements for Electric Generating Units (#7-405)

encourages use of bituminous coal (mined in Pennsylvania and in nearby states). The federal rule makes it more attractive for plants to switch to coal mined from Western states. Most importantly, there are significant costs associated with the devastating health impacts; rates of learning disabilities and associated health effects of mercury in children are increasing.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment in support of the proposed rule for cutting mercury pollution from Pennsylvania's power plants.

Sincerely,

Barbara Field
(412) 882-9651
1,825. Anthony Capobianco -----

Current Comments List Continued

Re: Proposed Rulemaking: Mercury Emission Reduction Requirements for Electric Generating Units (#7-405)

Anthony Capobianco
1400 Knights Drive
South Park, PA 15129-8519

August 7, 2006

members of the Environmental Quality Board (EQB)
Rachel Carson State Office Building, 15th Floor, 400 Market Street
P. O. Box 8477
Harrisburg, PA 17105-2063

Dear members of the Environmental Quality Board (EQB):

I strongly support the Department of Environmental Protection's (DEP) proposed rulemaking on mercury reductions from coal-fired power plants in Pennsylvania.

Mercury pollution from coal-fired power plants affects health. Mercury pollution from power plants forms methylmercury, which poses a major health threat to humans and wildlife, primarily from eating fish. Mercury causes serious neurological problems in developing fetuses and babies. Very small amounts of mercury, passed to the child through the mother's blood or milk, can cause health effects. The U.S. Centers for Disease Control verify that over 600,000 women of childbearing age in the U.S. have levels of mercury in their blood higher than that considered safe for their developing babies. Mercury pollution is also causing developmental problems for a wide variety of wildlife, including song birds, mammals, and amphibians.

Pennsylvania lakes, rivers, and streams are contaminated with mercury pollution. Every water in the Commonwealth has advisories on fish consumption due to high levels of mercury and other types of pollution. In over 200 sampling locations in the state, fish have such high amounts of mercury that people are advised to eat no more than two meals of those fish per month.

Mercury pollution builds up in areas close to the source, creating dangerous "hot spots" of high mercury concentrations. Fish in Pennsylvania are highly contaminated with mercury in some areas, not as much in other areas. The areas with the highest concentrations correspond to those places downwind of mercury-spewing coal-fired power plants. Recent DEP data showed that over eight years, mercury levels at a sampling station located in Cambria County near power plants were 47 percent greater than mercury levels recorded at a sampling station located in Tioga County, which is not close to mercury sources. Recent EPA-funded studies show that up to 70 percent of mercury contamination comes from local and regional sources. The studies also show that cleaning up mercury pollution at nearby sources results in significant drops in mercury contamination in nearby fish.

Pennsylvania is number two in the nation for mercury pollution to air from coal-fired power plants. The most recent Toxic Release Inventory from the Environmental Protection Agency ranks Pennsylvania as second worst in the nation for mercury pollution to the air, behind only Texas. This is up from third in 2004.

Current Comments List Continued

Re: Proposed Rulemaking: Mercury Emission Reduction Requirements for Electric Generating Units (#7-405)

The federal Clean Air Mercury Rule (CAMR) does too little too late. CAMR proponents claim that Pennsylvania will see an 86 percent drop in mercury pollution as a result of the federal rule. But the Congressional Research Service has detailed that CAMR won't deliver the reductions it promises, due to mercury pollution trading, where dirty plants are allowed to buy credits from cleaner, more modern ones. Pennsylvania plants are traditionally the number one purchasers of pollution credits. Overall, the Congressional Research Service concluded that CAMR would result in at best a 70 percent reduction in mercury emissions, but not until 2030 or later. The Pennsylvania rule as proposed requires that plants in the state must reduce their mercury emission levels by 90 percent by 2015, and does not allow mercury trading. Further, Pennsylvania and 15 other states, are challenging CAMR as illegal under the Clean Air Act, because it fails to treat mercury as the hazardous pollutant that it is. Should the legal action prevail, CAMR will be struck down, and we will be left with no protections from mercury pollution. A number of other states have already passed their own, more protective mercury reduction rules, and many others are in the process of doing so.

Mercury pollution controls are available and affordable, and Pennsylvania coal-fired power plants are very profitable. Like a fully paid home mortgage, capital costs at coal-fired plants in Pennsylvania have been paid off. They are baseload plants that run all of the time, making electricity at costs far below wholesale prices, which more and more frequently is set by the cost of electricity produced by gas-fired plants. Wholesale electricity prices range between six and seven cents per kilowatt hour (kWh); coal-fired power plants produce electricity at a cost of between three and four cents per kWh. A recent National Wildlife Federation report estimated that the average customer would see an increase of \$1.08 on monthly electric bills if all the cost were passed through to consumers. In Pennsylvania's competitive retail electricity market, electricity suppliers cannot just routinely pass on their costs. They can choose to pass on none, some or all of their costs, or they can decide to reduce profits.

An overwhelming majority of Pennsylvanians support the state mercury rule, even if it means paying more on electricity bills. A recent opinion poll conducted by Terry Madonna Research Opinion found that 4 out of 5 Pennsylvanians support a mercury rule that is stronger and implemented faster than the federal rule. Eighty percent of the respondents would be willing to pay up to \$1.08 more on the electricity in support of the Pennsylvania rule. More than 60 health-affected, health, women's, children's, sporting, faith-based, environmental and conservation organizations around the state support the Pennsylvania rule. Over 100 hunting and angling clubs around the state support the rule. Over 100 medical experts and faith leaders around the state have co-signed letters in support of the state-specific rule.

The federal mercury rule is bad for Pennsylvania's economy. Mercury contamination is threatening the Commonwealth's sporting, angling, and recreation industry, a significant source of revenue and jobs throughout the state. Because of the trading system set up in CAMR, Pennsylvania plants are more likely to pay for pollution credits than to clean up and modernize old plants. As a result, jobs are exported to other states, in the form of skilled labor required for the technological upgrades. As the electricity market becomes more integrated, cleaner plants (in other states) will out-compete their dirty counterparts (in Pennsylvania), forcing plants to close and more jobs to be lost. Pennsylvania's rule

Current Comments List Continued

Re: Proposed Rulemaking: Mercury Emission Reduction Requirements for Electric Generating Units (#7-405)

encourages use of bituminous coal (mined in Pennsylvania and in nearby states). The federal rule makes it more attractive for plants to switch to coal mined from Western states. Most importantly, there are significant costs associated with the devastating health impacts; rates of learning disabilities and associated health effects of mercury in children are increasing.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment in support of the proposed rule for cutting mercury pollution from Pennsylvania's power plants.

Sincerely,

Anthony A Capobianco

412-854-4463

1,826. James Smith -----

Current Comments List Continued

Re: Proposed Rulemaking: Mercury Emission Reduction Requirements for Electric Generating Units (#7-405)

James Smith
385 Pencroft Drive South
Holtwood, PA 17532-9711

August 7, 2006

members of the Environmental Quality Board (EQB)
Rachel Carson State Office Building, 15th Floor, 400 Market Street
P. O. Box 8477
Harrisburg, PA 17105-2063

Dear members of the Environmental Quality Board (EQB):

I strongly support the Department of Environmental Protection's (DEP) proposed rulemaking on mercury reductions from coal-fired power plants in Pennsylvania.

Pennsylvania lakes, rivers, and streams are contaminated with mercury pollution. Every water in the Commonwealth has advisories on fish consumption due to high levels of mercury and other types of pollution. In over 200 sampling locations in the state, fish have such high amounts of mercury that people are advised to eat no more than two meals of those fish per month.

Mercury pollution builds up in areas close to the source, creating dangerous "hot spots" of high mercury concentrations. Fish in Pennsylvania are highly contaminated with mercury in some areas, not as much in other areas. The areas with the highest concentrations correspond to those places downwind of mercury-spewing coal-fired power plants. Recent DEP data showed that over eight years, mercury levels at a sampling station located in Cambria County near power plants were 47 percent greater than mercury levels recorded at a sampling station located in Tioga County, which is not close to mercury sources. Recent EPA-funded studies show that up to 70 percent of mercury contamination comes from local and regional sources. The studies also show that cleaning up mercury pollution at nearby sources results in significant drops in mercury contamination in nearby fish.

Recent studies have also shown that mercury is building in land-feeding passerine birds, not even associated with waterways.

Just require mercury extraction technology for all our coal-burning plants. The price of energy will escalate no matter what, until we develop a mind set to use alternate sources on a large scale, and phase out fossil fuels.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment in support of the proposed rule for cutting mercury pollution from Pennsylvania's power plants.

Sincerely,

James H. Smith
717 291 1150

Current Comments List Continued

Re: Proposed Rulemaking: Mercury Emission Reduction Requirements for Electric Generating Units (#7-405)

1,827. Grayfred Gray, J.D. -----
Grayfred Gray, J.D.
908 Shreiner Ave.
Lancaster, PA 17603-2524

August 7, 2006

members of the Environmental Quality Board (EQB)
Rachel Carson State Office Building, 15th Floor, 400 Market Street
P. O. Box 8477
Harrisburg, PA 17105-2063

Dear members of the Environmental Quality Board (EQB):

I strongly support the Department of Environmental Protection's (DEP) proposed rulemaking on mercury reductions from coal-fired power plants in Pennsylvania.

I am opposed to any unnecessary mercury being in the air, water, or ground of Pennsylvania because of the threat it poses to human life, human development, especially child development, and all other living creatures. You are aware of all the risks of mercury so I will not belabor you with a recitation of them.

Suffice it to say that I believe that it is your responsibility to protect the public from mercury pollution to the highest degree possible. I am glad to pay taxes to support your agency in doing that.

I believe that we have a moral responsibility to protect life and the earth itself from toxic pollution. Your proposed rules move in the right direction. If I could write the rules, I would write even stronger ones for protection of the public and the earth.

Our current conditions of mercury contamination are not acceptable and are not morally defensible. How can we justify having the need for a water pollution advisory on every body of water in the state to protect the public against mercury and other poisoning? Of course, we cannot.

I urge you to put the standards as high as legally permissible and help us return to a condition in which we can safely use our air, water and lands.

I wish you success in your work on behalf of the people of the State.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment in support of the proposed rule for cutting mercury pollution from Pennsylvania's power plants.

Sincerely,

1,828. William Haaf -----

Current Comments List Continued

Re: Proposed Rulemaking: Mercury Emission Reduction Requirements for Electric Generating Units (#7-405)

william Haaf
1923 marlboro rd
kennett square, PA 19348-1906

August 7, 2006

members of the Environmental Quality Board (EQB)
Rachel Carson State Office Building, 15th Floor, 400 Market Street
P. O. Box 8477
Harrisburg, PA 17105-2063

Dear members of the Environmental Quality Board (EQB):

I strongly support the Department of Environmental Protection's (DEP) proposed rulemaking on mercury reductions from coal-fired power plants in Pennsylvania.

Mercury pollution from coal-fired power plants affects health. Mercury pollution from power plants forms methylmercury, which poses a major health threat to humans and wildlife, primarily from eating fish. Mercury causes serious neurological problems in developing fetuses and babies. Very small amounts of mercury, passed to the child through the mother's blood or milk, can cause health effects. The U.S. Centers for Disease Control verify that over 600,000 women of childbearing age in the U.S. have levels of mercury in their blood higher than that considered safe for their developing babies. Mercury pollution is also causing developmental problems for a wide variety of wildlife, including song birds, mammals, and amphibians.

Mercury pollution builds up in areas close to the source, creating dangerous "hot spots" of high mercury concentrations. Fish in Pennsylvania are highly contaminated with mercury in some areas, not as much in other areas. The areas with the highest concentrations correspond to those places downwind of mercury-spewing coal-fired power plants. Recent DEP data showed that over eight years, mercury levels at a sampling station located in Cambria County near power plants were 47 percent greater than mercury levels recorded at a sampling station located in Tioga County, which is not close to mercury sources. Recent EPA-funded studies show that up to 70 percent of mercury contamination comes from local and regional sources. The studies also show that cleaning up mercury pollution at nearby sources results in significant drops in mercury contamination in nearby fish.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment in support of the proposed rule for cutting mercury pollution from Pennsylvania's power plants.

Sincerely,

william C Haaf
6107935086
1,829. Geoffrey Seger -----

Current Comments List Continued

Re: Proposed Rulemaking: Mercury Emission Reduction Requirements for Electric Generating Units (#7-405)

Geoffrey Seger
8 Forest Drive
Tunkhannock, PA 18657-9396

August 7, 2006

members of the Environmental Quality Board (EQB)
Rachel Carson State Office Building, 15th Floor, 400 Market Street
P. O. Box 8477
Harrisburg, PA 17105-2063

Dear members of the Environmental Quality Board (EQB):

I strongly support the Department of Environmental Protection's (DEP) proposed rulemaking on mercury reductions from coal-fired power plants in Pennsylvania.

Mercury pollution from coal-fired power plants affects health. Mercury pollution from power plants forms methylmercury, which poses a major health threat to humans and wildlife, primarily from eating fish. Mercury causes serious neurological problems in developing fetuses and babies. Very small amounts of mercury, passed to the child through the mother's blood or milk, can cause health effects. The U.S. Centers for Disease Control verify that over 600,000 women of childbearing age in the U.S. have levels of mercury in their blood higher than that considered safe for their developing babies. Mercury pollution is also causing developmental problems for a wide variety of wildlife, including song birds, mammals, and amphibians.

Pennsylvania lakes, rivers, and streams are contaminated with mercury pollution. Every water in the Commonwealth has advisories on fish consumption due to high levels of mercury and other types of pollution. In over 200 sampling locations in the state, fish have such high amounts of mercury that people are advised to eat no more than two meals of those fish per month.

Mercury pollution builds up in areas close to the source, creating dangerous "hot spots" of high mercury concentrations. Fish in Pennsylvania are highly contaminated with mercury in some areas, not as much in other areas. The areas with the highest concentrations correspond to those places downwind of mercury-spewing coal-fired power plants. Recent DEP data showed that over eight years, mercury levels at a sampling station located in Cambria County near power plants were 47 percent greater than mercury levels recorded at a sampling station located in Tioga County, which is not close to mercury sources. Recent EPA-funded studies show that up to 70 percent of mercury contamination comes from local and regional sources. The studies also show that cleaning up mercury pollution at nearby sources results in significant drops in mercury contamination in nearby fish.

Pennsylvania is number two in the nation for mercury pollution to air from coal-fired power plants. The most recent Toxic Release Inventory from the Environmental Protection Agency ranks Pennsylvania as second worst in the nation for mercury pollution to the air, behind only Texas. This is up from third in 2004.

Current Comments List Continued

Re: Proposed Rulemaking: Mercury Emission Reduction Requirements for Electric Generating Units (#7-405)

The federal Clean Air Mercury Rule (CAMR) does too little too late. CAMR proponents claim that Pennsylvania will see an 86 percent drop in mercury pollution as a result of the federal rule. But the Congressional Research Service has detailed that CAMR won't deliver the reductions it promises, due to mercury pollution trading, where dirty plants are allowed to buy credits from cleaner, more modern ones. Pennsylvania plants are traditionally the number one purchasers of pollution credits. Overall, the Congressional Research Service concluded that CAMR would result in at best a 70 percent reduction in mercury emissions, but not until 2030 or later. The Pennsylvania rule as proposed requires that plants in the state must reduce their mercury emission levels by 90 percent by 2015, and does not allow mercury trading. Further, Pennsylvania and 15 other states, are challenging CAMR as illegal under the Clean Air Act, because it fails to treat mercury as the hazardous pollutant that it is. Should the legal action prevail, CAMR will be struck down, and we will be left with no protections from mercury pollution. A number of other states have already passed their own, more protective mercury reduction rules, and many others are in the process of doing so.

Mercury pollution controls are available and affordable, and Pennsylvania coal-fired power plants are very profitable. Like a fully paid home mortgage, capital costs at coal-fired plants in Pennsylvania have been paid off. They are baseload plants that run all of the time, making electricity at costs far below wholesale prices, which more and more frequently is set by the cost of electricity produced by gas-fired plants. Wholesale electricity prices range between six and seven cents per kilowatt hour (kWh); coal-fired power plants produce electricity at a cost of between three and four cents per kWh. A recent National Wildlife Federation report estimated that the average customer would see an increase of \$1.08 on monthly electric bills if all the cost were passed through to consumers. In Pennsylvania's competitive retail electricity market, electricity suppliers cannot just routinely pass on their costs. They can choose to pass on none, some or all of their costs, or they can decide to reduce profits.

An overwhelming majority of Pennsylvanians support the state mercury rule, even if it means paying more on electricity bills. A recent opinion poll conducted by Terry Madonna Research Opinion found that 4 out of 5 Pennsylvanians support a mercury rule that is stronger and implemented faster than the federal rule. Eighty percent of the respondents would be willing to pay up to \$1.08 more on the electricity in support of the Pennsylvania rule. More than 60 health-affected, health, women's, children's, sporting, faith-based, environmental and conservation organizations around the state support the Pennsylvania rule. Over 100 hunting and angling clubs around the state support the rule. Over 100 medical experts and faith leaders around the state have co-signed letters in support of the state-specific rule.

The federal mercury rule is bad for Pennsylvania's economy. Mercury contamination is threatening the Commonwealth's sporting, angling, and recreation industry, a significant source of revenue and jobs throughout the state. Because of the trading system set up in CAMR, Pennsylvania plants are more likely to pay for pollution credits than to clean up and modernize old plants. As a result, jobs are exported to other states, in the form of skilled labor required for the technological upgrades. As the electricity market becomes more integrated, cleaner plants (in other states) will out-compete their dirty counterparts (in Pennsylvania), forcing plants to close and more jobs to be lost. Pennsylvania's rule

Current Comments List Continued

Re: Proposed Rulemaking: Mercury Emission Reduction Requirements for Electric Generating Units (#7-405)

encourages use of bituminous coal (mined in Pennsylvania and in nearby states). The federal rule makes it more attractive for plants to switch to coal mined from Western states. Most importantly, there are significant costs associated with the devastating health impacts; rates of learning disabilities and associated health effects of mercury in children are increasing. MC_message_8959881

Thank you for the opportunity to comment in support of the proposed rule for cutting mercury pollution from Pennsylvania's power plants.

Sincerely,

Geoffrey E. Seger
1,830. Emily Seger -----

Current Comments List Continued

Re: Proposed Rulemaking: Mercury Emission Reduction Requirements for Electric Generating Units (#7-405)

Emily Seger
8 Forest Drive
Tunkhannock, PA 18657-9396

August 7, 2006

members of the Environmental Quality Board (EQB)
Rachel Carson State Office Building, 15th Floor, 400 Market Street
P. O. Box 8477
Harrisburg, PA 17105-2063

Dear members of the Environmental Quality Board (EQB):

I strongly support the Department of Environmental Protection's (DEP) proposed rulemaking on mercury reductions from coal-fired power plants in Pennsylvania.

Mercury pollution from coal-fired power plants affects health. Mercury pollution from power plants forms methylmercury, which poses a major health threat to humans and wildlife, primarily from eating fish. Mercury causes serious neurological problems in developing fetuses and babies. Very small amounts of mercury, passed to the child through the mother's blood or milk, can cause health effects. The U.S. Centers for Disease Control verify that over 600,000 women of childbearing age in the U.S. have levels of mercury in their blood higher than that considered safe for their developing babies. Mercury pollution is also causing developmental problems for a wide variety of wildlife, including song birds, mammals, and amphibians.

Pennsylvania lakes, rivers, and streams are contaminated with mercury pollution. Every water in the Commonwealth has advisories on fish consumption due to high levels of mercury and other types of pollution. In over 200 sampling locations in the state, fish have such high amounts of mercury that people are advised to eat no more than two meals of those fish per month.

Mercury pollution builds up in areas close to the source, creating dangerous "hot spots" of high mercury concentrations. Fish in Pennsylvania are highly contaminated with mercury in some areas, not as much in other areas. The areas with the highest concentrations correspond to those places downwind of mercury-spewing coal-fired power plants. Recent DEP data showed that over eight years, mercury levels at a sampling station located in Cambria County near power plants were 47 percent greater than mercury levels recorded at a sampling station located in Tioga County, which is not close to mercury sources. Recent EPA-funded studies show that up to 70 percent of mercury contamination comes from local and regional sources. The studies also show that cleaning up mercury pollution at nearby sources results in significant drops in mercury contamination in nearby fish.

Pennsylvania is number two in the nation for mercury pollution to air from coal-fired power plants. The most recent Toxic Release Inventory from the Environmental Protection Agency ranks Pennsylvania as second worst in the nation for mercury pollution to the air, behind only Texas. This is up from third in 2004.

Current Comments List Continued

Re: Proposed Rulemaking: Mercury Emission Reduction Requirements for Electric Generating Units (#7-405)

The federal Clean Air Mercury Rule (CAMR) does too little too late. CAMR proponents claim that Pennsylvania will see an 86 percent drop in mercury pollution as a result of the federal rule. But the Congressional Research Service has detailed that CAMR won't deliver the reductions it promises, due to mercury pollution trading, where dirty plants are allowed to buy credits from cleaner, more modern ones. Pennsylvania plants are traditionally the number one purchasers of pollution credits. Overall, the Congressional Research Service concluded that CAMR would result in at best a 70 percent reduction in mercury emissions, but not until 2030 or later. The Pennsylvania rule as proposed requires that plants in the state must reduce their mercury emission levels by 90 percent by 2015, and does not allow mercury trading. Further, Pennsylvania and 15 other states, are challenging CAMR as illegal under the Clean Air Act, because it fails to treat mercury as the hazardous pollutant that it is. Should the legal action prevail, CAMR will be struck down, and we will be left with no protections from mercury pollution. A number of other states have already passed their own, more protective mercury reduction rules, and many others are in the process of doing so.

Mercury pollution controls are available and affordable, and Pennsylvania coal-fired power plants are very profitable. Like a fully paid home mortgage, capital costs at coal-fired plants in Pennsylvania have been paid off. They are baseload plants that run all of the time, making electricity at costs far below wholesale prices, which more and more frequently is set by the cost of electricity produced by gas-fired plants. Wholesale electricity prices range between six and seven cents per kilowatt hour (kWh); coal-fired power plants produce electricity at a cost of between three and four cents per kWh. A recent National Wildlife Federation report estimated that the average customer would see an increase of \$1.08 on monthly electric bills if all the cost were passed through to consumers. In Pennsylvania's competitive retail electricity market, electricity suppliers cannot just routinely pass on their costs. They can choose to pass on none, some or all of their costs, or they can decide to reduce profits.

An overwhelming majority of Pennsylvanians support the state mercury rule, even if it means paying more on electricity bills. A recent opinion poll conducted by Terry Madonna Research Opinion found that 4 out of 5 Pennsylvanians support a mercury rule that is stronger and implemented faster than the federal rule. Eighty percent of the respondents would be willing to pay up to \$1.08 more on the electricity in support of the Pennsylvania rule. More than 60 health-affected, health, women's, children's, sporting, faith-based, environmental and conservation organizations around the state support the Pennsylvania rule. Over 100 hunting and angling clubs around the state support the rule. Over 100 medical experts and faith leaders around the state have co-signed letters in support of the state-specific rule.

The federal mercury rule is bad for Pennsylvania's economy. Mercury contamination is threatening the Commonwealth's sporting, angling, and recreation industry, a significant source of revenue and jobs throughout the state. Because of the trading system set up in CAMR, Pennsylvania plants are more likely to pay for pollution credits than to clean up and modernize old plants. As a result, jobs are exported to other states, in the form of skilled labor required for the technological upgrades. As the electricity market becomes more integrated, cleaner plants (in other states) will out-compete their dirty counterparts (in Pennsylvania), forcing plants to close and more jobs to be lost. Pennsylvania's rule

Current Comments List Continued

Re: Proposed Rulemaking: Mercury Emission Reduction Requirements for Electric Generating Units (#7-405)

encourages use of bituminous coal (mined in Pennsylvania and in nearby states). The federal rule makes it more attractive for plants to switch to coal mined from Western states. Most importantly, there are significant costs associated with the devastating health impacts; rates of learning disabilities and associated health effects of mercury in children are increasing.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment in support of the proposed rule for cutting mercury pollution from Pennsylvania's power plants.

Sincerely,

Emilv B. Seger
1,831. Stuart Strickland -----

Current Comments List Continued

Re: Proposed Rulemaking: Mercury Emission Reduction Requirements for Electric Generating Units (#7-405)

Stuart Strickland
8219 Eleanor Street
Pittsburgh, PA 15237-5219

August 7, 2006

members of the Environmental Quality Board (EQB)
Rachel Carson State Office Building, 15th Floor, 400 Market Street
P. O. Box 8477
Harrisburg, PA 17105-2063

Dear members of the Environmental Quality Board (EQB):

I strongly support the Department of Environmental Protection's (DEP) proposed rulemaking on mercury reductions from coal-fired power plants in Pennsylvania.

I will be brief. The logic in favor of Pennsylvania having its own rules in place, instead of using the looser federal rules, is: * sound * reasonable * well documented * favored by the majority of informed citizens.

Opposition comes only from big industry that stands to make huge sums of money FOR ITSELF at the expense of people's health. More likely than not, PENNSYLVANIA TAXPAYERS will end up footing future health-care costs due to problems caused by increased mercury intake.

"CAMR" (federal rules) may indeed result in less mercury being emitted nationwide, but here in PA, because of "credits trading", emissions will likely go UP.

Please vote for STATE control of mercury emissions, NOT FEDERAL.

Thank you.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment in support of the proposed rule for cutting mercury pollution from Pennsylvania's power plants.

Sincerely,

Stuart Strickland
1,832. Anne Jackson -----

Current Comments List Continued

Re: Proposed Rulemaking: Mercury Emission Reduction Requirements for Electric Generating Units (#7-405)

anne jackson
po box 516
morgantown, PA 19543-0516

August 7, 2006

members of the Environmental Quality Board (EQB)
Rachel Carson State Office Building, 15th Floor, 400 Market Street
P. O. Box 8477
Harrisburg, PA 17105-2063

Dear members of the Environmental Quality Board (EQB):

I strongly support the Department of Environmental Protection's (DEP) proposed rulemaking on mercury reductions from coal-fired power plants in Pennsylvania.

I say, do absolutely everything possible to cut mercury down NOW! and I don't buy at all that jobs will be lost in the process of doing this Our environmental safety and air quality are of upmost importance these days, and if we all do not put them first, too much will be lost in too short a time Please do everything in your power to regulate mercury as much as possible now, not tomorrow or next year or in ten years

Thank you for the opportunity to comment in support of the proposed rule for cutting mercury pollution from Pennsylvania's power plants.

Sincerely,

1,833. Karen Matroni -----

Current Comments List Continued

Re: Proposed Rulemaking: Mercury Emission Reduction Requirements for Electric Generating Units (#7-405)

Karen Matroni
2465 State Street
East Petersburg, PA 17520-1248

August 7, 2006

members of the Environmental Quality Board (EQB)
Rachel Carson State Office Building, 15th Floor, 400 Market Street
P. O. Box 8477
Harrisburg, PA 17105-2063

Dear members of the Environmental Quality Board (EQB):

I strongly support the Department of Environmental Protection's (DEP) proposed rulemaking on mercury reductions from coal-fired power plants in Pennsylvania.

Mercury pollution from coal-fired power plants affects health. Mercury pollution from power plants forms methylmercury, which poses a major health threat to humans and wildlife, primarily from eating fish. Mercury causes serious neurological problems in developing fetuses and babies. Very small amounts of mercury, passed to the child through the mother's blood or milk, can cause health effects. The U.S. Centers for Disease Control verify that over 600,000 women of childbearing age in the U.S. have levels of mercury in their blood higher than that considered safe for their developing babies. Mercury pollution is also causing developmental problems for a wide variety of wildlife, including song birds, mammals, and amphibians.

Pennsylvania lakes, rivers, and streams are contaminated with mercury pollution. Every water in the Commonwealth has advisories on fish consumption due to high levels of mercury and other types of pollution. In over 200 sampling locations in the state, fish have such high amounts of mercury that people are advised to eat no more than two meals of those fish per month.

Mercury pollution builds up in areas close to the source, creating dangerous "hot spots" of high mercury concentrations. Fish in Pennsylvania are highly contaminated with mercury in some areas, not as much in other areas. The areas with the highest concentrations correspond to those places downwind of mercury-spewing coal-fired power plants. Recent DEP data showed that over eight years, mercury levels at a sampling station located in Cambria County near power plants were 47 percent greater than mercury levels recorded at a sampling station located in Tioga County, which is not close to mercury sources. Recent EPA-funded studies show that up to 70 percent of mercury contamination comes from local and regional sources. The studies also show that cleaning up mercury pollution at nearby sources results in significant drops in mercury contamination in nearby fish.

Pennsylvania is number two in the nation for mercury pollution to air from coal-fired power plants. The most recent Toxic Release Inventory from the Environmental Protection Agency ranks Pennsylvania as second worst in the nation for mercury pollution to the air, behind only Texas. This is up from third in 2004.

Current Comments List Continued

Re: Proposed Rulemaking: Mercury Emission Reduction Requirements for Electric Generating Units (#7-405)

The federal Clean Air Mercury Rule (CAMR) does too little too late. CAMR proponents claim that Pennsylvania will see an 86 percent drop in mercury pollution as a result of the federal rule. But the Congressional Research Service has detailed that CAMR won't deliver the reductions it promises, due to mercury pollution trading, where dirty plants are allowed to buy credits from cleaner, more modern ones. Pennsylvania plants are traditionally the number one purchasers of pollution credits. Overall, the Congressional Research Service concluded that CAMR would result in at best a 70 percent reduction in mercury emissions, but not until 2030 or later. The Pennsylvania rule as proposed requires that plants in the state must reduce their mercury emission levels by 90 percent by 2015, and does not allow mercury trading. Further, Pennsylvania and 15 other states, are challenging CAMR as illegal under the Clean Air Act, because it fails to treat mercury as the hazardous pollutant that it is. Should the legal action prevail, CAMR will be struck down, and we will be left with no protections from mercury pollution. A number of other states have already passed their own, more protective mercury reduction rules, and many others are in the process of doing so.

Mercury pollution controls are available and affordable, and Pennsylvania coal-fired power plants are very profitable. Like a fully paid home mortgage, capital costs at coal-fired plants in Pennsylvania have been paid off. They are baseload plants that run all of the time, making electricity at costs far below wholesale prices, which more and more frequently is set by the cost of electricity produced by gas-fired plants. Wholesale electricity prices range between six and seven cents per kilowatt hour (kWh); coal-fired power plants produce electricity at a cost of between three and four cents per kWh. A recent National Wildlife Federation report estimated that the average customer would see an increase of \$1.08 on monthly electric bills if all the cost were passed through to consumers. In Pennsylvania's competitive retail electricity market, electricity suppliers cannot just routinely pass on their costs. They can choose to pass on none, some or all of their costs, or they can decide to reduce profits.

An overwhelming majority of Pennsylvanians support the state mercury rule, even if it means paying more on electricity bills. A recent opinion poll conducted by Terry Madonna Research Opinion found that 4 out of 5 Pennsylvanians support a mercury rule that is stronger and implemented faster than the federal rule. Eighty percent of the respondents would be willing to pay up to \$1.08 more on the electricity in support of the Pennsylvania rule. More than 60 health-affected, health, women's, children's, sporting, faith-based, environmental and conservation organizations around the state support the Pennsylvania rule. Over 100 hunting and angling clubs around the state support the rule. Over 100 medical experts and faith leaders around the state have co-signed letters in support of the state-specific rule.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment in support of the proposed rule for cutting mercury pollution from Pennsylvania's power plants.

Sincerely,

Karen Matroni

1,834. Corinne Ogradnik -----

Current Comments List Continued

Re: Proposed Rulemaking: Mercury Emission Reduction Requirements for Electric Generating Units (#7-405)

Corinne Ogrodnik
1013 Welfer St.
Pittsburgh, PA 15217-2650

August 7, 2006

members of the Environmental Quality Board (EQB)
Rachel Carson State Office Building, 15th Floor, 400 Market Street
P. O. Box 8477
Harrisburg, PA 17105-2063

Dear members of the Environmental Quality Board (EQB):

I strongly support the Department of Environmental Protection's (DEP) proposed rulemaking on mercury reductions from coal-fired power plants in Pennsylvania.

Mercury pollution from coal-fired power plants affects health. Mercury pollution from power plants forms methylmercury, which poses a major health threat to humans and wildlife, primarily from eating fish. Mercury causes serious neurological problems in developing fetuses and babies. Very small amounts of mercury, passed to the child through the mother's blood or milk, can cause health effects. The U.S. Centers for Disease Control verify that over 600,000 women of childbearing age in the U.S. have levels of mercury in their blood higher than that considered safe for their developing babies. Mercury pollution is also causing developmental problems for a wide variety of wildlife, including song birds, mammals, and amphibians.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment in support of the proposed rule for cutting mercury pollution from Pennsylvania's power plants.

Sincerely,

Corinne Ogrodnik
1,835. Richard Whiteford -----

Current Comments List Continued

Re: Proposed Rulemaking: Mercury Emission Reduction Requirements for Electric Generating Units (#7-405)

Richard Whiteford
908 Covington Drive
Downingtown, PA 19335-3151

August 7, 2006

members of the Environmental Quality Board (EQB)
Rachel Carson State Office Building, 15th Floor, 400 Market Street
P. O. Box 8477
Harrisburg, PA 17105-2063

Dear members of the Environmental Quality Board (EQB):

I strongly support the Department of Environmental Protection's (DEP) proposed rulemaking on mercury reductions from coal-fired power plants in Pennsylvania.

Mercury pollution from coal-fired power plants affects health. Mercury pollution from power plants forms methylmercury, which poses a major health threat to humans and wildlife, primarily from eating fish. Mercury causes serious neurological problems in developing fetuses and babies. Very small amounts of mercury, passed to the child through the mother's blood or milk, can cause health effects. The U.S. Centers for Disease Control verify that over 600,000 women of childbearing age in the U.S. have levels of mercury in their blood higher than that considered safe for their developing babies. Mercury pollution is also causing developmental problems for a wide variety of wildlife, including song birds, mammals, and amphibians.

Pennsylvania lakes, rivers, and streams are contaminated with mercury pollution. Every water in the Commonwealth has advisories on fish consumption due to high levels of mercury and other types of pollution. In over 200 sampling locations in the state, fish have such high amounts of mercury that people are advised to eat no more than two meals of those fish per month.

Mercury pollution builds up in areas close to the source, creating dangerous "hot spots" of high mercury concentrations. Fish in Pennsylvania are highly contaminated with mercury in some areas, not as much in other areas. The areas with the highest concentrations correspond to those places downwind of mercury-spewing coal-fired power plants. Recent DEP data showed that over eight years, mercury levels at a sampling station located in Cambria County near power plants were 47 percent greater than mercury levels recorded at a sampling station located in Tioga County, which is not close to mercury sources. Recent EPA-funded studies show that up to 70 percent of mercury contamination comes from local and regional sources. The studies also show that cleaning up mercury pollution at nearby sources results in significant drops in mercury contamination in nearby fish.

Pennsylvania is number two in the nation for mercury pollution to air from coal-fired power plants. The most recent Toxic Release Inventory from the Environmental Protection Agency ranks Pennsylvania as second worst in the nation for mercury pollution to the air, behind only Texas. This is up from third in 2004.

Current Comments List Continued

Re: Proposed Rulemaking: Mercury Emission Reduction Requirements for Electric Generating Units (#7-405)

Mercury pollution controls are available and affordable, and Pennsylvania coal-fired power plants are very profitable. Like a fully paid home mortgage, capital costs at coal-fired plants in Pennsylvania have been paid off. They are baseload plants that run all of the time, making electricity at costs far below wholesale prices, which more and more frequently is set by the cost of electricity produced by gas-fired plants. Wholesale electricity prices range between six and seven cents per kilowatt hour (kWh); coal-fired power plants produce electricity at a cost of between three and four cents per kWh. A recent National Wildlife Federation report estimated that the average customer would see an increase of \$1.08 on monthly electric bills if all the cost were passed through to consumers. In Pennsylvania's competitive retail electricity market, electricity suppliers cannot just routinely pass on their costs. They can choose to pass on none, some or all of their costs, or they can decide to reduce profits.

An overwhelming majority of Pennsylvanians support the state mercury rule, even if it means paying more on electricity bills. A recent opinion poll conducted by Terry Madonna Research Opinion found that 4 out of 5 Pennsylvanians support a mercury rule that is stronger and implemented faster than the federal rule. Eighty percent of the respondents would be willing to pay up to \$1.08 more on the electricity in support of the Pennsylvania rule. More than 60 health-affected, health, women's, children's, sporting, faith-based, environmental and conservation organizations around the state support the Pennsylvania rule. Over 100 hunting and angling clubs around the state support the rule. Over 100 medical experts and faith leaders around the state have co-signed letters in support of the state-specific rule.

The federal mercury rule is bad for Pennsylvania's economy. Mercury contamination is threatening the Commonwealth's sporting, angling, and recreation industry, a significant source of revenue and jobs throughout the state. Because of the trading system set up in CAMR, Pennsylvania plants are more likely to pay for pollution credits than to clean up and modernize old plants. As a result, jobs are exported to other states, in the form of skilled labor required for the technological upgrades. As the electricity market becomes more integrated, cleaner plants (in other states) will out-compete their dirty counterparts (in Pennsylvania), forcing plants to close and more jobs to be lost. Pennsylvania's rule encourages use of bituminous coal (mined in Pennsylvania and in nearby states). The federal rule makes it more attractive for plants to switch to coal mined from Western states. Most importantly, there are significant costs associated with the devastating health impacts; rates of learning disabilities and associated health effects of mercury in children are increasing.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment in support of the proposed rule for cutting mercury pollution from Pennsylvania's power plants.

Sincerely,

Richard Whiteford
610-246-7974

1,836. Howard R. Birkett Jr. -----
Fly After Fish 8-8

1,837. Patricia Conn -----

Current Comments List Continued

Re: Proposed Rulemaking: Mercury Emission Reduction Requirements for Electric Generating Units (#7-405)

Patricia Conn
1336 Knollwood Drive
Monroeville, PA 15146-4449

August 7, 2006

members of the Environmental Quality Board (EQB)
Rachel Carson State Office Building, 15th Floor, 400 Market Street
P. O. Box 8477
Harrisburg, PA 17105-2063

Dear members of the Environmental Quality Board (EQB):

I strongly support the Department of Environmental Protection's (DEP) proposed rulemaking on mercury reductions from coal-fired power plants in Pennsylvania.

Pennsylvania is number two in the nation for mercury pollution to air from coal-fired power plants. The most recent Toxic Release Inventory from the Environmental Protection Agency ranks Pennsylvania as second worst in the nation for mercury pollution to the air, behind only Texas. This is up from third in 2004.

Mercury pollution controls are available and affordable, and Pennsylvania coal-fired power plants are very profitable. Like a fully paid home mortgage, capital costs at coal-fired plants in Pennsylvania have been paid off. They are baseload plants that run all of the time, making electricity at costs far below wholesale prices, which more and more frequently is set by the cost of electricity produced by gas-fired plants. Wholesale electricity prices range between six and seven cents per kilowatt hour (kWh); coal-fired power plants produce electricity at a cost of between three and four cents per kWh. A recent National Wildlife Federation report estimated that the average customer would see an increase of \$1.08 on monthly electric bills if all the cost were passed through to consumers. In Pennsylvania's competitive retail electricity market, electricity suppliers cannot just routinely pass on their costs. They can choose to pass on none, some or all of their costs, or they can decide to reduce profits.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment in support of the proposed rule for cutting mercury pollution from Pennsylvania's power plants.

Sincerely,

Patricia Conn
1,838. Alfred J. Tracey -----
Fly After Fish 8-8
1,839. J. Maglicco -----
Fly After Fish 8-8
1,840. Randy Schuler -----
Fly After Fish 8-8
1,841. Joseph E. Evans -----
Fly After Fish 8-8

Current Comments List Continued

Re: Proposed Rulemaking: Mercury Emission Reduction Requirements for Electric Generating Units (#7-405)

1,842. William Sharpe -----
William Sharpe
392 Egg Hill Rd
Spring Mills, PA 16875-9316

August 7, 2006

members of the Environmental Quality Board (EQB)
Rachel Carson State Office Building, 15th Floor, 400 Market Street
P. O. Box 8477
Harrisburg, PA 17105-2063

Dear members of the Environmental Quality Board (EQB):

I strongly support the Department of Environmental Protection's (DEP) proposed rulemaking on mercury reductions from coal-fired power plants in Pennsylvania.

Mercury pollution from coal-fired power plants affects health. Mercury pollution from power plants forms methylmercury, which poses a major health threat to humans and wildlife, primarily from eating fish. Mercury causes serious neurological problems in developing fetuses and babies. Very small amounts of mercury, passed to the child through the mother's blood or milk, can cause health effects. The U.S. Centers for Disease Control verify that over 600,000 women of childbearing age in the U.S. have levels of mercury in their blood higher than that considered safe for their developing babies. Mercury pollution is also causing developmental problems for a wide variety of wildlife, including song birds, mammals, and amphibians.

Pennsylvania lakes, rivers, and streams are contaminated with mercury pollution. Every water in the Commonwealth has advisories on fish consumption due to high levels of mercury and other types of pollution. In over 200 sampling locations in the state, fish have such high amounts of mercury that people are advised to eat no more than two meals of those fish per month.

Mercury pollution builds up in areas close to the source, creating dangerous "hot spots" of high mercury concentrations. Fish in Pennsylvania are highly contaminated with mercury in some areas, not as much in other areas. The areas with the highest concentrations correspond to those places downwind of mercury-spewing coal-fired power plants. Recent DEP data showed that over eight years, mercury levels at a sampling station located in Cambria County near power plants were 47 percent greater than mercury levels recorded at a sampling station located in Tioga County, which is not close to mercury sources. Recent EPA-funded studies show that up to 70 percent of mercury contamination comes from local and regional sources. The studies also show that cleaning up mercury pollution at nearby sources results in significant drops in mercury contamination in nearby fish.

The federal Clean Air Mercury Rule (CAMR) does too little too late. CAMR proponents claim that Pennsylvania will see an 86 percent drop in mercury pollution as a result of the federal rule. But the Congressional Research Service has detailed that CAMR won't deliver the reductions it promises, due to mercury pollution trading, where dirty plants are allowed to buy

Current Comments List Continued

Re: Proposed Rulemaking: Mercury Emission Reduction Requirements for Electric Generating Units (#7-405)

credits from cleaner, more modern ones. Pennsylvania plants are traditionally the number one purchasers of pollution credits. Overall, the Congressional Research Service concluded that CAMR would result in at best a 70 percent reduction in mercury emissions, but not until 2030 or later. The Pennsylvania rule as proposed requires that plants in the state must reduce their mercury emission levels by 90 percent by 2015, and does not allow mercury trading. Further, Pennsylvania and 15 other states, are challenging CAMR as illegal under the Clean Air Act, because it fails to treat mercury as the hazardous pollutant that it is. Should the legal action prevail, CAMR will be struck down, and we will be left with no protections from mercury pollution. A number of other states have already passed their own, more protective mercury reduction rules, and many others are in the process of doing so.

Mercury pollution controls are available and affordable, and Pennsylvania coal-fired power plants are very profitable. Like a fully paid home mortgage, capital costs at coal-fired plants in Pennsylvania have been paid off. They are baseload plants that run all of the time, making electricity at costs far below wholesale prices, which more and more frequently is set by the cost of electricity produced by gas-fired plants. Wholesale electricity prices range between six and seven cents per kilowatt hour (kWh); coal-fired power plants produce electricity at a cost of between three and four cents per kWh. A recent National Wildlife Federation report estimated that the average customer would see an increase of \$1.08 on monthly electric bills if all the cost were passed through to consumers. In Pennsylvania's competitive retail electricity market, electricity suppliers cannot just routinely pass on their costs. They can choose to pass on none, some or all of their costs, or they can decide to reduce profits.

An overwhelming majority of Pennsylvanians support the state mercury rule, even if it means paying more on electricity bills. A recent opinion poll conducted by Terry Madonna Research Opinion found that 4 out of 5 Pennsylvanians support a mercury rule that is stronger and implemented faster than the federal rule. Eighty percent of the respondents would be willing to pay up to \$1.08 more on the electricity in support of the Pennsylvania rule. More than 60 health-affected, health, women's, children's, sporting, faith-based, environmental and conservation organizations around the state support the Pennsylvania rule. Over 100 hunting and angling clubs around the state support the rule. Over 100 medical experts and faith leaders around the state have co-signed letters in support of the state-specific rule.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment in support of the proposed rule for cutting mercury pollution from Pennsylvania's power plants.

Sincerely,

William Sharpe
814-863-8564

1,843. Cynthia Iberg -----

Current Comments List Continued

Re: Proposed Rulemaking: Mercury Emission Reduction Requirements for Electric Generating Units (#7-405)

Cynthia Iberg
P.O. Box 222
McAlisterville, PA 17049-0222

August 7, 2006

members of the Environmental Quality Board (EQB)
Rachel Carson State Office Building, 15th Floor, 400 Market Street
P. O. Box 8477
Harrisburg, PA 17105-2063

Dear members of the Environmental Quality Board (EQB):

I strongly support the Department of Environmental Protection's (DEP) proposed rulemaking on mercury reductions from coal-fired power plants in Pennsylvania.

Mercury pollution from coal-fired power plants affects health. Mercury pollution from power plants forms methylmercury, which poses a major health threat to humans and wildlife, primarily from eating fish. Mercury causes serious neurological problems in developing fetuses and babies. Very small amounts of mercury, passed to the child through the mother's blood or milk, can cause health effects. The U.S. Centers for Disease Control verify that over 600,000 women of childbearing age in the U.S. have levels of mercury in their blood higher than that considered safe for their developing babies. Mercury pollution is also causing developmental problems for a wide variety of wildlife, including song birds, mammals, and amphibians.

My Pennsylvania Constitution says I have a right to clean air and water. I am counting on you to honor this statement and protect my and your children.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment in support of the proposed rule for cutting mercury pollution from Pennsylvania's power plants.

Sincerely,

1,844. Kenneth Ely -----

Current Comments List Continued

Re: Proposed Rulemaking: Mercury Emission Reduction Requirements for Electric Generating Units (#7-405)

Kenneth Ely
P.O. Box 95
Brooklyn, PA 18813-0095

August 7, 2006

members of the Environmental Quality Board (EQB)
Rachel Carson State Office Building, 15th Floor, 400 Market Street
P. O. Box 8477
Harrisburg, PA 17105-2063

Dear members of the Environmental Quality Board (EQB):

I strongly support the Department of Environmental Protection's (DEP) proposed rulemaking on mercury reductions from coal-fired power plants in Pennsylvania.

Mercury pollution builds up in areas close to the source, creating dangerous "hot spots" of high mercury concentrations. Fish in Pennsylvania are highly contaminated with mercury in some areas, not as much in other areas. The areas with the highest concentrations correspond to those places downwind of mercury-spewing coal-fired power plants. Recent DEP data showed that over eight years, mercury levels at a sampling station located in Cambria County near power plants were 47 percent greater than mercury levels recorded at a sampling station located in Tioga County, which is not close to mercury sources. Recent EPA-funded studies show that up to 70 percent of mercury contamination comes from local and regional sources. The studies also show that cleaning up mercury pollution at nearby sources results in significant drops in mercury contamination in nearby fish.

An overwhelming majority of Pennsylvanians support the state mercury rule, even if it means paying more on electricity bills. A recent opinion poll conducted by Terry Madonna Research Opinion found that 4 out of 5 Pennsylvanians support a mercury rule that is stronger and implemented faster than the federal rule. Eighty percent of the respondents would be willing to pay up to \$1.08 more on the electricity in support of the Pennsylvania rule. More than 60 health-affected, health, women's, children's, sporting, faith-based, environmental and conservation organizations around the state support the Pennsylvania rule. Over 100 hunting and angling clubs around the state support the rule. Over 100 medical experts and faith leaders around the state have co-signed letters in support of the state-specific rule.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment in support of the proposed rule for cutting mercury pollution from Pennsylvania's power plants.

Sincerely,

Kenneth P. Ely
5702894783
1,845. Ann Gerace -----

Current Comments List Continued

Re: Proposed Rulemaking: Mercury Emission Reduction Requirements for Electric Generating Units (#7-405)

Ann Gerace
219 Grandview Avenue Unit C
Pittsburgh, PA 15211-1525

August 7, 2006

members of the Environmental Quality Board (EQB)
Rachel Carson State Office Building, 15th Floor, 400 Market Street
P. O. Box 8477
Harrisburg, PA 17105-2063

Dear members of the Environmental Quality Board (EQB):

I strongly support the Department of Environmental Protection's (DEP) proposed rulemaking on mercury reductions from coal-fired power plants in Pennsylvania.

Mercury pollution from coal-fired power plants affects health. Mercury pollution from power plants forms methylmercury, which poses a major health threat to humans and wildlife, primarily from eating fish. Mercury causes serious neurological problems in developing fetuses and babies. Very small amounts of mercury, passed to the child through the mother's blood or milk, can cause health effects. The U.S. Centers for Disease Control verify that over 600,000 women of childbearing age in the U.S. have levels of mercury in their blood higher than that considered safe for their developing babies. Mercury pollution is also causing developmental problems for a wide variety of wildlife, including song birds, mammals, and amphibians.

Pennsylvania is number two in the nation for mercury pollution to air from coal-fired power plants. The most recent Toxic Release Inventory from the Environmental Protection Agency ranks Pennsylvania as second worst in the nation for mercury pollution to the air, behind only Texas. This is up from third in 2004.

Pennsylvania is number two in the nation for mercury pollution to air from coal-fired power plants. The most recent Toxic Release Inventory from the Environmental Protection Agency ranks Pennsylvania as second worst in the nation for mercury pollution to the air, behind only Texas. This is up from third in 2004.

Pennsylvania is number two in the nation for mercury pollution to air from coal-fired power plants. The most recent Toxic Release Inventory from the Environmental Protection Agency ranks Pennsylvania as second worst in the nation for mercury pollution to the air, behind only Texas. This is up from third in 2004.

The federal Clean Air Mercury Rule (CAMR) does too little too late. CAMR proponents claim that Pennsylvania will see an 86 percent drop in mercury pollution as a result of the federal rule. But the Congressional Research Service has detailed that CAMR won't deliver the reductions it promises, due to mercury pollution trading, where dirty plants are allowed to buy credits from cleaner, more modern ones. Pennsylvania plants are traditionally the number one purchasers of pollution credits. Overall, the Congressional Research Service concluded that CAMR would result in at best a 70 percent reduction in mercury emissions, but not until 2030 or later.

Current Comments List Continued

Re: Proposed Rulemaking: Mercury Emission Reduction Requirements for Electric Generating Units (#7-405)

The Pennsylvania rule as proposed requires that plants in the state must reduce their mercury emission levels by 90 percent by 2015, and does not allow mercury trading. Further, Pennsylvania and 15 other states, are challenging CAMR as illegal under the Clean Air Act, because it fails to treat mercury as the hazardous pollutant that it is. Should the legal action prevail, CAMR will be struck down, and we will be left with no protections from mercury pollution. A number of other states have already passed their own, more protective mercury reduction rules, and many others are in the process of doing so.

An overwhelming majority of Pennsylvanians support the state mercury rule, even if it means paying more on electricity bills. A recent opinion poll conducted by Terry Madonna Research Opinion found that 4 out of 5 Pennsylvanians support a mercury rule that is stronger and implemented faster than the federal rule. Eighty percent of the respondents would be willing to pay up to \$1.08 more on the electricity in support of the Pennsylvania rule. More than 60 health-affected, health, women's, children's, sporting, faith-based, environmental and conservation organizations around the state support the Pennsylvania rule. Over 100 hunting and angling clubs around the state support the rule. Over 100 medical experts and faith leaders around the state have co-signed letters in support of the state-specific rule.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment in support of the proposed rule for cutting mercury pollution from Pennsylvania's power plants.

Sincerely,

Ann Gerace
412 431-4449

1,846. Nancy Ohm -----

Current Comments List Continued

Re: Proposed Rulemaking: Mercury Emission Reduction Requirements for Electric Generating Units (#7-405)

Nancy Ohm
8825 Turkey Ridge Rd.
Breinigsville, PA 18031-2047

August 7, 2006

members of the Environmental Quality Board (EQB)
Rachel Carson State Office Building, 15th Floor, 400 Market Street
P. O. Box 8477
Harrisburg, PA 17105-2063

Dear members of the Environmental Quality Board (EQB):

I strongly support the Department of Environmental Protection's (DEP) proposed rulemaking on mercury reductions from coal-fired power plants in Pennsylvania.

Mercury pollution from coal-fired power plants affects health. Mercury pollution from power plants forms methylmercury, which poses a major health threat to humans and wildlife, primarily from eating fish. Mercury causes serious neurological problems in developing fetuses and babies. Very small amounts of mercury, passed to the child through the mother's blood or milk, can cause health effects. The U.S. Centers for Disease Control verify that over 600,000 women of childbearing age in the U.S. have levels of mercury in their blood higher than that considered safe for their developing babies. Mercury pollution is also causing developmental problems for a wide variety of wildlife, including song birds, mammals, and amphibians.

Pennsylvania lakes, rivers, and streams are contaminated with mercury pollution. Every water in the Commonwealth has advisories on fish consumption due to high levels of mercury and other types of pollution. In over 200 sampling locations in the state, fish have such high amounts of mercury that people are advised to eat no more than two meals of those fish per month.

Pennsylvania is number two in the nation for mercury pollution to air from coal-fired power plants. The most recent Toxic Release Inventory from the Environmental Protection Agency ranks Pennsylvania as second worst in the nation for mercury pollution to the air, behind only Texas. This is up from third in 2004.

The federal Clean Air Mercury Rule (CAMR) does too little too late. CAMR proponents claim that Pennsylvania will see an 86 percent drop in mercury pollution as a result of the federal rule. But the Congressional Research Service has detailed that CAMR won't deliver the reductions it promises, due to mercury pollution trading, where dirty plants are allowed to buy credits from cleaner, more modern ones. Pennsylvania plants are traditionally the number one purchasers of pollution credits. Overall, the Congressional Research Service concluded that CAMR would result in at best a 70 percent reduction in mercury emissions, but not until 2030 or later. The Pennsylvania rule as proposed requires that plants in the state must reduce their mercury emission levels by 90 percent by 2015, and does not allow mercury trading. Further, Pennsylvania and 15 other states, are challenging CAMR as illegal under the Clean Air Act, because it fails to treat mercury as the hazardous pollutant that it is. Should the legal

Current Comments List Continued

Re: Proposed Rulemaking: Mercury Emission Reduction Requirements for Electric Generating Units (#7-405)

action prevail, CAMR will be struck down, and we will be left with no protections from mercury pollution. A number of other states have already passed their own, more protective mercury reduction rules, and many others are in the process of doing so.

Mercury pollution controls are available and affordable, and Pennsylvania coal-fired power plants are very profitable. Like a fully paid home mortgage, capital costs at coal-fired plants in Pennsylvania have been paid off. They are baseload plants that run all of the time, making electricity at costs far below wholesale prices, which more and more frequently is set by the cost of electricity produced by gas-fired plants. Wholesale electricity prices range between six and seven cents per kilowatt hour (kWh); coal-fired power plants produce electricity at a cost of between three and four cents per kWh. A recent National Wildlife Federation report estimated that the average customer would see an increase of \$1.08 on monthly electric bills if all the cost were passed through to consumers. In Pennsylvania's competitive retail electricity market, electricity suppliers cannot just routinely pass on their costs. They can choose to pass on none, some or all of their costs, or they can decide to reduce profits.

An overwhelming majority of Pennsylvanians support the state mercury rule, even if it means paying more on electricity bills. A recent opinion poll conducted by Terry Madonna Research Opinion found that 4 out of 5 Pennsylvanians support a mercury rule that is stronger and implemented faster than the federal rule. Eighty percent of the respondents would be willing to pay up to \$1.08 more on the electricity in support of the Pennsylvania rule. More than 60 health-affected, health, women's, children's, sporting, faith-based, environmental and conservation organizations around the state support the Pennsylvania rule. Over 100 hunting and angling clubs around the state support the rule. Over 100 medical experts and faith leaders around the state have co-signed letters in support of the state-specific rule.

The federal mercury rule is bad for Pennsylvania's economy. Mercury contamination is threatening the Commonwealth's sporting, angling, and recreation industry, a significant source of revenue and jobs throughout the state. Because of the trading system set up in CAMR, Pennsylvania plants are more likely to pay for pollution credits than to clean up and modernize old plants. As a result, jobs are exported to other states, in the form of skilled labor required for the technological upgrades. As the electricity market becomes more integrated, cleaner plants (in other states) will out-compete their dirty counterparts (in Pennsylvania), forcing plants to close and more jobs to be lost. Pennsylvania's rule encourages use of bituminous coal (mined in Pennsylvania and in nearby states). The federal rule makes it more attractive for plants to switch to coal mined from Western states. Most importantly, there are significant costs associated with the devastating health impacts; rates of learning disabilities and associated health effects of mercury in children are increasing.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment in support of the proposed rule for cutting mercury pollution from Pennsylvania's power plants.

Sincerely,

Nancy Ohm

Current Comments List Continued

Re: Proposed Rulemaking: Mercury Emission Reduction Requirements for Electric Generating Units (#7-405)

1,847. Sylvie Gallier Howard -----
Sylvie Gallier Howard
2628 Catharine Street
Philadelphia, PA 19146-2312

August 7, 2006

members of the Environmental Quality Board (EQB)
Rachel Carson State Office Building, 15th Floor, 400 Market Street
P. O. Box 8477
Harrisburg, PA 17105-2063

Dear members of the Environmental Quality Board (EQB):

I strongly support the Department of Environmental Protection's (DEP) proposed rulemaking on mercury reductions from coal-fired power plants in Pennsylvania.

I live several blocks from a power plant and I can sometimes see the black smoke coming out of the pipes over there. I am afraid for my health and for the health of my future children. I am not alone. There are many families who live in my neighborhood. Safety and health must come first and we must reduce the mercury levels in our environment.

Mercury pollution from coal-fired power plants affects health. Mercury pollution from power plants forms methylmercury, which poses a major health threat to humans and wildlife, primarily from eating fish. Mercury causes serious neurological problems in developing fetuses and babies. Very small amounts of mercury, passed to the child through the mother's blood or milk, can cause health effects. The U.S. Centers for Disease Control verify that over 600,000 women of childbearing age in the U.S. have levels of mercury in their blood higher than that considered safe for their developing babies. Mercury pollution is also causing developmental problems for a wide variety of wildlife, including song birds, mammals, and amphibians.

Pennsylvania is number two in the nation for mercury pollution to air from coal-fired power plants. The most recent Toxic Release Inventory from the Environmental Protection Agency ranks Pennsylvania as second worst in the nation for mercury pollution to the air, behind only Texas. This is up from third in 2004.

An overwhelming majority of Pennsylvanians support the state mercury rule, even if it means paying more on electricity bills. A recent opinion poll conducted by Terry Madonna Research Opinion found that 4 out of 5 Pennsylvanians support a mercury rule that is stronger and implemented faster than the federal rule. Eighty percent of the respondents would be willing to pay up to \$1.08 more on the electricity in support of the Pennsylvania rule. More than 60 health-affected, health, women's, children's, sporting, faith-based, environmental and conservation organizations around the state support the Pennsylvania rule. Over 100 hunting and angling clubs around the state support the rule. Over 100 medical experts and faith leaders around the state have co-signed letters in support of the state-specific rule.

Current Comments List Continued

Re: Proposed Rulemaking: Mercury Emission Reduction Requirements for Electric Generating Units (#7-405)

Thank you for the opportunity to comment in support of the proposed rule for cutting mercury pollution from Pennsylvania's power plants.

Sincerely,

Sylvia Gallie Howard
1,848. Diane Hollinger -----

Current Comments List Continued

Re: Proposed Rulemaking: Mercury Emission Reduction Requirements for Electric Generating Units (#7-405)

Diane Hollinger
1207 Gross Drive
Mechanicsburg, PA 17050-3113

August 7, 2006

members of the Environmental Quality Board (EQB)
Rachel Carson State Office Building, 15th Floor, 400 Market Street
P. O. Box 8477
Harrisburg, PA 17105-2063

Dear members of the Environmental Quality Board (EQB):

I strongly support the Department of Environmental Protection's (DEP) proposed rulemaking on mercury reductions from coal-fired power plants in Pennsylvania.

Mercury pollution from coal-fired power plants affects health. Mercury pollution from power plants forms methylmercury, which poses a major health threat to humans and wildlife, primarily from eating fish. Mercury causes serious neurological problems in developing fetuses and babies. Very small amounts of mercury, passed to the child through the mother's blood or milk, can cause health effects. The U.S. Centers for Disease Control verify that over 600,000 women of childbearing age in the U.S. have levels of mercury in their blood higher than that considered safe for their developing babies. Mercury pollution is also causing developmental problems for a wide variety of wildlife, including song birds, mammals, and amphibians.

The federal mercury rule is bad for Pennsylvania's economy. Mercury contamination is threatening the Commonwealth's sporting, angling, and recreation industry, a significant source of revenue and jobs throughout the state. Because of the trading system set up in CAMR, Pennsylvania plants are more likely to pay for pollution credits than to clean up and modernize old plants. As a result, jobs are exported to other states, in the form of skilled labor required for the technological upgrades. As the electricity market becomes more integrated, cleaner plants (in other states) will out-compete their dirty counterparts (in Pennsylvania), forcing plants to close and more jobs to be lost. Pennsylvania's rule encourages use of bituminous coal (mined in Pennsylvania and in nearby states). The federal rule makes it more attractive for plants to switch to coal mined from Western states. Most importantly, there are significant costs associated with the devastating health impacts; rates of learning disabilities and associated health effects of mercury in children are increasing.

The federal mercury rule is bad for Pennsylvania's economy. Mercury contamination is threatening the Commonwealth's sporting, angling, and recreation industry, a significant source of revenue and jobs throughout the state. Because of the trading system set up in CAMR, Pennsylvania plants are more likely to pay for pollution credits than to clean up and modernize old plants. As a result, jobs are exported to other states, in the form of skilled labor required for the technological upgrades. As the electricity market becomes more integrated, cleaner plants (in other states) will out-compete their dirty counterparts (in Pennsylvania), forcing plants to close and more jobs to be lost. Pennsylvania's rule

Current Comments List Continued

Re: Proposed Rulemaking: Mercury Emission Reduction Requirements for Electric Generating Units (#7-405)

encourages use of bituminous coal (mined in Pennsylvania and in nearby states). The federal rule makes it more attractive for plants to switch to coal mined from Western states. Most importantly, there are significant costs associated with the devastating health impacts; rates of learning disabilities and associated health effects of mercury in children are increasing.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment in support of the proposed rule for cutting mercury pollution from Pennsylvania's power plants.

Sincerely,

Diane Hollinger

Unlisted

1,849. Ray L. Ober Jr. -----

members of the Environmental Quality Board (EQB)
Rachel Carson State Office Building, 15th Floor, 400 Market Street
P. O. Box 8477
Harrisburg, PA 17105-2063

Dear members of the Environmental Quality Board (EQB):

I strongly support the Department of Environmental Protection's (DEP) proposed rulemaking on mercury reductions from coal-fired power plants in Pennsylvania.

Mercury pollution from coal-fired power plants affects health. Mercury pollution from power plants forms methylmercury, which poses a major health threat to humans and wildlife, primarily from eating fish. Mercury causes serious neurological problems in developing fetuses and babies. Very small amounts of mercury, passed to the child through the mother's blood or milk, can cause health effects. The U.S. Centers for Disease Control verify that over 600,000 women of childbearing age in the U.S. have levels of mercury in their blood higher than that considered safe for their developing babies. Mercury pollution is also causing developmental problems for a wide variety of wildlife, including song birds, mammals, and amphibians.

Pennsylvania lakes, rivers, and streams are contaminated with mercury pollution. Every water in the Commonwealth has advisories on fish consumption due to high levels of mercury and other types of pollution. In over 200 sampling locations in the state, fish have such high amounts of mercury that people are advised to eat no more than two meals of those fish per month.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment in support of the proposed rule for cutting mercury pollution from Pennsylvania's power plants.

Sincerely,

Ray L. Ober Jr.

717-569-0292

1,850. Richard D. Ludwig -----

Hot Spots 8-7

Current Comments List Continued

Re: Proposed Rulemaking: Mercury Emission Reduction Requirements for Electric Generating Units (#7-405)

1,851. Brian Chalfant -----
Brian Chalfant
301 Chestnut Street, Apartment 1105
Harrisburg, PA 17101-2790

August 7, 2006

members of the Environmental Quality Board (EQB)
Rachel Carson State Office Building, 15th Floor, 400 Market Street
P. O. Box 8477
Harrisburg, PA 17105-2063

Dear members of the Environmental Quality Board (EQB):

I strongly support the Department of Environmental Protection's (DEP) proposed rulemaking on mercury reductions from coal-fired power plants in Pennsylvania.

Mercury pollution from coal-fired power plants affects health. Mercury pollution from power plants forms methylmercury, which poses a major health threat to humans and wildlife, primarily from eating fish. Mercury causes serious neurological problems in developing fetuses and babies. Very small amounts of mercury, passed to the child through the mother's blood or milk, can cause health effects. The U.S. Centers for Disease Control verify that over 600,000 women of childbearing age in the U.S. have levels of mercury in their blood higher than that considered safe for their developing babies. Mercury pollution is also causing developmental problems for a wide variety of wildlife, including song birds, mammals, and amphibians.

Pennsylvania lakes, rivers, and streams are contaminated with mercury pollution. Every water in the Commonwealth has advisories on fish consumption due to high levels of mercury and other types of pollution. In over 200 sampling locations in the state, fish have such high amounts of mercury that people are advised to eat no more than two meals of those fish per month.

Mercury pollution builds up in areas close to the source, creating dangerous "hot spots" of high mercury concentrations. Fish in Pennsylvania are highly contaminated with mercury in some areas, not as much in other areas. The areas with the highest concentrations correspond to those places downwind of mercury-spewing coal-fired power plants. Recent DEP data showed that over eight years, mercury levels at a sampling station located in Cambria County near power plants were 47 percent greater than mercury levels recorded at a sampling station located in Tioga County, which is not close to mercury sources. Recent EPA-funded studies show that up to 70 percent of mercury contamination comes from local and regional sources. The studies also show that cleaning up mercury pollution at nearby sources results in significant drops in mercury contamination in nearby fish.

Pennsylvania is number two in the nation for mercury pollution to air from coal-fired power plants. The most recent Toxic Release Inventory from the Environmental Protection Agency ranks Pennsylvania as second worst in the nation for mercury pollution to the air, behind only Texas. This is up from third in 2004.

Current Comments List Continued

Re: Proposed Rulemaking: Mercury Emission Reduction Requirements for Electric Generating Units (#7-405)

The federal Clean Air Mercury Rule (CAMR) does too little too late. CAMR proponents claim that Pennsylvania will see an 86 percent drop in mercury pollution as a result of the federal rule. But the Congressional Research Service has detailed that CAMR won't deliver the reductions it promises, due to mercury pollution trading, where dirty plants are allowed to buy credits from cleaner, more modern ones. Pennsylvania plants are traditionally the number one purchasers of pollution credits. Overall, the Congressional Research Service concluded that CAMR would result in at best a 70 percent reduction in mercury emissions, but not until 2030 or later. The Pennsylvania rule as proposed requires that plants in the state must reduce their mercury emission levels by 90 percent by 2015, and does not allow mercury trading. Further, Pennsylvania and 15 other states, are challenging CAMR as illegal under the Clean Air Act, because it fails to treat mercury as the hazardous pollutant that it is. Should the legal action prevail, CAMR will be struck down, and we will be left with no protections from mercury pollution. A number of other states have already passed their own, more protective mercury reduction rules, and many others are in the process of doing so.

Mercury pollution controls are available and affordable, and Pennsylvania coal-fired power plants are very profitable. Like a fully paid home mortgage, capital costs at coal-fired plants in Pennsylvania have been paid off. They are baseload plants that run all of the time, making electricity at costs far below wholesale prices, which more and more frequently is set by the cost of electricity produced by gas-fired plants. Wholesale electricity prices range between six and seven cents per kilowatt hour (kWh); coal-fired power plants produce electricity at a cost of between three and four cents per kWh. A recent National Wildlife Federation report estimated that the average customer would see an increase of \$1.08 on monthly electric bills if all the cost were passed through to consumers. In Pennsylvania's competitive retail electricity market, electricity suppliers cannot just routinely pass on their costs. They can choose to pass on none, some or all of their costs, or they can decide to reduce profits.

An overwhelming majority of Pennsylvanians support the state mercury rule, even if it means paying more on electricity bills. A recent opinion poll conducted by Terry Madonna Research Opinion found that 4 out of 5 Pennsylvanians support a mercury rule that is stronger and implemented faster than the federal rule. Eighty percent of the respondents would be willing to pay up to \$1.08 more on the electricity in support of the Pennsylvania rule. More than 60 health-affected, health, women's, children's, sporting, faith-based, environmental and conservation organizations around the state support the Pennsylvania rule. Over 100 hunting and angling clubs around the state support the rule. Over 100 medical experts and faith leaders around the state have co-signed letters in support of the state-specific rule.

The federal mercury rule is bad for Pennsylvania's economy. Mercury contamination is threatening the Commonwealth's sporting, angling, and recreation industry, a significant source of revenue and jobs throughout the state. Because of the trading system set up in CAMR, Pennsylvania plants are more likely to pay for pollution credits than to clean up and modernize old plants. As a result, jobs are exported to other states, in the form of skilled labor required for the technological upgrades. As the electricity market becomes more integrated, cleaner plants (in other states) will out-compete their dirty counterparts (in Pennsylvania),

Current Comments List Continued

Re: Proposed Rulemaking: Mercury Emission Reduction Requirements for Electric Generating Units (#7-405)

forcing plants to close and more jobs to be lost. Pennsylvania's rule encourages use of bituminous coal (mined in Pennsylvania and in nearby states). The federal rule makes it more attractive for plants to switch to coal mined from Western states. Most importantly, there are significant costs associated with the devastating health impacts; rates of learning disabilities and associated health effects of mercury in children are increasing.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment in support of the proposed rule for cutting mercury pollution from Pennsylvania's power plants.

Sincerely,

Brian Chalfant
1,852. Wendy Ruano -----
Wendy Ruano
712 Clinton Pl
Pittsburgh, PA 15202-3031

August 7, 2006

members of the Environmental Quality Board (EQB)
Rachel Carson State Office Building, 15th Floor, 400 Market Street
P. O. Box 8477
Harrisburg, PA 17105-2063

Dear members of the Environmental Quality Board (EQB):

I strongly support the Department of Environmental Protection's (DEP) proposed rulemaking on mercury reductions from coal-fired power plants in Pennsylvania.

Mercury pollution from coal-fired power plants affects health. Mercury pollution from power plants forms methylmercury, which poses a major health threat to humans and wildlife, primarily from eating fish. Mercury causes serious neurological problems in developing fetuses and babies. Very small amounts of mercury, passed to the child through the mother's blood or milk, can cause health effects. The U.S. Centers for Disease Control verify that over 600,000 women of childbearing age in the U.S. have levels of mercury in their blood higher than that considered safe for their developing babies. Mercury pollution is also causing developmental problems for a wide variety of wildlife, including song birds, mammals, and amphibians.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment in support of the proposed rule for cutting mercury pollution from Pennsylvania's power plants.

Sincerely,

Wendy Ruano
412 722 2700
1,853. Brett & Cindy Snyder -----

Current Comments List Continued

Re: Proposed Rulemaking: Mercury Emission Reduction Requirements for Electric Generating Units (#7-405)

Comments in favor of DEP Proposed Mercury Rulemaking

& Mrs. Brett & Cindy Snyder
621 Heckenluber Road
Biglerville, PA 17307-9705

August 7, 2006

members of the Environmental Quality Board (EQB)
Rachel Carson State Office Building, 15th Floor, 400 Market Street
P. O. Box 8477
Harrisburg, PA 17105-2063

Dear members of the Environmental Quality Board (EQB):

I strongly support the Department of Environmental Protection's (DEP) proposed rulemaking on mercury reductions from coal-fired power plants in Pennsylvania.

Mercury pollution from coal-fired power plants affects health. Mercury pollution from power plants forms methylmercury, which poses a major health threat to humans and wildlife, primarily from eating fish. Mercury causes serious neurological problems in developing fetuses and babies. Very small amounts of mercury, passed to the child through the mother's blood or milk, can cause health effects. The U.S. Centers for Disease Control verify that over 600,000 women of childbearing age in the U.S. have levels of mercury in their blood higher than that considered safe for their developing babies. Mercury pollution is also causing developmental problems for a wide variety of wildlife, including song birds, mammals, and amphibians.

Pennsylvania lakes, rivers, and streams are contaminated with mercury pollution. Every water in the Commonwealth has advisories on fish consumption due to high levels of mercury and other types of pollution. In over 200 sampling locations in the state, fish have such high amounts of mercury that people are advised to eat no more than two meals of those fish per month.

Mercury pollution builds up in areas close to the source, creating dangerous "hot spots" of high mercury concentrations. Fish in Pennsylvania are highly contaminated with mercury in some areas, not as much in other areas. The areas with the highest concentrations correspond to those places downwind of mercury-spewing coal-fired power plants. Recent DEP data showed that over eight years, mercury levels at a sampling station located in Cambria County near power plants were 47 percent greater than mercury levels recorded at a sampling station located in Tioga County, which is not close to mercury sources. Recent EPA-funded studies show that up to 70 percent of mercury contamination comes from local and regional sources. The studies also show that cleaning up mercury pollution at nearby sources results in significant drops in mercury contamination in nearby fish.

Pennsylvania is number two in the nation for mercury pollution to air from coal-fired power plants. The most recent Toxic Release Inventory from the Environmental Protection Agency ranks Pennsylvania as second worst in the nation for mercury pollution to the air, behind only Texas. This is up

Current Comments List Continued

Re: Proposed Rulemaking: Mercury Emission Reduction Requirements for Electric Generating Units (#7-405)

from third in 2004.

The federal Clean Air Mercury Rule (CAMR) does too little too late. CAMR proponents claim that Pennsylvania will see an 86 percent drop in mercury pollution as a result of the federal rule. But the Congressional Research Service has detailed that CAMR won't deliver the reductions it promises, due to mercury pollution trading, where dirty plants are allowed to buy credits from cleaner, more modern ones. Pennsylvania plants are traditionally the number one purchasers of pollution credits. Overall, the Congressional Research Service concluded that CAMR would result in at best a 70 percent reduction in mercury emissions, but not until 2030 or later. The Pennsylvania rule as proposed requires that plants in the state must reduce their mercury emission levels by 90 percent by 2015, and does not allow mercury trading. Further, Pennsylvania and 15 other states, are challenging CAMR as illegal under the Clean Air Act, because it fails to treat mercury as the hazardous pollutant that it is. Should the legal action prevail, CAMR will be struck down, and we will be left with no protections from mercury pollution. A number of other states have already passed their own, more protective mercury reduction rules, and many others are in the process of doing so.

Mercury pollution controls are available and affordable, and Pennsylvania coal-fired power plants are very profitable. Like a fully paid home mortgage, capital costs at coal-fired plants in Pennsylvania have been paid off. They are baseload plants that run all of the time, making electricity at costs far below wholesale prices, which more and more frequently is set by the cost of electricity produced by gas-fired plants. Wholesale electricity prices range between six and seven cents per kilowatt hour (kWh); coal-fired power plants produce electricity at a cost of between three and four cents per kWh. A recent National Wildlife Federation report estimated that the average customer would see an increase of \$1.08 on monthly electric bills if all the cost were passed through to consumers. In Pennsylvania's competitive retail electricity market, electricity suppliers cannot just routinely pass on their costs. They can choose to pass on none, some or all of their costs, or they can decide to reduce profits.

An overwhelming majority of Pennsylvanians support the state mercury rule, even if it means paying more on electricity bills. A recent opinion poll conducted by Terry Madonna Research Opinion found that 4 out of 5 Pennsylvanians support a mercury rule that is stronger and implemented faster than the federal rule. Eighty percent of the respondents would be willing to pay up to \$1.08 more on the electricity in support of the Pennsylvania rule. More than 60 health-affected, health, women's, children's, sporting, faith-based, environmental and conservation organizations around the state support the Pennsylvania rule. Over 100 hunting and angling clubs around the state support the rule. Over 100 medical experts and faith leaders around the state have co-signed letters in support of the state-specific rule.

The federal mercury rule is bad for Pennsylvania's economy. Mercury contamination is threatening the Commonwealth's sporting, angling, and recreation industry, a significant source of revenue and jobs throughout the state. Because of the trading system set up in CAMR, Pennsylvania plants are more likely to pay for pollution credits than to clean up and modernize old plants. As a result, jobs are exported to other states, in the form of skilled labor required for the technological upgrades. As the electricity market becomes more integrated, cleaner plants (in other

Current Comments List Continued

Re: Proposed Rulemaking: Mercury Emission Reduction Requirements for Electric Generating Units (#7-405)

states) will out-compete their dirty counterparts (in Pennsylvania), forcing plants to close and more jobs to be lost. Pennsylvania's rule encourages use of bituminous coal (mined in Pennsylvania and in nearby states). The federal rule makes it more attractive for plants to switch to coal mined from Western states. Most importantly, there are significant costs associated with the devastating health impacts; rates of learning disabilities and associated health effects of mercury in children are increasing.<MC_message_8959881

Thank you for the opportunity to comment in support of the proposed rule for cutting mercury pollution from Pennsylvania's power plants.

Sincerely,

Brett & Cindy Snyder
1,854. Alice Kelley -----
Comments in favor of DEP Proposed Mercury Rulemaking

Alice Kelley
324 Homestead Road
Strafford, PA 19087-2432

August 7, 2006

members of the Environmental Quality Board (EQB)
Rachel Carson State Office Building, 15th Floor, 400 Market Street
P. O. Box 8477
Harrisburg, PA 17105-2063

Dear members of the Environmental Quality Board (EQB):

I strongly support the Department of Environmental Protection's (DEP) proposed rulemaking on mercury reductions from coal-fired power plants in Pennsylvania.

Mercury from coal-fired power plants is endangering the health of Pennsylvanians and the federal government's approach to that problem is far too weak to protect us. Please, let Pennsylvania lead the country in fighting mercury pollution and not trail the pack.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment in support of the proposed rule for cutting mercury pollution from Pennsylvania's power plants.

Sincerely,

1,855. Christine Field -----
Credit Trading 8-8
1,856. Tom Sharp -----
Credit Trading 8-8
1,857. Shelly Lukon -----
Credit Trading 8-8

Current Comments List Continued

Re: Proposed Rulemaking: Mercury Emission Reduction Requirements for Electric Generating Units (#7-405)

1,858. Donna Malcolm -----

Credit Trading 8-8

1,859. Denise McCafferty -----

Credit Trading 8-8

1,860. Juliet Waldron -----

Credit Trading 8-8

1,861. George Aulisio -----

Credit Trading 8-8

1,862. Quentin Wenzel -----

Hot Spots 10P 8-7

1,863. John Cugini -----

Credit Trading 8-8

1,864. John McGillian -----

Current Comments List Continued

Re: Proposed Rulemaking: Mercury Emission Reduction Requirements for Electric Generating Units (#7-405)

John McGillian
1556 McDaniel Drive
West Chester, PA 19380-7036

August 7, 2006

members of the Environmental Quality Board (EQB)
Rachel Carson State Office Building, 15th Floor, 400 Market Street
P. O. Box 8477
Harrisburg, PA 17105-2063

Dear members of the Environmental Quality Board (EQB):

I strongly support the Department of Environmental Protection's (DEP) proposed rulemaking on mercury reductions from coal-fired power plants in Pennsylvania.

Pennsylvania lakes, rivers, and streams are contaminated with mercury pollution. Every water in the Commonwealth has advisories on fish consumption due to high levels of mercury and other types of pollution. In over 200 sampling locations in the state, fish have such high amounts of mercury that people are advised to eat no more than two meals of those fish per month.

Mercury pollution builds up in areas close to the source, creating dangerous "hot spots" of high mercury concentrations. Fish in Pennsylvania are highly contaminated with mercury in some areas, not as much in other areas. The areas with the highest concentrations correspond to those places downwind of mercury-spewing coal-fired power plants. Recent DEP data showed that over eight years, mercury levels at a sampling station located in Cambria County near power plants were 47 percent greater than mercury levels recorded at a sampling station located in Tioga County, which is not close to mercury sources. Recent EPA-funded studies show that up to 70 percent of mercury contamination comes from local and regional sources. The studies also show that cleaning up mercury pollution at nearby sources results in significant drops in mercury contamination in nearby fish.

The federal Clean Air Mercury Rule (CAMR) does too little too late. CAMR proponents claim that Pennsylvania will see an 86 percent drop in mercury pollution as a result of the federal rule. But the Congressional Research Service has detailed that CAMR won't deliver the reductions it promises, due to mercury pollution trading, where dirty plants are allowed to buy credits from cleaner, more modern ones. Pennsylvania plants are traditionally the number one purchasers of pollution credits. Overall, the Congressional Research Service concluded that CAMR would result in at best a 70 percent reduction in mercury emissions, but not until 2030 or later. The Pennsylvania rule as proposed requires that plants in the state must reduce their mercury emission levels by 90 percent by 2015, and does not allow mercury trading. Further, Pennsylvania and 15 other states, are challenging CAMR as illegal under the Clean Air Act, because it fails to treat mercury as the hazardous pollutant that it is. Should the legal action prevail, CAMR will be struck down, and we will be left with no protections from mercury pollution. A number of other states have already passed their own, more protective mercury reduction rules, and many others are in the process of doing so.

Current Comments List Continued

Re: Proposed Rulemaking: Mercury Emission Reduction Requirements for Electric Generating Units (#7-405)

Mercury pollution controls are available and affordable, and Pennsylvania coal-fired power plants are very profitable. Like a fully paid home mortgage, capital costs at coal-fired plants in Pennsylvania have been paid off. They are baseload plants that run all of the time, making electricity at costs far below wholesale prices, which more and more frequently is set by the cost of electricity produced by gas-fired plants. Wholesale electricity prices range between six and seven cents per kilowatt hour (kWh); coal-fired power plants produce electricity at a cost of between three and four cents per kWh. A recent National Wildlife Federation report estimated that the average customer would see an increase of \$1.08 on monthly electric bills if all the cost were passed through to consumers. In Pennsylvania's competitive retail electricity market, electricity suppliers cannot just routinely pass on their costs. They can choose to pass on none, some or all of their costs, or they can decide to reduce profits.

An overwhelming majority of Pennsylvanians support the state mercury rule, even if it means paying more on electricity bills. A recent opinion poll conducted by Terry Madonna Research Opinion found that 4 out of 5 Pennsylvanians support a mercury rule that is stronger and implemented faster than the federal rule. Eighty percent of the respondents would be willing to pay up to \$1.08 more on the electricity in support of the Pennsylvania rule. More than 60 health-affected, health, women's, children's, sporting, faith-based, environmental and conservation organizations around the state support the Pennsylvania rule. Over 100 hunting and angling clubs around the state support the rule. Over 100 medical experts and faith leaders around the state have co-signed letters in support of the state-specific rule.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment in support of the proposed rule for cutting mercury pollution from Pennsylvania's power plants.

Sincerely,

John J. McGillian
610-431-4400

1,865. Douglas Ross -----

Current Comments List Continued

Re: Proposed Rulemaking: Mercury Emission Reduction Requirements for Electric Generating Units (#7-405)

Douglas Ross
914 Old Lancaster Rd.
Bryn Mawr, PA 19010-3105

August 7, 2006

members of the Environmental Quality Board (EQB)
Rachel Carson State Office Building, 15th Floor, 400 Market Street
P. O. Box 8477
Harrisburg, PA 17105-2063

Dear members of the Environmental Quality Board (EQB):

I strongly support the Department of Environmental Protection's (DEP) proposed rulemaking on mercury reductions from coal-fired power plants in Pennsylvania.

It is time to start thinking of the health of pregnant women, babies and future generations by significantly reducing the amount of methylmercury, particularly from power plants. Please continue to fight for strong safeguards against this pollutant.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment in support of the proposed rule for cutting mercury pollution from Pennsylvania's power plants.

Sincerely,

1,866. Evalyn F. Segal -----

Current Comments List Continued

Re: Proposed Rulemaking: Mercury Emission Reduction Requirements for Electric Generating Units (#7-405)

Evalyn F. Segal
6655 McCallum St.
Philadelphia, PA 19119-3154

August 7, 2006

members of the Environmental Quality Board (EQB)
Rachel Carson State Office Building, 15th Floor, 400 Market Street
P. O. Box 8477
Harrisburg, PA 17105-2063

Dear members of the Environmental Quality Board (EQB):

I strongly support the Department of Environmental Protection's (DEP) proposed rulemaking on mercury reductions from coal-fired power plants in Pennsylvania.

Mercury pollution from coal-fired power plants affects health. Mercury pollution from power plants forms methyl mercury, which poses a major health threat to humans and wildlife, primarily from eating fish. Mercury causes serious neurological problems in developing fetuses and babies.

Mercury pollution is also causing developmental problems for a wide variety of wildlife, including song birds, mammals, and amphibians.

Pennsylvania lakes, rivers, and streams are contaminated with mercury pollution. Every water in the Commonwealth has advisories on fish consumption due to high levels of mercury and other types of pollution.

Mercury pollution builds up in areas close to the source, creating dangerous "hot spots" of high mercury concentrations. Fish in Pennsylvania are highly contaminated with mercury in some areas, not as much in other areas. The areas with the highest concentrations correspond to those places downwind of mercury-spewing coal-fired power plants. Recent DEP data showed that over eight years, mercury levels at a sampling station located in Cambria County near power plants were 47 percent greater than mercury levels recorded at a sampling station located in Tioga County, which is not close to mercury sources. Recent EPA-funded studies show that cleaning up mercury pollution at nearby sources results in significant drops in mercury contamination in nearby fish.

Pennsylvania is number two in the nation for mercury pollution to air from coal-fired power plants, according to the most recent Toxic Release Inventory from the Environmental Protection Agency, behind only Texas. This is up from third in 2004.

The federal Clean Air Mercury Rule (CAMR) does too little too late. CAMR proponents claim that Pennsylvania will see an 86 percent drop in mercury pollution as a result of the federal rule. But the Congressional Research Service has detailed that CAMR won't deliver the reductions it promises, due to mercury pollution trading, where dirty plants are allowed to buy credits from cleaner, more modern ones. Pennsylvania plants are traditionally the number one purchasers of pollution credits.

The Pennsylvania rule as proposed requires that plants in the state must reduce their mercury emission levels by 90 percent by 2015, and does not

Current Comments List Continued

Re: Proposed Rulemaking: Mercury Emission Reduction Requirements for Electric Generating Units (#7-405)

allow mercury trading. Further, Pennsylvania and 15 other states, are challenging CAMR as illegal under the Clean Air Act, because it fails to treat mercury as the hazardous pollutant that it is. A number of other states have already passed their own, more protective mercury reduction rules, and many others are in the process of doing so.

Mercury pollution controls are available and affordable, and Pennsylvania coal-fired power plants are very profitable. A recent National Wildlife Federation report estimated that the average customer would see an increase of \$1.08 on monthly electric bills if all the cost of mercury pollution controls were passed through to consumers.

An overwhelming majority of Pennsylvanians support the state mercury rule, even if it means paying more on electricity bills. A recent opinion poll conducted by Terry Madonna Research Opinion found that 4 out of 5 Pennsylvanians support a mercury rule that is stronger and implemented faster than the federal rule. Eighty percent of the respondents would be willing to pay up to \$1.08 more on the electricity in support of the Pennsylvania rule.

The federal mercury rule is bad for Pennsylvania's economy. Mercury contamination is threatening the Commonwealth's sporting, angling, and recreation industry, a significant source of revenue and jobs throughout the state. Because of the trading system set up in CAMR, Pennsylvania plants are more likely to pay for pollution credits than to clean up and modernize old plants. As a result, jobs are exported to other states, in the form of skilled labor required for the technological upgrades. As the electricity market becomes more integrated, cleaner plants (in other states) will out-compete their dirty counterparts (in Pennsylvania), forcing plants to close and more jobs to be lost.

Pennsylvania's rule encourages use of bituminous coal (mined in Pennsylvania and in nearby states). The federal rule makes it more attractive for plants to switch to coal mined from Western states.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment in support of the proposed rule for cutting mercury pollution from Pennsylvania's power plants.

Sincerely,

Evalyn F. Segal
215-991-4776

1,867. Ed McGovern -----
Hot Spots 10P 8-7

1,868. William Oswald -----

Current Comments List Continued

Re: Proposed Rulemaking: Mercury Emission Reduction Requirements for Electric Generating Units (#7-405)

Re: Mercury Emission Reduction Proposed Regulation

Dear Environmental Quality Board,

I am writing in support of the state moving forward with DEP's state-level proposal to cut mercury pollution from Pennsylvania's coal-fired power plants by 90 percent by 2015. Coal-fired power plants are the largest unregulated source of mercury pollution, which contaminates our waterways and eventually the fish that end up on our dinner plates. Medical experts say that even low levels of mercury exposure can affect the way kids learn, think, memorize and behave.

The technology exists to cut mercury pollution by 90 percent, and I support DEP's efforts to require these cuts at Pennsylvania power plants, without allowing for mercury pollution "credit" trading. With the Bush administration weakening our federal mercury protections, it is essential that state decision-makers take the lead in protecting our environment and public health by cutting this toxic pollution from Pennsylvania power plants.

THERE ARE SAFER, CLEANER, MORE SUSTAINABLE WAYS TO PRODUCE ENERGY, AND THESE NEED TO BE DEVELOPED MORE RAPIDLY. IN THE MEANTIME, POWER COMPANIES MUST NOT BE EXCUSED FROM THE TRUE FINANCIAL BURDEN AND RESPONSIBILITY OF MAKING THE EXISTING ENERGY PRODUCTION METHODS AS

1,869. Neal Handly -----

Dear Environmental Quality Board,

I am writing in support of the state moving forward with DEP's state-level proposal to cut mercury pollution from Pennsylvania's coal-fired power plants by 90 percent by 2015. Coal-fired power plants are the largest unregulated source of mercury pollution, which contaminates our waterways and eventually the fish that end up on our dinner plates. Medical experts say that even low levels of mercury exposure can affect the way kids learn, think, memorize and behave.

The technology exists to cut mercury pollution by 90 percent, and I support DEP's efforts to require these cuts at Pennsylvania power plants, without allowing for mercury pollution "credit" trading. With the Bush administration weakening our federal mercury protections, it is essential that state decision-makers take the lead in protecting our environment and public health by cutting this toxic pollution from Pennsylvania power plants.

It is especially concerning that it has been shown that the mercury levels in the 5 kilometer distance downwind power plants is 50 % higher than upwind of the plant - clearly the chemical is a problem nearby at this higher level and it could be more concerning if the downwind area includes streams and rivers to carry the mercury even farther away

Sincerely,

Neal Handly
451 Pusey Mill Road
Cochranville, PA 193301646

1,870. Sam Simon -----
Credit Trading 8-7

1,871. Jacqueline Bauder -----
Credit Trading 8-7

1,872. Meryl Crean -----
Credit Trading 8-7

1,873. Carol Dole -----

Current Comments List Continued

Re: Proposed Rulemaking: Mercury Emission Reduction Requirements for Electric Generating Units (#7-405)

Dear Environmental Quality Board,

I am writing in support of the state moving forward with DEP's state-level proposal to cut mercury pollution from Pennsylvania's coal-fired power plants by 90 percent by 2015. Coal-fired power plants are the largest unregulated source of mercury pollution, which contaminates our waterways and eventually the fish that end up on our dinner plates. Medical experts say that even low levels of mercury exposure can affect the way kids learn, think, memorize and behave.

The technology exists to cut mercury pollution by 90 percent, and I support DEP's efforts to require these cuts at Pennsylvania power plants, without allowing for mercury pollution "credit" trading. With the Bush administration weakening our federal mercury protections, it is essential that state decision-makers take the lead in protecting our environment and public health by cutting this toxic pollution from Pennsylvania power plants.

As a mother of three, I strongly believe we need to do our best to make a more healthful world for our children to live in, now and fifty years from now.

Sincerely,

- Carol Dole
663 Sunnyside Ave
Norristown, PA 194031740
1,874. Virginia Adams O'Connell -----
Credit Trading 8-7
- 1,875. Janice Park -----
Credit Trading 8-8
- 1,876. Kathleen Lockwood -----
Credit Trading 8-7
- 1,877. Alexander Hall -----
Credit Trading 8-7
- 1,878. Beverly Duncan -----
Credit Trading 8-7
- 1,879. Jennifer Briggs -----
Credit Trading 8-8
- 1,880. Erin McKinne -----

Current Comments List Continued

Re: Proposed Rulemaking: Mercury Emission Reduction Requirements for Electric Generating Units (#7-405)

Comments in favor of DEP Proposed Mercury Rulemaking

Dear members of the Environmental Quality Board (EQB):

I strongly support the Department of Environmental Protection's (DEP) proposed rulemaking on mercury reductions from coal-fired power plants in Pennsylvania.

Mercury pollution from coal-fired power plants affects health. Mercury pollution from power plants forms methylmercury, which poses a major health threat to humans and wildlife, primarily from eating fish. Mercury causes serious neurological problems in developing fetuses and babies. Very small amounts of mercury, passed to the child through the mother's blood or milk, can cause health effects. The U.S. Centers for Disease Control verify that over 600,000 women of childbearing age in the U.S. have levels of mercury in their blood higher than that considered safe for their developing babies. Mercury pollution is also causing developmental problems for a wide variety of wildlife, including song birds, mammals, and amphibians.

Pennsylvania lakes, rivers, and streams are contaminated with mercury pollution. Every water in the Commonwealth has advisories on fish consumption due to high levels of mercury and other types of pollution. In over 200 sampling locations in the state, fish have such high amounts of mercury that people are advised to eat no more than two meals of those fish per month.

Pennsylvania is number two in the nation for mercury pollution to air from coal-fired power plants. The most recent Toxic Release Inventory from the Environmental Protection Agency ranks Pennsylvania as second worst in the nation for mercury pollution to the air, behind only Texas. This is up from third in 2004.

Mercury pollution controls are available and affordable, and Pennsylvania coal-fired power plants are very profitable. Like a fully paid home mortgage, capital costs at coal-fired plants in Pennsylvania have been paid off. They are baseload plants that run all of the time, making electricity at costs far below wholesale prices, which more and more frequently is set by the cost of electricity produced by gas-fired plants. Wholesale electricity prices range between six and seven cents per kilowatt hour (kWh); coal-fired power plants produce electricity at a cost of between three and four cents per kWh. A recent National Wildlife Federation report estimated that the average customer would see an increase of \$1.08 on monthly electric bills if all the cost were passed through to consumers. In Pennsylvania's competitive retail electricity market, electricity suppliers cannot just routinely pass on their costs. They can choose to pass on none, some or all of their costs, or they can decide to reduce profits.

An overwhelming majority of Pennsylvanians support the state mercury rule, even if it means paying more on electricity bills. A recent opinion poll conducted by Terry Madonna Research Opinion found that 4 out of 5 Pennsylvanians support a mercury rule that is stronger and implemented faster than the federal rule. Eighty percent of the respondents would be willing to pay up to \$1.08 more on the electricity in support of the Pennsylvania rule. More than 60 health-affected, health, women's, children's, sporting, faith-based, environmental and conservation organizations around the state support the Pennsylvania rule. Over 100

Current Comments List Continued

Re: Proposed Rulemaking: Mercury Emission Reduction Requirements for Electric Generating Units (#7-405)

hunting and angling clubs around the state support the rule. Over 100 medical experts and faith leaders around the state have co-signed letters in support of the state-specific rule.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment in support of the proposed rule for cutting mercury pollution from Pennsylvania's power plants.

1,881. Char Magaro -----
Comments in favor of DEP Proposed Mercury Rulemaking

Dear members of the Environmental Quality Board (EQB):

I strongly support the Department of Environmental Protection's (DEP) proposed rulemaking on mercury reductions from coal-fired power plants in Pennsylvania.

Pennsylvania is number two in the nation for mercury pollution to air from coal-fired power plants. The most recent Toxic Release Inventory from the Environmental Protection Agency ranks Pennsylvania as second worst in the nation for mercury pollution to the air, behind only Texas. This is up from third in 2004.

An overwhelming majority of Pennsylvanians support the state mercury rule, even if it means paying more on electricity bills. A recent opinion poll conducted by Terry Madonna Research Opinion found that 4 out of 5 Pennsylvanians support a mercury rule that is stronger and implemented faster than the federal rule. Eighty percent of the respondents would be willing to pay up to \$1.08 more on the electricity in support of the Pennsylvania rule. More than 60 health-affected, health, women's, children's, sporting, faith-based, environmental and conservation organizations around the state support the Pennsylvania rule. Over 100 hunting and angling clubs around the state support the rule. Over 100 medical experts and faith leaders around the state have co-signed letters in support of the state-specific rule.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment in support of the proposed rule for cutting mercury pollution from Pennsylvania's power plants.

1,882. Charlene Wittman -----

Current Comments List Continued

Re: Proposed Rulemaking: Mercury Emission Reduction Requirements for Electric Generating Units (#7-405)

Comments in favor of DEP Proposed Mercury Rulemaking

Dear members of the Environmental Quality Board (EQB):

I strongly support the Department of Environmental Protection's (DEP) proposed rulemaking on mercury reductions from coal-fired power plants in Pennsylvania.

An overwhelming majority of Pennsylvanians support the state mercury rule, even if it means paying more on electricity bills. A recent opinion poll conducted by Terry Madonna Research Opinion found that 4 out of 5 Pennsylvanians support a mercury rule that is stronger and implemented faster than the federal rule. Eighty percent of the respondents would be willing to pay up to \$1.08 more on the electricity in support of the Pennsylvania rule. More than 60 health-affected, health, women's, children's, sporting, faith-based, environmental and conservation organizations around the state support the Pennsylvania rule. Over 100 hunting and angling clubs around the state support the rule. Over 100 medical experts and faith leaders around the state have co-signed letters in support of the state-specific rule.

PLEASE, support this ruling!

Thank you for the opportunity to comment in support of the proposed rule for cutting mercury pollution from Pennsylvania's power plants.

1,883. Liz Tymkiw -----

Current Comments List Continued

Re: Proposed Rulemaking: Mercury Emission Reduction Requirements for Electric Generating Units (#7-405)

Comments in favor of DEP Proposed Mercury Rulemaking

Dear members of the Environmental Quality Board (EQB):

I strongly support the Department of Environmental Protection's (DEP) proposed rulemaking on mercury reductions from coal-fired power plants in Pennsylvania.

Pennsylvania lakes, rivers, and streams are contaminated with mercury pollution. Every water in the Commonwealth has advisories on fish consumption due to high levels of mercury and other types of pollution. In over 200 sampling locations in the state, fish have such high amounts of mercury that people are advised to eat no more than two meals of those fish per month.

Mercury pollution controls are available and affordable, and Pennsylvania coal-fired power plants are very profitable. Like a fully paid home mortgage, capital costs at coal-fired plants in Pennsylvania have been paid off. They are baseload plants that run all of the time, making electricity at costs far below wholesale prices, which more and more frequently is set by the cost of electricity produced by gas-fired plants. Wholesale electricity prices range between six and seven cents per kilowatt hour (kWh); coal-fired power plants produce electricity at a cost of between three and four cents per kWh. A recent National Wildlife Federation report estimated that the average customer would see an increase of \$1.08 on monthly electric bills if all the cost were passed through to consumers. In Pennsylvania's competitive retail electricity market, electricity suppliers cannot just routinely pass on their costs. They can choose to pass on none, some or all of their costs, or they can decide to reduce profits.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment in support of the proposed rule for cutting mercury pollution from Pennsylvania's power plants.

1,884. Emily Petrucci -----

Current Comments List Continued

Re: Proposed Rulemaking: Mercury Emission Reduction Requirements for Electric Generating Units (#7-405)

Comments in favor of DEP Proposed Mercury Rulemaking

I strongly support the Department of Environmental Protection's (DEP) proposed rulemaking on mercury reductions from coal-fired power plants in Pennsylvania.

Mercury pollution from coal-fired power plants affects health. Mercury pollution from power plants forms methylmercury, which poses a major health threat to humans and wildlife, primarily from eating fish. Mercury causes serious neurological problems in developing fetuses and babies. Very small amounts of mercury, passed to the child through the mother's blood or milk, can cause health effects. The U.S. Centers for Disease Control verify that over 600,000 women of childbearing age in the U.S. have levels of mercury in their blood higher than that considered safe for their developing babies. Mercury pollution is also causing developmental problems for a wide variety of wildlife, including song birds, mammals, and amphibians.

Pennsylvania is number two in the nation for mercury pollution to air from coal-fired power plants. The most recent Toxic Release Inventory from the Environmental Protection Agency ranks Pennsylvania as second worst in the nation for mercury pollution to the air, behind only Texas. This is up from third in 2004.

Mercury pollution controls are available and affordable, and Pennsylvania coal-fired power plants are very profitable. Like a fully paid home mortgage, capital costs at coal-fired plants in Pennsylvania have been paid off. They are baseload plants that run all of the time, making electricity at costs far below wholesale prices, which more and more frequently is set by the cost of electricity produced by gas-fired plants. Wholesale electricity prices range between six and seven cents per kilowatt hour (kWh); coal-fired power plants produce electricity at a cost of between three and four cents per kWh. A recent National Wildlife Federation report estimated that the average customer would see an increase of \$1.08 on monthly electric bills if all the cost were passed through to consumers. In Pennsylvania's competitive retail electricity market, electricity suppliers cannot just routinely pass on their costs. They can choose to pass on none, some or all of their costs, or they can decide to reduce profits.

An overwhelming majority of Pennsylvanians support the state mercury rule, even if it means paying more on electricity bills. A recent opinion poll conducted by Terry Madonna Research Opinion found that 4 out of 5 Pennsylvanians support a mercury rule that is stronger and implemented faster than the federal rule. Eighty percent of the respondents would be willing to pay up to \$1.08 more on the electricity in support of the Pennsylvania rule. More than 60 health-affected, health, women's, children's, sporting, faith-based, environmental and conservation organizations around the state support the Pennsylvania rule. Over 100 hunting and angling clubs around the state support the rule. Over 100 medical experts and faith leaders around the state have co-signed letters in support of the state-specific rule.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment in support of the proposed rule for cutting mercury pollution from Pennsylvania's power plants.

1,885. Alison Donley -----
Hot Spots 10P 8-7

Current Comments List Continued

Re: Proposed Rulemaking: Mercury Emission Reduction Requirements for Electric Generating Units (#7-405)

1,886. Andrew McDowell -----
Comments in favor of DEP Proposed Mercury Rulemaking

members of the Environmental Quality Board (EQB)
Rachel Carson State Office Building, 15th Floor, 400 Market Street
P. O. Box 8477
Harrisburg, PA 17105-2063

Dear members of the Environmental Quality Board (EQB):

I strongly support the Department of Environmental Protection's (DEP) proposed rulemaking on mercury reductions from coal-fired power plants in Pennsylvania.

Pennsylvania is number two in the nation for mercury pollution to air from coal-fired power plants. The most recent Toxic Release Inventory from the Environmental Protection Agency ranks Pennsylvania as second worst in the nation for mercury pollution to the air, behind only Texas. This is up from third in 2004.

Mercury pollution controls are available and affordable, and Pennsylvania coal-fired power plants are very profitable. Like a fully paid home mortgage, capital costs at coal-fired plants in Pennsylvania have been paid off. They are baseload plants that run all of the time, making electricity at costs far below wholesale prices, which more and more frequently is set by the cost of electricity produced by gas-fired plants. Wholesale electricity prices range between six and seven cents per kilowatt hour (kWh); coal-fired power plants produce electricity at a cost of between three and four cents per kWh. A recent National Wildlife Federation report estimated that the average customer would see an increase of \$1.08 on monthly electric bills if all the cost were passed through to consumers. In Pennsylvania's competitive retail electricity market, electricity suppliers cannot just routinely pass on their costs. They can choose to pass on none, some or all of their costs, or they can decide to reduce profits.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment in support of the proposed rule for cutting mercury pollution from Pennsylvania's power plants.

Sincerely,

Andrew McDowell
610-873-2121

1,887. Rebecca Patterson -----
Credit Trading 8-8

1,888. Annemarie Krammes -----

Current Comments List Continued

Re: Proposed Rulemaking: Mercury Emission Reduction Requirements for Electric Generating Units (#7-405)

Comments in favor of DEP Proposed Mercury Rulemaking

members of the Environmental Quality Board (EQB)
Rachel Carson State Office Building, 15th Floor, 400 Market Street
P. O. Box 8477
Harrisburg, PA 17105-2063

Dear members of the Environmental Quality Board (EQB):

I strongly support the Department of Environmental Protection's (DEP) proposed rulemaking on mercury reductions from coal-fired power plants in Pennsylvania.

When citizens of Pennsylvania are warned not to eat too many fish caught in our waters, when mercury contamination has made the air and waters dangerous for children and developing babies, when the problem is understood and solutions are at hand, it seems clear that for the good of everyone, we must clear up and cut down on the mercury emissions from our coal-fired power plants. isn't it time to clear the air over Pennsylvania? Please support the mercury reduction plans and improve life for all of us.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment in support of the proposed rule for cutting mercury pollution from Pennsylvania's power plants.

Sincerely,

1,889. Barbara VanHorn -----

Current Comments List Continued

Re: Proposed Rulemaking: Mercury Emission Reduction Requirements for Electric Generating Units (#7-405)

Comments in favor of DEP Proposed Mercury Rulemaking

members of the Environmental Quality Board (EQB)
Rachel Carson State Office Building, 15th Floor, 400 Market Street
P. O. Box 8477
Harrisburg, PA 17105-2063

Dear members of the Environmental Quality Board (EQB):

I strongly support the Department of Environmental Protection's (DEP) proposed rulemaking on mercury reductions from coal-fired power plants in Pennsylvania.

Pennsylvania is number two in the nation for mercury pollution to air from coal-fired power plants. The most recent Toxic Release Inventory from the Environmental Protection Agency ranks Pennsylvania as second worst in the nation for mercury pollution to the air, behind only Texas. This is up from third in 2004.

The federal Clean Air Mercury Rule (CAMR) does too little too late. CAMR proponents claim that Pennsylvania will see an 86 percent drop in mercury pollution as a result of the federal rule. But the Congressional Research Service has detailed that CAMR won't deliver the reductions it promises, due to mercury pollution trading, where dirty plants are allowed to buy credits from cleaner, more modern ones. Pennsylvania plants are traditionally the number one purchasers of pollution credits. Overall, the Congressional Research Service concluded that CAMR would result in at best a 70 percent reduction in mercury emissions, but not until 2030 or later. The Pennsylvania rule as proposed requires that plants in the state must reduce their mercury emission levels by 90 percent by 2015, and does not allow mercury trading. Further, Pennsylvania and 15 other states, are challenging CAMR as illegal under the Clean Air Act, because it fails to treat mercury as the hazardous pollutant that it is. Should the legal action prevail, CAMR will be struck down, and we will be left with no protections from mercury pollution. A number of other states have already passed their own, more protective mercury reduction rules, and many others are in the process of doing so.

We need to reduce mercury pollution now! Why do we even have DEP if we don't listen to their advice? Don't let industry run PA; our people deserve protection!

Thank you for the opportunity to comment in support of the proposed rule for cutting mercury pollution from Pennsylvania's power plants.

Sincerely,

Barbara VanHorn
717-834-6458

1,890. Barry Johnson -----

Current Comments List Continued

Re: Proposed Rulemaking: Mercury Emission Reduction Requirements for Electric Generating Units (#7-405)

Comments in favor of DEP Proposed Mercury Rulemaking

members of the Environmental Quality Board (EQB)
Rachel Carson State Office Building, 15th Floor, 400 Market Street
P. O. Box 8477
Harrisburg, PA 17105-2063

Dear members of the Environmental Quality Board (EQB):

I strongly support the Department of Environmental Protection's (DEP) proposed rulemaking on mercury reductions from coal-fired power plants in Pennsylvania.

I want mercury pollution in Pennsylvania reduced. The proposed rulemaking on mercury would be an effective means to accomplish it.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment in support of the proposed rule for cutting mercury pollution from Pennsylvania's power plants.

Sincerely,

1,891. Lois Sayers -----

Current Comments List Continued

Re: Proposed Rulemaking: Mercury Emission Reduction Requirements for Electric Generating Units (#7-405)

Comments in favor of DEP Proposed Mercury Rulemaking

Dear members of the Environmental Quality Board (EQB):

I strongly support the Department of Environmental Protection's (DEP) proposed rulemaking on mercury reductions from coal-fired power plants in Pennsylvania.

Pennsylvania lakes, rivers, and streams are contaminated with mercury pollution. Every water in the Commonwealth has advisories on fish consumption due to high levels of mercury and other types of pollution. In over 200 sampling locations in the state, fish have such high amounts of mercury that people are advised to eat no more than two meals of those fish per month.

The federal Clean Air Mercury Rule (CAMR) does too little too late. CAMR proponents claim that Pennsylvania will see an 86 percent drop in mercury pollution as a result of the federal rule. But the Congressional Research Service has detailed that CAMR won't deliver the reductions it promises, due to mercury pollution trading, where dirty plants are allowed to buy credits from cleaner, more modern ones. Pennsylvania plants are traditionally the number one purchasers of pollution credits. Overall, the Congressional Research Service concluded that CAMR would result in at best a 70 percent reduction in mercury emissions, but not until 2030 or later. The Pennsylvania rule as proposed requires that plants in the state must reduce their mercury emission levels by 90 percent by 2015, and does not allow mercury trading. Further, Pennsylvania and 15 other states, are challenging CAMR as illegal under the Clean Air Act, because it fails to treat mercury as the hazardous pollutant that it is. Should the legal action prevail, CAMR will be struck down, and we will be left with no protections from mercury pollution. A number of other states have already passed their own, more protective mercury reduction rules, and many others are in the process of doing so.

The federal mercury rule is bad for Pennsylvania's economy. Mercury contamination is threatening the Commonwealth's sporting, angling, and recreation industry, a significant source of revenue and jobs throughout the state. Because of the trading system set up in CAMR, Pennsylvania plants are more likely to pay for pollution credits than to clean up and modernize old plants. As a result, jobs are exported to other states, in the form of skilled labor required for the technological upgrades. As the electricity market becomes more integrated, cleaner plants (in other states) will out-compete their dirty counterparts (in Pennsylvania), forcing plants to close and more jobs to be lost. Pennsylvania's rule encourages use of bituminous coal (mined in Pennsylvania and in nearby states). The federal rule makes it more attractive for plants to switch to coal mined from Western states. Most importantly, there are significant costs associated with the devastating health impacts; rates of learning disabilities and associated health effects of mercury in children are increasing.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment in support of the proposed rule for cutting mercury pollution from Pennsylvania's power plants.

1,892. Andrew Summa -----
Credit Trading 8-8

Current Comments List Continued

Re: Proposed Rulemaking: Mercury Emission Reduction Requirements for Electric Generating Units (#7-405)

1,893. Breen Masciotra -----
Comments in favor of DEP Proposed Mercury Rulemaking

members of the Environmental Quality Board (EQB)
Rachel Carson State Office Building, 15th Floor, 400 Market Street
P. O. Box 8477
Harrisburg, PA 17105-2063

Dear members of the Environmental Quality Board (EQB):

I strongly support the Department of Environmental Protection's (DEP) proposed rulemaking on mercury reductions from coal-fired power plants in Pennsylvania.

Mercury pollution from coal-fired power plants affects health. Mercury pollution from power plants forms methylmercury, which poses a major health threat to humans and wildlife, primarily from eating fish. Mercury causes serious neurological problems in developing fetuses and babies. Very small amounts of mercury, passed to the child through the mother's blood or milk, can cause health effects. The U.S. Centers for Disease Control verify that over 600,000 women of childbearing age in the U.S. have levels of mercury in their blood higher than that considered safe for their developing babies. Mercury pollution is also causing developmental problems for a wide variety of wildlife, including song birds, mammals, and amphibians.

The federal mercury rule is bad for Pennsylvania's economy. Mercury contamination is threatening the Commonwealth's sporting, angling, and recreation industry, a significant source of revenue and jobs throughout the state. Because of the trading system set up in CAMR, Pennsylvania plants are more likely to pay for pollution credits than to clean up and modernize old plants. As a result, jobs are exported to other states, in the form of skilled labor required for the technological upgrades. As the electricity market becomes more integrated, cleaner plants (in other states) will out-compete their dirty counterparts (in Pennsylvania), forcing plants to close and more jobs to be lost. Pennsylvania's rule encourages use of bituminous coal (mined in Pennsylvania and in nearby states). The federal rule makes it more attractive for plants to switch to coal mined from Western states. Most importantly, there are significant costs associated with the devastating health impacts; rates of learning disabilities and associated health effects of mercury in children are increasing.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment in support of the proposed rule for cutting mercury pollution from Pennsylvania's power plants.

Sincerely,

breen masciotra
4129524190

1,894. Mary Durando New Bolton Center - UPenn -----
Credit Trading 8-8

1,895. Carla Burkett -----

Current Comments List Continued

Re: Proposed Rulemaking: Mercury Emission Reduction Requirements for Electric Generating Units (#7-405)

Comments in favor of DEP Proposed Mercury Rulemaking

members of the Environmental Quality Board (EQB)
Rachel Carson State Office Building, 15th Floor, 400 Market Street
P. O. Box 8477
Harrisburg, PA 17105-2063

Dear members of the Environmental Quality Board (EQB):

I strongly support the Department of Environmental Protection's (DEP) proposed rulemaking on mercury reductions from coal-fired power plants in Pennsylvania.

Mercury pollution from coal-fired power plants affects health. Mercury pollution from power plants forms methylmercury, which poses a major health threat to humans and wildlife, primarily from eating fish. Mercury causes serious neurological problems in developing fetuses and babies. Very small amounts of mercury, passed to the child through the mother's blood or milk, can cause health effects. The U.S. Centers for Disease Control verify that over 600,000 women of childbearing age in the U.S. have levels of mercury in their blood higher than that considered safe for their developing babies. Mercury pollution is also causing developmental problems for a wide variety of wildlife, including song birds, mammals, and amphibians.

Pennsylvania lakes, rivers, and streams are contaminated with mercury pollution. Every water in the Commonwealth has advisories on fish consumption due to high levels of mercury and other types of pollution. In over 200 sampling locations in the state, fish have such high amounts of mercury that people are advised to eat no more than two meals of those fish per month.

Pennsylvania is number two in the nation for mercury pollution to air from coal-fired power plants. The most recent Toxic Release Inventory from the Environmental Protection Agency ranks Pennsylvania as second worst in the nation for mercury pollution to the air, behind only Texas. This is up from third in 2004.

The federal Clean Air Mercury Rule (CAMR) does too little too late. CAMR proponents claim that Pennsylvania will see an 86 percent drop in mercury pollution as a result of the federal rule. But the Congressional Research Service has detailed that CAMR won't deliver the reductions it promises, due to mercury pollution trading, where dirty plants are allowed to buy credits from cleaner, more modern ones. Pennsylvania plants are traditionally the number one purchasers of pollution credits. Overall, the Congressional Research Service concluded that CAMR would result in at best a 70 percent reduction in mercury emissions, but not until 2030 or later. The Pennsylvania rule as proposed requires that plants in the state must reduce their mercury emission levels by 90 percent by 2015, and does not allow mercury trading. Further, Pennsylvania and 15 other states, are challenging CAMR as illegal under the Clean Air Act, because it fails to treat mercury as the hazardous pollutant that it is. Should the legal action prevail, CAMR will be struck down, and we will be left with no protections from mercury pollution. A number of other states have already passed their own, more protective mercury reduction rules, and many others are in the process of doing so.

Current Comments List Continued

Re: Proposed Rulemaking: Mercury Emission Reduction Requirements for Electric Generating Units (#7-405)

Mercury pollution controls are available and affordable, and Pennsylvania coal-fired power plants are very profitable. Like a fully paid home mortgage, capital costs at coal-fired plants in Pennsylvania have been paid off. They are baseload plants that run all of the time, making electricity at costs far below wholesale prices, which more and more frequently is set by the cost of electricity produced by gas-fired plants. Wholesale electricity prices range between six and seven cents per kilowatt hour (kWh); coal-fired power plants produce electricity at a cost of between three and four cents per kWh. A recent National Wildlife Federation report estimated that the average customer would see an increase of \$1.08 on monthly electric bills if all the cost were passed through to consumers. In Pennsylvania's competitive retail electricity market, electricity suppliers cannot just routinely pass on their costs. They can choose to pass on none, some or all of their costs, or they can decide to reduce profits.

An overwhelming majority of Pennsylvanians support the state mercury rule, even if it means paying more on electricity bills. A recent opinion poll conducted by Terry Madonna Research Opinion found that 4 out of 5 Pennsylvanians support a mercury rule that is stronger and implemented faster than the federal rule. Eighty percent of the respondents would be willing to pay up to \$1.08 more on the electricity in support of the Pennsylvania rule. More than 60 health-affected, health, women's, children's, sporting, faith-based, environmental and conservation organizations around the state support the Pennsylvania rule. Over 100 hunting and angling clubs around the state support the rule. Over 100 medical experts and faith leaders around the state have co-signed letters in support of the state-specific rule.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment in support of the proposed rule for cutting mercury pollution from Pennsylvania's power plants.

Sincerely,

Carla J. Burkett

1,896. Ellie Bernstein -----
Credit Trading 8-8

1,897. Ann Baker -----

Current Comments List Continued

Re: Proposed Rulemaking: Mercury Emission Reduction Requirements for Electric Generating Units (#7-405)

Comments in favor of DEP Proposed Mercury Rulemaking

Dear members of the Environmental Quality Board (EQB):

I strongly support the Department of Environmental Protection's (DEP) proposed rulemaking on mercury reductions from coal-fired power plants in Pennsylvania.

Pennsylvania is number two in the nation for mercury pollution to air from coal-fired power plants. The most recent Toxic Release Inventory from the Environmental Protection Agency ranks Pennsylvania as second worst in the nation for mercury pollution to the air, behind only Texas. This is up from third in 2004.

Mercury pollution controls are available and affordable, and Pennsylvania coal-fired power plants are very profitable. They are baseload plants that run all of the time, making electricity at costs far below wholesale prices, which more and more frequently is set by the cost of electricity produced by gas-fired plants. A recent National Wildlife Federation report estimated that the average customer would see an increase of \$1.08 on monthly electric bills if all the cost were passed through to consumers. In Pennsylvania's competitive retail electricity market, electricity suppliers cannot just routinely pass on their costs. They can choose to pass on none, some or all of their costs, or they can decide to reduce profits.

An overwhelming majority of Pennsylvanians support the state mercury rule, even if it means paying more on electricity bills. A recent opinion poll conducted by Terry Madonna Research Opinion found that 4 out of 5 Pennsylvanians support a mercury rule that is stronger and implemented faster than the federal rule. Eighty percent of the respondents would be willing to pay up to \$1.08 more on the electricity in support of the Pennsylvania rule. More than 60 health-affected, health, women's, children's, sporting, faith-based, environmental and conservation organizations around the state support the Pennsylvania rule. Over 100 hunting and angling clubs around the state support the rule. Over 100 medical experts and faith leaders around the state have co-signed letters in support of the state-specific rule.

The federal mercury rule is bad for Pennsylvania's economy. Mercury contamination is threatening the Commonwealth's sporting, angling, and recreation industry, a significant source of revenue and jobs throughout the state. Because of the trading system set up in CAMR, Pennsylvania plants are more likely to pay for pollution credits than to clean up and modernize old plants. As a result, jobs are exported to other states, in the form of skilled labor required for the technological upgrades. As the electricity market becomes more integrated, cleaner plants (in other states) will out-compete their dirty counterparts (in Pennsylvania), forcing plants to close and more jobs to be lost. Pennsylvania's rule encourages use of bituminous coal (mined in Pennsylvania and in nearby states). The federal rule makes it more attractive for plants to switch to coal mined from Western states. Most importantly, there are significant costs associated with the devastating health impacts; rates of learning disabilities and associated health effects of mercury in children are increasing.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment in support of the proposed rule for cutting mercury pollution from Pennsylvania's power plants.

Current Comments List Continued

Re: Proposed Rulemaking: Mercury Emission Reduction Requirements for Electric Generating Units (#7-405)

1,898. Susan Koehler -----

Re: Mercury Emission Reduction Proposed Regulation

Dear Environmental Quality Board,

I support the DEP's proposal to cut mercury pollution from Pennsylvania's coal-fired power plants by 90 percent by 2015.

I am very concerned about the toxic effects of mercury on our kids, and these coal-fired plants are the biggest contributor to this problem.

With the Bush administration weakening our federal mercury protections, it is essential that state decision-makers take the lead in protecting our environment and public health by cutting this toxic pollution from Pennsylvania power plants

1,899. Christine Jude -----

Dear Environmental Quality Board,

As a nurse midwife caring for women and infants in the state of Pennsylvania this is an issue very important to me.

I am writing in support of the state moving forward with DEP's state-level proposal to cut mercury pollution from Pennsylvania's coal-fired power plants by 90 percent by 2015. Coal-fired power plants are the largest unregulated source of mercury pollution, which contaminates our waterways and eventually the fish that end up on our dinner plates. Medical experts say that even low levels of mercury exposure can affect the way kids learn, think, memorize and behave.

The technology exists to cut mercury pollution by 90 percent, and I support DEP's efforts to require these cuts at Pennsylvania power plants, without allowing for mercury pollution "credit" trading. With the Bush administration weakening our federal mercury protections, it is essential that state decision-makers take the lead in protecting our environment and public health by cutting this toxic pollution from Pennsylvania power plants.

Sincerely,

Christine Jude

4608 Spruce St # 2

Philadelphia, PA 191394540

1,900. Caroline Cotugno -----

Current Comments List Continued

Re: Proposed Rulemaking: Mercury Emission Reduction Requirements for Electric Generating Units (#7-405)

Comments in favor of DEP Proposed Mercury Rulemaking

members of the Environmental Quality Board (EQB)
Rachel Carson State Office Building, 15th Floor, 400 Market Street
P. O. Box 8477
Harrisburg, PA 17105-2063

Dear members of the Environmental Quality Board (EQB):

I strongly support the Department of Environmental Protection's (DEP) proposed rulemaking on mercury reductions from coal-fired power plants in Pennsylvania.

Mercury pollution from coal-fired power plants affects health. Mercury pollution from power plants forms methylmercury, which poses a major health threat to humans and wildlife, primarily from eating fish. Mercury causes serious neurological problems in developing fetuses and babies. Very small amounts of mercury, passed to the child through the mother's blood or milk, can cause health effects. The U.S. Centers for Disease Control verify that over 600,000 women of childbearing age in the U.S. have levels of mercury in their blood higher than that considered safe for their developing babies. Mercury pollution is also causing developmental problems for a wide variety of wildlife, including song birds, mammals, and amphibians.

Pennsylvania lakes, rivers, and streams are contaminated with mercury pollution. Every water in the Commonwealth has advisories on fish consumption due to high levels of mercury and other types of pollution. In over 200 sampling locations in the state, fish have such high amounts of mercury that people are advised to eat no more than two meals of those fish per month.

Pennsylvania is number two in the nation for mercury pollution to air from coal-fired power plants. The most recent Toxic Release Inventory from the Environmental Protection Agency ranks Pennsylvania as second worst in the nation for mercury pollution to the air, behind only Texas. This is up from third in 2004.

The federal Clean Air Mercury Rule (CAMR) does too little too late. CAMR proponents claim that Pennsylvania will see an 86 percent drop in mercury pollution as a result of the federal rule. But the Congressional Research Service has detailed that CAMR won't deliver the reductions it promises, due to mercury pollution trading, where dirty plants are allowed to buy credits from cleaner, more modern ones. Pennsylvania plants are traditionally the number one purchasers of pollution credits. Overall, the Congressional Research Service concluded that CAMR would result in at best a 70 percent reduction in mercury emissions, but not until 2030 or later. The Pennsylvania rule as proposed requires that plants in the state must reduce their mercury emission levels by 90 percent by 2015, and does not allow mercury trading. Further, Pennsylvania and 15 other states, are challenging CAMR as illegal under the Clean Air Act, because it fails to treat mercury as the hazardous pollutant that it is. Should the legal action prevail, CAMR will be struck down, and we will be left with no protections from mercury pollution. A number of other states have already passed their own, more protective mercury reduction rules, and many others

Current Comments List Continued

Re: Proposed Rulemaking: Mercury Emission Reduction Requirements for Electric Generating Units (#7-405)

are in the process of doing so.

Mercury pollution controls are available and affordable, and Pennsylvania coal-fired power plants are very profitable. Like a fully paid home mortgage, capital costs at coal-fired plants in Pennsylvania have been paid off. They are baseload plants that run all of the time, making electricity at costs far below wholesale prices, which more and more frequently is set by the cost of electricity produced by gas-fired plants. Wholesale electricity prices range between six and seven cents per kilowatt hour (kWh); coal-fired power plants produce electricity at a cost of between three and four cents per kWh. A recent National Wildlife Federation report estimated that the average customer would see an increase of \$1.08 on monthly electric bills if all the cost were passed through to consumers. In Pennsylvania's competitive retail electricity market, electricity suppliers cannot just routinely pass on their costs. They can choose to pass on none, some or all of their costs, or they can decide to reduce profits.

An overwhelming majority of Pennsylvanians support the state mercury rule, even if it means paying more on electricity bills. A recent opinion poll conducted by Terry Madonna Research *Opinion* found that 4 out of 5 Pennsylvanians support a mercury rule that is stronger and implemented faster than the federal rule. Eighty percent of the respondents would be willing to pay up to \$1.08 more on the electricity in support of the Pennsylvania rule. More than 60 health-affected, health, women's, children's, sporting, faith-based, environmental and conservation organizations around the state support the Pennsylvania rule. Over 100 hunting and angling clubs around the state support the rule. Over 100 medical experts and faith leaders around the state have co-signed letters in support of the state-specific rule.

The federal mercury rule is bad for Pennsylvania's economy. Mercury contamination is threatening the Commonwealth's sporting, angling, and recreation industry, a significant source of revenue and jobs throughout the state. Because of the trading system set up in CAMR, Pennsylvania plants are more likely to pay for pollution credits than to clean up and modernize old plants. As a result, jobs are exported to other states, in the form of skilled labor required for the technological upgrades. As the electricity market becomes more integrated, cleaner plants (in other states) will out-compete their dirty counterparts (in Pennsylvania), forcing plants to close and more jobs to be lost. Pennsylvania's rule encourages use of bituminous coal (mined in Pennsylvania and in nearby states). The federal rule makes it more attractive for plants to switch to coal mined from Western states. Most importantly, there are significant costs associated with the devastating health impacts; rates of learning disabilities and associated health effects of mercury in children are increasing.="selectMC_message_8959881

Thank you for the opportunity to comment in support of the proposed rule for cutting mercury pollution from Pennsylvania's power plants.

Sincerely,

Caroline M. Cotugno

1,901. Russell Thomas -----
Credit Trading 8-8

Current Comments List Continued

Re: Proposed Rulemaking: Mercury Emission Reduction Requirements for Electric Generating Units (#7-405)

1,902. Cathy Nace -----

Comments in favor of DEP Proposed Mercury Rulemaking

members of the Environmental Quality Board (EQB)
Rachel Carson State Office Building, 15th Floor, 400 Market Street
P. O. Box 8477
Harrisburg, PA 17105-2063

Dear members of the Environmental Quality Board (EQB):

I strongly support the Department of Environmental Protection's (DEP) proposed rulemaking on mercury reductions from coal-fired power plants in Pennsylvania.

Mercury pollution from coal-fired power plants affects health. With the rising health care costs, reduction of this pollutant will help to reduce some of this burden. Mercury pollution from power plants forms methylmercury, which poses a major health threat to humans and wildlife, primarily from eating fish. Mercury causes serious neurological problems in developing fetuses and babies. Very small amounts of mercury, passed to the child through the mother's blood or milk, can cause health effects. The U.S. Centers for Disease Control verify that over 600,000 women of childbearing age in the U.S. have levels of mercury in their blood higher than that considered safe for their developing babies. Mercury pollution is also causing developmental problems for a wide variety of wildlife, including song birds, mammals, and amphibians.

Pennsylvania lakes, rivers, and streams are contaminated with mercury pollution. Every water in the Commonwealth has advisories on fish consumption due to high levels of mercury and other types of pollution. In over 200 sampling locations in the state, fish have such high amounts of mercury that people are advised to eat no more than two meals of those fish per month. What a shame for our people who enjoy fishing!

Pennsylvania is number two in the nation for mercury pollution to air from coal-fired power plants. The most recent Toxic Release Inventory from the Environmental Protection Agency ranks Pennsylvania as second worst in the nation for mercury pollution to the air, behind only Texas. This is up from third in 2004.

The federal Clean Air Mercury Rule (CAMR) does too little too late. CAMR proponents claim that Pennsylvania will see an 86 percent drop in mercury pollution as a result of the federal rule. But the Congressional Research Service has detailed that CAMR won't deliver the reductions it promises, due to mercury pollution trading, where dirty plants are allowed to buy credits from cleaner, more modern ones. Pennsylvania plants are traditionally the number one purchasers of pollution credits. Overall, the Congressional Research Service concluded that CAMR would result in at best a 70 percent reduction in mercury emissions, but not until 2030 or later. The Pennsylvania rule as proposed requires that plants in the state must reduce their mercury emission levels by 90 percent by 2015, and does not allow mercury trading. Further, Pennsylvania and 15 other states, are challenging CAMR as illegal under the Clean Air Act, because it fails to treat mercury as the hazardous pollutant that it is. Should the legal action prevail, CAMR will be struck down, and we will be left with no protections from mercury pollution. A number of other states have already passed their own, more protective mercury reduction rules, and many others

Current Comments List Continued

Re: Proposed Rulemaking: Mercury Emission Reduction Requirements for Electric Generating Units (#7-405)

are in the process of doing so.

An overwhelming majority of Pennsylvanians support the state mercury rule, even if it means paying more on electricity bills. A recent opinion poll conducted by Terry Madonna Research Opinion found that 4 out of 5 Pennsylvanians support a mercury rule that is stronger and implemented faster than the federal rule. Eighty percent of the respondents would be willing to pay up to \$1.08 more on the electricity in support of the Pennsylvania rule. More than 60 health-affected, health, women's, children's, sporting, faith-based, environmental and conservation organizations around the state support the Pennsylvania rule. Over 100 hunting and angling clubs around the state support the rule. Over 100 medical experts and faith leaders around the state have co-signed letters in support of the state-specific rule.

Mercury pollution controls are available and affordable, and Pennsylvania coal-fired power plants are very profitable. Like a fully paid home mortgage, capital costs at coal-fired plants in Pennsylvania have been paid off. They are baseload plants that run all of the time, making electricity at costs far below wholesale prices, which more and more frequently is set by the cost of electricity produced by gas-fired plants. Wholesale electricity prices range between six and seven cents per kilowatt hour (kWh); coal-fired power plants produce electricity at a cost of between three and four cents per kWh. A recent National Wildlife Federation report estimated that the average customer would see an increase of \$1.08 on monthly electric bills if all the cost were passed through to consumers. In Pennsylvania's competitive retail electricity market, electricity suppliers cannot just routinely pass on their costs. They can choose to pass on none, some or all of their costs, or they can decide to reduce profits.

The federal mercury rule is bad for Pennsylvania's economy. Mercury contamination is threatening the Commonwealth's sporting, angling, and recreation industry, a significant source of revenue and jobs throughout the state. Because of the trading system set up in CAMR, Pennsylvania plants are more likely to pay for pollution credits than to clean up and modernize old plants. As a result, jobs are exported to other states, in the form of skilled labor required for the technological upgrades. As the electricity market becomes more integrated, cleaner plants (in other states) will out-compete their dirty counterparts (in Pennsylvania), forcing plants to close and more jobs to be lost. Pennsylvania's rule encourages use of bituminous coal (mined in Pennsylvania and in nearby states). The federal rule makes it more attractive for plants to switch to coal mined from Western states. Most importantly, there are significant costs associated with the devastating health impacts; rates of learning disabilities and associated health effects of mercury in children are increasing.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment in support of the proposed rule for cutting mercury pollution from Pennsylvania's power plants.

Sincerely,

Cathy Nace

1,903. Lori DeWalt -----
Credit Trading 8-8

Current Comments List Continued

Re: Proposed Rulemaking: Mercury Emission Reduction Requirements for Electric Generating Units (#7-405)

1,904. Natalie Smith -----
Comments in favor of DEP Proposed Mercury Rulemaking

Dear members of the Environmental Quality Board (EQB):

I strongly support the Department of Environmental Protection's (DEP) proposed rulemaking on mercury reductions from coal-fired power plants in Pennsylvania.

Mercury pollution from coal-fired power plants affects health. Mercury pollution from power plants forms methylmercury, which poses a major health threat to humans and wildlife, primarily from eating fish. Mercury causes serious neurological problems in developing fetuses and babies. Very small amounts of mercury, passed to the child through the mother's blood or milk, can cause health effects. The U.S. Centers for Disease Control verify that over 600,000 women of childbearing age in the U.S. have levels of mercury in their blood higher than that considered safe for their developing babies. Mercury pollution is also causing developmental problems for a wide variety of wildlife, including song birds, mammals, and amphibians.

Pennsylvania is number two in the nation for mercury pollution to air from coal-fired power plants. The most recent Toxic Release Inventory from the Environmental Protection Agency ranks Pennsylvania as second worst in the nation for mercury pollution to the air, behind only Texas. This is up from third in 2004.

The federal Clean Air Mercury Rule (CAMR) does too little too late. CAMR proponents claim that Pennsylvania will see an 86 percent drop in mercury pollution as a result of the federal rule. But the Congressional Research Service has detailed that CAMR won't deliver the reductions it promises, due to mercury pollution trading, where dirty plants are allowed to buy credits from cleaner, more modern ones. Pennsylvania plants are traditionally the number one purchasers of pollution credits. Overall, the Congressional Research Service concluded that CAMR would result in at best a 70 percent reduction in mercury emissions, but not until 2030 or later. The Pennsylvania rule as proposed requires that plants in the state must reduce their mercury emission levels by 90 percent by 2015, and does not allow mercury trading. Further, Pennsylvania and 15 other states, are challenging CAMR as illegal under the Clean Air Act, because it fails to treat mercury as the hazardous pollutant that it is. Should the legal action prevail, CAMR will be struck down, and we will be left with no protections from mercury pollution. A number of other states have already passed their own, more protective mercury reduction rules, and many others are in the process of doing so.

The federal mercury rule is bad for Pennsylvania's economy. Mercury contamination is threatening the Commonwealth's sporting, angling, and recreation industry, a significant source of revenue and jobs throughout the state. Because of the trading system set up in CAMR, Pennsylvania plants are more likely to pay for pollution credits than to clean up and modernize old plants. As a result, jobs are exported to other states, in the form of skilled labor required for the technological upgrades. As the electricity market becomes more integrated, cleaner plants (in other states) will out-compete their dirty counterparts (in Pennsylvania), forcing plants to close and more jobs to be lost. Pennsylvania's rule

Current Comments List Continued

Re: Proposed Rulemaking: Mercury Emission Reduction Requirements for Electric Generating Units (#7-405)

encourages use of bituminous coal (mined in Pennsylvania and in nearby states). The federal rule makes it more attractive for plants to switch to coal mined from Western states. Most importantly, there are significant costs associated with the devastating health impacts; rates of learning disabilities and associated health effects of mercury in children are increasing.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment in support of the proposed rule for cutting mercury pollution from Pennsylvania's power plants.

1,905. Claudia Crane -----
Comments in favor of DEP Proposed Mercury Rulemaking

members of the Environmental Quality Board (EQB)
Rachel Carson State Office Building, 15th Floor, 400 Market Street
P. O. Box 8477
Harrisburg, PA 17105-2063

Dear members of the Environmental Quality Board (EQB):

I strongly support the Department of Environmental Protection's (DEP) proposed rulemaking on mercury reductions from coal-fired power plants in Pennsylvania.

Mercury pollution from coal-fired power plants affects health. Mercury pollution from power plants forms methylmercury, which poses a major health threat to humans and wildlife, primarily from eating fish. Mercury causes serious neurological problems in developing fetuses and babies. Very small amounts of mercury, passed to the child through the mother's blood or milk, can cause health effects. The U.S. Centers for Disease Control verify that over 600,000 women of childbearing age in the U.S. have levels of mercury in their blood higher than that considered safe for their developing babies. Mercury pollution is also causing developmental problems for a wide variety of wildlife, including song birds, mammals, and amphibians.

NO AMOUNT OF MERCURY BELONGS IN OUR BODIES.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment in support of the proposed rule for cutting mercury pollution from Pennsylvania's power plants.

Sincerely,

CLAUDIA CRANE
1,906. David Sheridan -----

Current Comments List Continued

Re: Proposed Rulemaking: Mercury Emission Reduction Requirements for Electric Generating Units (#7-405)

Comments in favor of DEP Proposed Mercury Rulemaking

members of the Environmental Quality Board (EQB)
Rachel Carson State Office Building, 15th Floor, 400 Market Street
P. O. Box 8477
Harrisburg, PA 17105-2063

Dear members of the Environmental Quality Board (EQB):

I strongly support the Department of Environmental Protection's (DEP) proposed rulemaking on mercury reductions from coal-fired power plants in Pennsylvania.

Pennsylvania lakes, rivers, and streams are contaminated with mercury pollution. Every water in the Commonwealth has advisories on fish consumption due to high levels of mercury and other types of pollution. In over 200 sampling locations in the state, fish have such high amounts of mercury that people are advised to eat no more than two meals of those fish per month.

Mercury pollution builds up in areas close to the source, creating dangerous "hot spots" of high mercury concentrations. Fish in Pennsylvania are highly contaminated with mercury in some areas, not as much in other areas. The areas with the highest concentrations correspond to those places downwind of mercury-spewing coal-fired power plants. Recent DEP data showed that over eight years, mercury levels at a sampling station located in Cambria County near power plants were 47 percent greater than mercury levels recorded at a sampling station located in Tioga County, which is not close to mercury sources. Recent EPA-funded studies show that up to 70 percent of mercury contamination comes from local and regional sources. The studies also show that cleaning up mercury pollution at nearby sources results in significant drops in mercury contamination in nearby fish.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment in support of the proposed rule for cutting mercury pollution from Pennsylvania's power plants.

Sincerely,

David Sheridan
717 497-5768
1,907. James Eadie -----

Current Comments List Continued

Re: Proposed Rulemaking: Mercury Emission Reduction Requirements for Electric Generating Units (#7-405)

Dear Env. Quality Board;

Please support the DEP's proposal on reducing mercury pollution from PA power plants. Including mercury in the group of substances that have their 'credits' traded is technically misguided because much of the mercury emissions fall locally, impacting the surrounding population. Credits are appropriate for substances, such as CO₂, that remain airborne and mix completely with the atmosphere, thus not unfairly affecting the local population.

With all we know about the toxicity of mercury, it is a great shame that we have not done more to minimize our state's mercury emissions.

Sincerely,

James Eadie
1715 Melrose Ave
Greencastle PA 17225
1,908. Dena Condron -----

Current Comments List Continued

Re: Proposed Rulemaking: Mercury Emission Reduction Requirements for Electric Generating Units (#7-405)

Comments in favor of DEP Proposed Mercury Rulemaking

members of the Environmental Quality Board (EQB)
Rachel Carson State Office Building, 15th Floor, 400 Market Street
P. O. Box 8477
Harrisburg, PA 17105-2063

Dear members of the Environmental Quality Board (EQB):

I strongly support the Department of Environmental Protection's (DEP) proposed rulemaking on mercury reductions from coal-fired power plants in Pennsylvania.

Mercury pollution from coal-fired power plants affects health. Mercury pollution from power plants forms methylmercury, which poses a major health threat to humans and wildlife, primarily from eating fish. Mercury causes serious neurological problems in developing fetuses and babies. Very small amounts of mercury, passed to the child through the mother's blood or milk, can cause health effects. The U.S. Centers for Disease Control verify that over 600,000 women of childbearing age in the U.S. have levels of mercury in their blood higher than that considered safe for their developing babies. Mercury pollution is also causing developmental problems for a wide variety of wildlife, including song birds, mammals, and amphibians.

Pennsylvania lakes, rivers, and streams are contaminated with mercury pollution. Every water in the Commonwealth has advisories on fish consumption due to high levels of mercury and other types of pollution. In over 200 sampling locations in the state, fish have such high amounts of mercury that people are advised to eat no more than two meals of those fish per month.

Mercury pollution builds up in areas close to the source, creating dangerous "hot spots" of high mercury concentrations. Fish in Pennsylvania are highly contaminated with mercury in some areas, not as much in other areas. The areas with the highest concentrations correspond to those places downwind of mercury-spewing coal-fired power plants. Recent DEP data showed that over eight years, mercury levels at a sampling station located in Cambria County near power plants were 47 percent greater than mercury levels recorded at a sampling station located in Tioga County, which is not close to mercury sources. Recent EPA-funded studies show that up to 70 percent of mercury contamination comes from local and regional sources. The studies also show that cleaning up mercury pollution at nearby sources results in significant drops in mercury contamination in nearby fish.

The federal Clean Air Mercury Rule (CAMR) does too little too late. CAMR proponents claim that Pennsylvania will see an 86 percent drop in mercury pollution as a result of the federal rule. But the Congressional Research Service has detailed that CAMR won't deliver the reductions it promises, due to mercury pollution trading, where dirty plants are allowed to buy credits from cleaner, more modern ones. Pennsylvania plants are traditionally the number one purchasers of pollution credits. Overall, the Congressional Research Service concluded that CAMR would result in at best a 70 percent reduction in mercury emissions, but not until 2030 or later. The Pennsylvania rule as proposed requires that plants in the state must reduce their mercury emission levels by 90 percent by 2015, and does not

Current Comments List Continued

Re: Proposed Rulemaking: Mercury Emission Reduction Requirements for Electric Generating Units (#7-405)

allow mercury trading. Further, Pennsylvania and 15 other states, are challenging CAMR as illegal under the Clean Air Act, because it fails to treat mercury as the hazardous pollutant that it is. Should the legal action prevail, CAMR will be struck down, and we will be left with no protections from mercury pollution. A number of other states have already passed their own, more protective mercury reduction rules, and many others are in the process of doing so.

Mercury pollution controls are available and affordable, and Pennsylvania coal-fired power plants are very profitable. Like a fully paid home mortgage, capital costs at coal-fired plants in Pennsylvania have been paid off. They are baseload plants that run all of the time, making electricity at costs far below wholesale prices, which more and more frequently is set by the cost of electricity produced by gas-fired plants. Wholesale electricity prices range between six and seven cents per kilowatt hour (kWh); coal-fired power plants produce electricity at a cost of between three and four cents per kWh. A recent National Wildlife Federation report estimated that the average customer would see an increase of \$1.08 on monthly electric bills if all the cost were passed through to consumers. In Pennsylvania's competitive retail electricity market, electricity suppliers cannot just routinely pass on their costs. They can choose to pass on none, some or all of their costs, or they can decide to reduce profits.

The federal mercury rule is bad for Pennsylvania's economy. Mercury contamination is threatening the Commonwealth's sporting, angling, and recreation industry, a significant source of revenue and jobs throughout the state. Because of the trading system set up in CAMR, Pennsylvania plants are more likely to pay for pollution credits than to clean up and modernize old plants. As a result, jobs are exported to other states, in the form of skilled labor required for the technological upgrades. As the electricity market becomes more integrated, cleaner plants (in other states) will out-compete their dirty counterparts (in Pennsylvania), forcing plants to close and more jobs to be lost. Pennsylvania's rule encourages use of bituminous coal (mined in Pennsylvania and in nearby states). The federal rule makes it more attractive for plants to switch to coal mined from Western states. Most importantly, there are significant costs associated with the devastating health impacts; rates of learning disabilities and associated health effects of mercury in children are increasing. OM_MC_message_8959881

Thank you for the opportunity to comment in support of the proposed rule for cutting mercury pollution from Pennsylvania's power plants.

Sincerely,

Dena Condron

1,909. Sherrill Brown -----
Credit Trading 8-8

1,910. Pauline DiBella -----

Current Comments List Continued

Re: Proposed Rulemaking: Mercury Emission Reduction Requirements for Electric Generating Units (#7-405)

Re: Mercury Emission Reduction Proposed Regulation

Dear Environmental Quality Board,

I am writing in support of the state moving forward with DEP's state-level proposal to cut mercury pollution from Pennsylvania's coal-fired power plants by 90 percent by 2015. Coal-fired power plants are the largest unregulated source of mercury pollution, which contaminates our waterways and eventually the fish that end up on our dinner plates. Medical experts say that even low levels of mercury exposure can affect the way kids learn, think, memorize and behave.

The technology exists to cut mercury pollution by 90 percent, and I support DEP's efforts to require these cuts at Pennsylvania power plants, without allowing for mercury pollution "credit" trading. With the Bush administration weakening our federal mercury protections, it is essential that state decision-makers take the lead in protecting our environment and public health by cutting this toxic pollution from Pennsylvania power plants.

This is vital to our health as a planet and a people.

1,911. Jeanine Vermillion -----

Comments in favor of DEP Proposed Mercury Rulemaking

Dear members of the Environmental Quality Board (EQB):

I strongly support the Department of Environmental Protection's (DEP) proposed rulemaking on mercury reductions from coal-fired power plants in Pennsylvania.

It should be a no-brainer to even the most feeble that the Pennsylvania Mercury Reduction Rule HAS to be supported. Do it!

Thank you for the opportunity to comment in support of the proposed rule for cutting mercury pollution from Pennsylvania's power plants.

1,912. Dennis Miller -----

Current Comments List Continued

Re: Proposed Rulemaking: Mercury Emission Reduction Requirements for Electric Generating Units (#7-405)

Comments in favor of DEP Proposed Mercury Rulemaking

members of the Environmental Quality Board (EQB)
Rachel Carson State Office Building, 15th Floor, 400 Market Street
P. O. Box 8477
Harrisburg, PA 17105-2063

Dear members of the Environmental Quality Board (EQB):

I strongly support the Department of Environmental Protection's (DEP) proposed rulemaking on mercury reductions from coal-fired power plants in Pennsylvania.

Pennsylvania lakes, rivers, and streams are contaminated with mercury pollution. Every water in the Commonwealth has advisories on fish consumption due to high levels of mercury and other types of pollution. In over 200 sampling locations in the state, fish have such high amounts of mercury that people are advised to eat no more than two meals of those fish per month.

Pennsylvania is number two in the nation for mercury pollution to air from coal-fired power plants. The most recent Toxic Release Inventory from the Environmental Protection Agency ranks Pennsylvania as second worst in the nation for mercury pollution to the air, behind only Texas. This is up from third in 2004.

Mercury pollution controls are available and affordable, and Pennsylvania coal-fired power plants are very profitable. Like a fully paid home mortgage, capital costs at coal-fired plants in Pennsylvania have been paid off. They are baseload plants that run all of the time, making electricity at costs far below wholesale prices, which more and more frequently is set by the cost of electricity produced by gas-fired plants. Wholesale electricity prices range between six and seven cents per kilowatt hour (kWh); coal-fired power plants produce electricity at a cost of between three and four cents per kWh. A recent National Wildlife Federation report estimated that the average customer would see an increase of \$1.08 on monthly electric bills if all the cost were passed through to consumers. In Pennsylvania's competitive retail electricity market, electricity suppliers cannot just routinely pass on their costs. They can choose to pass on none, some or all of their costs, or they can decide to reduce profits.

Thank you for your time.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment in support of the proposed rule for cutting mercury pollution from Pennsylvania's power plants.

Sincerely,

Dennis Miller
610-326-1830
1,913. Joshua Block -----
Credit Trading 8-8
1,914. Eloise Laskowski -----

Current Comments List Continued

Re: Proposed Rulemaking: Mercury Emission Reduction Requirements for Electric Generating Units (#7-405)

Comments in favor of DEP Proposed Mercury Rulemaking

members of the Environmental Quality Board (EQB)
Rachel Carson State Office Building, 15th Floor, 400 Market Street
P. O. Box 8477
Harrisburg, PA 17105-2063

Dear members of the Environmental Quality Board (EQB):

I strongly support the Department of Environmental Protection's (DEP) proposed rulemaking on mercury reductions from coal-fired power plants in Pennsylvania.

Mercury pollution from coal-fired power plants affects health. Mercury pollution from power plants forms methylmercury, which poses a major health threat to humans and wildlife, primarily from eating fish. Mercury causes serious neurological problems in developing fetuses and babies. Very small amounts of mercury, passed to the child through the mother's blood or milk, can cause health effects. The U.S. Centers for Disease Control verify that over 600,000 women of childbearing age in the U.S. have levels of mercury in their blood higher than that considered safe for their developing babies. Mercury pollution is also causing developmental problems for a wide variety of wildlife, including song birds, mammals, and amphibians.

Pennsylvania lakes, rivers, and streams are contaminated with mercury pollution. Every water in the Commonwealth has advisories on fish consumption due to high levels of mercury and other types of pollution. In over 200 sampling locations in the state, fish have such high amounts of mercury that people are advised to eat no more than two meals of those fish per month.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment in support of the proposed rule for cutting mercury pollution from Pennsylvania's power plants.

Sincerely,

- Eloise Laskowski
717-362-9858
- 1,915. Christina Arlt -----
Credit Trading 8-8
- 1,916. Mary Leonard -----
Credit Trading 8-8
- 1,917. Glenn Frantz -----
Hot Spots 10P 8-7
- 1,918. Christine Suder -----
Credit Trading 8-8
- 1,919. Philip Compton -----
Credit Trading 8-8
- 1,920. Christine Ware -----
Credit Trading 8-8
- 1,921. Greg Buck -----

Current Comments List Continued

Re: Proposed Rulemaking: Mercury Emission Reduction Requirements for Electric Generating Units (#7-405)

Comments in favor of DEP Proposed Mercury Rulemaking

members of the Environmental Quality Board (EQB)
Rachel Carson State Office Building, 15th Floor, 400 Market Street
P. O. Box 8477
Harrisburg, PA 17105-2063

Dear members of the Environmental Quality Board (EQB):

I strongly support the Department of Environmental Protection's (DEP) proposed rulemaking on mercury reductions from coal-fired power plants in Pennsylvania.

Mercury pollution from coal-fired power plants affects health. Mercury pollution from power plants forms methylmercury, which poses a major health threat to humans and wildlife, primarily from eating fish. Mercury causes serious neurological problems in developing fetuses and babies. Very small amounts of mercury, passed to the child through the mother's blood or milk, can cause health effects. The U.S. Centers for Disease Control verify that over 600,000 women of childbearing age in the U.S. have levels of mercury in their blood higher than that considered safe for their developing babies. Mercury pollution is also causing developmental problems for a wide variety of wildlife, including song birds, mammals, and amphibians.

Pennsylvania lakes, rivers, and streams are contaminated with mercury pollution. Every water in the Commonwealth has advisories on fish consumption due to high levels of mercury and other types of pollution. In over 200 sampling locations in the state, fish have such high amounts of mercury that people are advised to eat no more than two meals of those fish per month.

Mercury pollution builds up in areas close to the source, creating dangerous "hot spots" of high mercury concentrations. Fish in Pennsylvania are highly contaminated with mercury in some areas, not as much in other areas. The areas with the highest concentrations correspond to those places downwind of mercury-spewing coal-fired power plants. Recent DEP data showed that over eight years, mercury levels at a sampling station located in Cambria County near power plants were 47 percent greater than mercury levels recorded at a sampling station located in Tioga County, which is not close to mercury sources. Recent EPA-funded studies show that up to 70 percent of mercury contamination comes from local and regional sources. The studies also show that cleaning up mercury pollution at nearby sources results in significant drops in mercury contamination in nearby fish.

Pennsylvania is number two in the nation for mercury pollution to air from coal-fired power plants. The most recent Toxic Release Inventory from the Environmental Protection Agency ranks Pennsylvania as second worst in the nation for mercury pollution to the air, behind only Texas. This is up from third in 2004.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment in support of the proposed rule for cutting mercury pollution from Pennsylvania's power plants.

Sincerely,

Current Comments List Continued

Re: Proposed Rulemaking: Mercury Emission Reduction Requirements for Electric Generating Units (#7-405)

greg buck
610-565-2890
1,922. Tracylea Byford -----
Comments in favor of DEP Proposed Mercury Rulemaking

Dear members of the Environmental Quality Board (EQB):

I strongly support the Department of Environmental Protection's (DEP) proposed rulemaking on mercury reductions from coal-fired power plants in Pennsylvania.

The federal mercury rule is bad for Pennsylvania's economy. Mercury contamination is threatening the Commonwealth's sporting, angling, and recreation industry, a significant source of revenue and jobs throughout the state. Because of the trading system set up in CAMR, Pennsylvania plants are more likely to pay for pollution credits than to clean up and modernize old plants. As a result, jobs are exported to other states, in the form of skilled labor required for the technological upgrades. As the electricity market becomes more integrated, cleaner plants (in other states) will out-compete their dirty counterparts (in Pennsylvania), forcing plants to close and more jobs to be lost. Pennsylvania's rule encourages use of bituminous coal (mined in Pennsylvania and in nearby states). The federal rule makes it more attractive for plants to switch to coal mined from Western states. Most importantly, there are significant costs associated with the devastating health impacts; rates of learning disabilities and associated health effects of mercury in children are increasing.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment in support of the proposed rule for cutting mercury pollution from Pennsylvania's power plants.

1,923. Helene Golombek -----
Credit Trading 8-8
1,924. Henry Berkowitz -----

Current Comments List Continued

Re: Proposed Rulemaking: Mercury Emission Reduction Requirements for Electric Generating Units (#7-405)

Comments in favor of DEP Proposed Mercury Rulemaking

members of the Environmental Quality Board (EQB)
Rachel Carson State Office Building, 15th Floor, 400 Market Street
P. O. Box 8477
Harrisburg, PA 17105-2063

Dear members of the Environmental Quality Board (EQB):

I strongly support the Department of Environmental Protection's (DEP) proposed rulemaking on mercury reductions from coal-fired power plants in Pennsylvania.

An overwhelming majority of Pennsylvanians support the state mercury rule, even if it means paying more on electricity bills. A recent opinion poll conducted by Terry Madonna Research Opinion found that 4 out of 5 Pennsylvanians support a mercury rule that is stronger and implemented faster than the federal rule. Eighty percent of the respondents would be willing to pay up to \$1.08 more on the electricity in support of the Pennsylvania rule. More than 60 health-affected, health, women's, children's, sporting, faith-based, environmental and conservation organizations around the state support the Pennsylvania rule. Over 100 hunting and angling clubs around the state support the rule. Over 100 medical experts and faith leaders around the state have co-signed letters in support of the state-specific rule.

Mercury pollution builds up in areas close to the source, creating dangerous "hot spots" of high mercury concentrations. Fish in Pennsylvania are highly contaminated with mercury in some areas, not as much in other areas. The areas with the highest concentrations correspond to those places downwind of mercury-spewing coal-fired power plants. Recent DEP data showed that over eight years, mercury levels at a sampling station located in Cambria County near power plants were 47 percent greater than mercury levels recorded at a sampling station located in Tioga County, which is not close to mercury sources. Recent EPA-funded studies show that up to 70 percent of mercury contamination comes from local and regional sources. The studies also show that cleaning up mercury pollution at nearby sources results in significant drops in mercury contamination in nearby fish.

Pennsylvania is number two in the nation for mercury pollution to air from coal-fired power plants. The most recent Toxic Release Inventory from the Environmental Protection Agency ranks Pennsylvania as second worst in the nation for mercury pollution to the air, behind only Texas. This is up from third in 2004.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment in support of the proposed rule for cutting mercury pollution from Pennsylvania's power plants.

Sincerely,

Henry Berkowitz
1,925. Jennifer Hekking -----
Credit Trading 8-8

Current Comments List Continued

Re: Proposed Rulemaking: Mercury Emission Reduction Requirements for Electric Generating Units (#7-405)

1,926. Ina C. Elliot -----
Comments in favor of DEP Proposed Mercury Rulemaking

members of the Environmental Quality Board (EQB)
Rachel Carson State Office Building, 15th Floor, 400 Market Street
P. O. Box 8477
Harrisburg, PA 17105-2063

Dear members of the Environmental Quality Board (EQB):

I strongly support the Department of Environmental Protection's (DEP) proposed rulemaking on mercury reductions from coal-fired power plants in Pennsylvania.

I strongly support the Dept. of Environmental Protection's (DEP) proposed rulemaking on mercury reductions from coal-fired power plants in PA. My daughter is currently undergoing treatment for removing mercury from her body which has caused her troublesome health symptoms for years. She is finally being treated by a homeopath to get rid of this problem; a long process with special medication. Please do all you can to protect us from this pollution. Mercury pollution from coal-fired power plants affects health. Mercury pollution from power plants forms methylmercury, which poses a major health threat to humans and wildlife, primarily from eating fish. Mercury causes serious neurological problems in developing fetuses and babies. Very small amounts of mercury, passed to the child through the mother's blood or milk, can cause health effects. The U.S. Centers for Disease Control verify that over 600,000 women of childbearing age in the U.S. have levels of mercury in their blood higher than that considered safe for their developing babies. Mercury pollution is also causing developmental problems for a wide variety of wildlife, including song birds, mammals, and amphibians.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment in support of the proposed rule for cutting mercury pollution from Pennsylvania's power plants.

Sincerely,

Ina C. Elliot
1,927. Scott Koerber -----
Credit Trading 8-8
1,928. David Cope -----
Credit Trading 8-8
1,929. Debra Morris -----
Credit Trading 8-8
1,930. Carola Edwards -----

Current Comments List Continued

Re: Proposed Rulemaking: Mercury Emission Reduction Requirements for Electric Generating Units (#7-405)

Comments in favor of DEP Proposed Mercury Rulemaking

Dear members of the Environmental Quality Board (EQB):

I strongly support the Department of Environmental Protection's (DEP) proposed rulemaking on mercury reductions from coal-fired power plants in Pennsylvania.

Mercury pollution from coal-fired power plants affects health. Mercury pollution from power plants forms methylmercury, which poses a major health threat to humans and wildlife, primarily from eating fish. Mercury causes serious neurological problems in developing fetuses and babies. Very small amounts of mercury, passed to the child through the mother's blood or milk, can cause health effects. The U.S. Centers for Disease Control verify that over 600,000 women of childbearing age in the U.S. have levels of mercury in their blood higher than that considered safe for their developing babies. Mercury pollution is also causing developmental problems for a wide variety of wildlife, including song birds, mammals, and amphibians.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment in support of the proposed rule for cutting mercury pollution from Pennsylvania's power plants.

1,931. Edward Massimo -----
Credit Trading 8-8

1,932. Kathleen Mackerer -----
Credit Trading 8-8

1,933. Richard Scott -----
Credit Trading 8-8

1,934. Carl Wilhelm -----
Credit Trading 8-8

1,935. Shari Paglia -----
Credit Trading 8-8

1,936. Alex Balboa -----
Credit Trading 8-8

1,937. Kay Kunkel -----
Dear Environmental Quality Board,

I am writing in support of the state moving forward with DEP's state-level proposal to cut mercury pollution from Pennsylvania's coal-fired power plants by 90 percent by 2015. Coal-fired power plants are the largest unregulated source of mercury pollution, which contaminates our waterways and eventually the fish that end up on our dinner plates. Medical experts say that even low levels of mercury exposure can affect the way kids learn, think, memorize and behave.

The technology exists to cut mercury pollution by 90 percent, and I support DEP's efforts to require these cuts at Pennsylvania power plants, without allowing for mercury pollution "credit" trading. With the Bush administration weakening our federal mercury protections, it is essential that state decision-makers take the lead in protecting our environment and public health by cutting this toxic pollution from Pennsylvania power plants.

Thank you for helping to make PA a safer state in which to live.

Sincerely

Sincerely,

Kay Kunkel
362 York Ave.
Lansdale, PA 194463516

1,938. Jackie Beckett -----
Credit Trading 8-8

Current Comments List Continued

Re: Proposed Rulemaking: Mercury Emission Reduction Requirements for Electric Generating Units (#7-405)

1,939. Kimberly Clemens -----
Credit Trading 8-8

1,940. Carissa Shipman -----
Credit Trading 8-8

1,941. Thomas Fetterman -----
Dear Environmental Quality Board,

I very much want to express my support of the state moving forward with DEP's state-level proposal to cut mercury pollution from Pennsylvania's coal-fired power plants by 90 percent by 2015. Coal-fired power plants are the largest unregulated source of mercury pollution, which contaminates our waterways and eventually the fish that end up on our dinner plates. Medical experts say that even low levels of mercury exposure can affect the way kids learn, think, memorize and behave.

The technology exists to cut mercury pollution by 90 percent, and I support DEP's efforts to require these cuts at Pennsylvania power plants, without allowing for mercury pollution "credit" trading. With the Bush administration weakening our federal mercury protections, it is essential that state decision-makers take the lead in protecting our environment and public health by cutting this toxic pollution from Pennsylvania power plants.

Sincerely,

Thomas Fetterman
1680 Hillside Rd.
Southampton, PA 189664514

1,942. Jacqueline De Jesse -----
Hot Spots 10P 8-7

1,943. Muscoe Martin -----
Credit Trading 8-8

1,944. Mike Turns -----
Credit Trading 8-8

1,945. Janice Barnett -----

Current Comments List Continued

Re: Proposed Rulemaking: Mercury Emission Reduction Requirements for Electric Generating Units (#7-405)

Comments in favor of DEP Proposed Mercury Rulemaking

members of the Environmental Quality Board (EQB)
Rachel Carson State Office Building, 15th Floor, 400 Market Street
P. O. Box 8477
Harrisburg, PA 17105-2063

Dear members of the Environmental Quality Board (EQB):

I strongly support the Department of Environmental Protection's (DEP) proposed rulemaking on mercury reductions from coal-fired power plants in Pennsylvania.

The federal Clean Air Mercury Rule (CAMR) does too little too late. CAMR proponents claim that Pennsylvania will see an 86 percent drop in mercury pollution as a result of the federal rule. But the Congressional Research Service has detailed that CAMR won't deliver the reductions it promises, due to mercury pollution trading, where dirty plants are allowed to buy credits from cleaner, more modern ones. Pennsylvania plants are traditionally the number one purchasers of pollution credits. Overall, the Congressional Research Service concluded that CAMR would result in at best a 70 percent reduction in mercury emissions, but not until 2030 or later. The Pennsylvania rule as proposed requires that plants in the state must reduce their mercury emission levels by 90 percent by 2015, and does not allow mercury trading. Further, Pennsylvania and 15 other states, are challenging CAMR as illegal under the Clean Air Act, because it fails to treat mercury as the hazardous pollutant that it is. Should the legal action prevail, CAMR will be struck down, and we will be left with no protections from mercury pollution. A number of other states have already passed their own, more protective mercury reduction rules, and many others are in the process of doing so.

The federal Clean Air Mercury Rule (CAMR) does too little too late. CAMR proponents claim that Pennsylvania will see an 86 percent drop in mercury pollution as a result of the federal rule. But the Congressional Research Service has detailed that CAMR won't deliver the reductions it promises, due to mercury pollution trading, where dirty plants are allowed to buy credits from cleaner, more modern ones. Pennsylvania plants are traditionally the number one purchasers of pollution credits. Overall, the Congressional Research Service concluded that CAMR would result in at best a 70 percent reduction in mercury emissions, but not until 2030 or later. The Pennsylvania rule as proposed requires that plants in the state must reduce their mercury emission levels by 90 percent by 2015, and does not allow mercury trading. Further, Pennsylvania and 15 other states, are challenging CAMR as illegal under the Clean Air Act, because it fails to treat mercury as the hazardous pollutant that it is. Should the legal action prevail, CAMR will be struck down, and we will be left with no protections from mercury pollution. A number of other states have already passed their own, more protective mercury reduction rules, and many others are in the process of doing so.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment in support of the proposed rule for cutting mercury pollution from Pennsylvania's power plants.

Sincerely,

JANICE BARNETT

Current Comments List Continued

Re: Proposed Rulemaking: Mercury Emission Reduction Requirements for Electric Generating Units (#7-405)

JANICE BARNETT

1,946. Jean Weaver -----
Credit Trading 8-8

1,947. Jill Gleeson -----

Current Comments List Continued

Re: Proposed Rulemaking: Mercury Emission Reduction Requirements for Electric Generating Units (#7-405)

Comments in favor of DEP Proposed Mercury Rulemaking

members of the Environmental Quality Board (EQB)
Rachel Carson State Office Building, 15th Floor, 400 Market Street
P. O. Box 8477
Harrisburg, PA 17105-2063

Dear members of the Environmental Quality Board (EQB):

I strongly support the Department of Environmental Protection's (DEP) proposed rulemaking on mercury reductions from coal-fired power plants in Pennsylvania.

Mercury pollution from coal-fired power plants affects health. Mercury pollution from power plants forms methylmercury, which poses a major health threat to humans and wildlife, primarily from eating fish. Mercury causes serious neurological problems in developing fetuses and babies. Very small amounts of mercury, passed to the child through the mother's blood or milk, can cause health effects. The U.S. Centers for Disease Control verify that over 600,000 women of childbearing age in the U.S. have levels of mercury in their blood higher than that considered safe for their developing babies. Mercury pollution is also causing developmental problems for a wide variety of wildlife, including song birds, mammals, and amphibians.

Pennsylvania is number two in the nation for mercury pollution to air from coal-fired power plants. The most recent Toxic Release Inventory from the Environmental Protection Agency ranks Pennsylvania as second worst in the nation for mercury pollution to the air, behind only Texas. This is up from third in 2004.

An overwhelming majority of Pennsylvanians support the state mercury rule, even if it means paying more on electricity bills. A recent opinion poll conducted by Terry Madonna Research Opinion found that 4 out of 5 Pennsylvanians support a mercury rule that is stronger and implemented faster than the federal rule. Eighty percent of the respondents would be willing to pay up to \$1.08 more on the electricity in support of the Pennsylvania rule. More than 60 health-affected, health, women's, children's, sporting, faith-based, environmental and conservation organizations around the state support the Pennsylvania rule. Over 100 hunting and angling clubs around the state support the rule. Over 100 medical experts and faith leaders around the state have co-signed letters in support of the state-specific rule.

The federal mercury rule is bad for Pennsylvania's economy. Mercury contamination is threatening the Commonwealth's sporting, angling, and recreation industry, a significant source of revenue and jobs throughout the state. Because of the trading system set up in CAMR, Pennsylvania plants are more likely to pay for pollution credits than to clean up and modernize old plants. As a result, jobs are exported to other states, in the form of skilled labor required for the technological upgrades. As the electricity market becomes more integrated, cleaner plants (in other states) will out-compete their dirty counterparts (in Pennsylvania), forcing plants to close and more jobs to be lost. Pennsylvania's rule encourages use of bituminous coal (mined in Pennsylvania and in nearby states). The federal rule makes it more attractive for plants to switch to coal mined from Western states. Most importantly, there are significant

Current Comments List Continued

Re: Proposed Rulemaking: Mercury Emission Reduction Requirements for Electric Generating Units (#7-405)

costs associated with the devastating health impacts; rates of learning disabilities and associated health effects of mercury in children are increasing.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment in support of the proposed rule for cutting mercury pollution from Pennsylvania's power plants.

Sincerely,

Jill Gleeson
814-342-5582
1,948. James Higgins -----
Re: Mercury Emission Reduction Proposed Regulation

I support DEP's proposal to cut mercury pollution from Pennsylvania's coal-fired power plants by 90 percent by the year 2015. Such plants produce much mercury pollution, which contaminates our waterways and fish. Even low levels of mercury exposure can affect the way children learn, think, memorize and behave.

Please do not allow mercury pollution "credit" trading.
1,949. Gloria Hoffman -----
Credit Trading 8-8
1,950. Janet Padula -----
Credit Trading 8-8
1,951. Joseph Pryber -----

Current Comments List Continued

Re: Proposed Rulemaking: Mercury Emission Reduction Requirements for Electric Generating Units (#7-405)

Comments in favor of DEP Proposed Mercury Rulemaking

members of the Environmental Quality Board (EQB)
Rachel Carson State Office Building, 15th Floor, 400 Market Street
P. O. Box 8477
Harrisburg, PA 17105-2063

Dear members of the Environmental Quality Board (EQB):

I strongly support the Department of Environmental Protection's (DEP) proposed rulemaking on mercury reductions from coal-fired power plants in Pennsylvania.

Pennsylvania is number two in the nation for mercury pollution to air from coal-fired power plants according to the most recent Toxic Release Inventory from the Environmental Protection Agency. The Congressional Research Service has detailed that CAMR won't deliver the reductions it promises, due to mercury pollution trading, where dirty plants are allowed to buy credits from cleaner, more modern ones. Pennsylvania plants are traditionally the number one purchasers of pollution credits.

Pennsylvania and 15 other states, are challenging CAMR as illegal under the Clean Air Act, because it fails to treat mercury as the hazardous pollutant that it is. Should the legal action prevail, CAMR will be struck down, and we will be left with no protections from mercury pollution. A number of other states have already passed their own, more protective mercury reduction rules, and many others are in the process of doing so.

A recent National Wildlife Federation report estimated that the average customer would see an increase of \$1.08 on monthly electric bills if all the cost were passed through to consumers. A recent opinion poll conducted by Terry Madonna Research Opinion found that 4 out of 5 Pennsylvanians support a mercury rule that is stronger and implemented faster than the federal rule. Eighty percent of the respondents would be willing to pay up to \$1.08 more on the electricity in support of the Pennsylvania rule.

Because of the trading system set up in CAMR, Pennsylvania plants are more likely to pay for pollution credits than to clean up and modernize old plants. As a result, jobs are exported to other states, in the form of skilled labor required for the technological upgrades. As the electricity market becomes more integrated, cleaner plants (in other states) will out-compete their dirty counterparts (in Pennsylvania), forcing plants to close and more jobs to be lost. Pennsylvania's rule encourages use of bituminous coal (mined in Pennsylvania and in nearby states). The federal rule makes it more attractive for plants to switch to coal mined from Western states. Most importantly, there are significant costs associated with the devastating health impacts; rates of learning disabilities and associated health effects of mercury in children are increasing.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment in support of the proposed rule for cutting mercury pollution from Pennsylvania's power plants.

Sincerely,

Current Comments List Continued

Re: Proposed Rulemaking: Mercury Emission Reduction Requirements for Electric Generating Units (#7-405)

- Joseph A. Pryber
1,952. Judith Springer -----
Hot Spots 10P 8-7
- 1,953. Claire Satlof -----
Credit Trading 8-8
- 1,954. Kyle Gracey -----

Current Comments List Continued

Re: Proposed Rulemaking: Mercury Emission Reduction Requirements for Electric Generating Units (#7-405)

Comments in favor of DEP Proposed Mercury Rulemaking

members of the Environmental Quality Board (EQB)
Rachel Carson State Office Building, 15th Floor, 400 Market Street
P. O. Box 8477
Harrisburg, PA 17105-2063

Dear members of the Environmental Quality Board (EQB):

I strongly support the Department of Environmental Protection's (DEP) proposed rulemaking on mercury reductions from coal-fired power plants in Pennsylvania.

Pennsylvania is number two in the nation for mercury pollution to air from coal-fired power plants. The most recent Toxic Release Inventory from the Environmental Protection Agency ranks Pennsylvania as second worst in the nation for mercury pollution to the air, behind only Texas. This is up from third in 2004.

The federal Clean Air Mercury Rule (CAMR) does too little too late. CAMR proponents claim that Pennsylvania will see an 86 percent drop in mercury pollution as a result of the federal rule. But the Congressional Research Service has detailed that CAMR won't deliver the reductions it promises, due to mercury pollution trading, where dirty plants are allowed to buy credits from cleaner, more modern ones. Pennsylvania plants are traditionally the number one purchasers of pollution credits. Overall, the Congressional Research Service concluded that CAMR would result in at best a 70 percent reduction in mercury emissions, but not until 2030 or later. The Pennsylvania rule as proposed requires that plants in the state must reduce their mercury emission levels by 90 percent by 2015, and does not allow mercury trading. Further, Pennsylvania and 15 other states, are challenging CAMR as illegal under the Clean Air Act, because it fails to treat mercury as the hazardous pollutant that it is. Should the legal action prevail, CAMR will be struck down, and we will be left with no protections from mercury pollution. A number of other states have already passed their own, more protective mercury reduction rules, and many others are in the process of doing so.

Mercury pollution controls are available and affordable, and Pennsylvania coal-fired power plants are very profitable. Like a fully paid home mortgage, capital costs at coal-fired plants in Pennsylvania have been paid off. They are baseload plants that run all of the time, making electricity at costs far below wholesale prices, which more and more frequently is set by the cost of electricity produced by gas-fired plants. Wholesale electricity prices range between six and seven cents per kilowatt hour (kWh); coal-fired power plants produce electricity at a cost of between three and four cents per kWh. A recent National Wildlife Federation report estimated that the average customer would see an increase of \$1.08 on monthly electric bills if all the cost were passed through to consumers. In Pennsylvania's competitive retail electricity market, electricity suppliers cannot just routinely pass on their costs. They can choose to pass on none, some or all of their costs, or they can decide to reduce profits.

The federal mercury rule is also bad for Pennsylvania's economy. Mercury contamination is threatening the Commonwealth's sporting, angling, and recreation industry, a significant source of revenue and jobs throughout

Current Comments List Continued

Re: Proposed Rulemaking: Mercury Emission Reduction Requirements for Electric Generating Units (#7-405)

the state. Because of the trading system set up in CAMR, Pennsylvania plants are more likely to pay for pollution credits than to clean up and modernize old plants. As a result, jobs are exported to other states, in the form of skilled labor required for the technological upgrades. As the electricity market becomes more integrated, cleaner plants (in other states) will out-compete their dirty counterparts (in Pennsylvania), forcing plants to close and more jobs to be lost. Pennsylvania's rule encourages use of bituminous coal (mined in Pennsylvania and in nearby states). The federal rule makes it more attractive for plants to switch to coal mined from Western states. Most importantly, there are significant costs associated with the devastating health impacts; rates of learning disabilities and associated health effects of mercury in children are increasing.

Thank you.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment in support of the proposed rule for cutting mercury pollution from Pennsylvania's power plants.

Sincerely,

Kyle Gracey

1,955. Linda Murray -----

Current Comments List Continued

Re: Proposed Rulemaking: Mercury Emission Reduction Requirements for Electric Generating Units (#7-405)

Comments in favor of DEP Proposed Mercury Rulemaking

members of the Environmental Quality Board (EQB)
Rachel Carson State Office Building, 15th Floor, 400 Market Street
P. O. Box 8477
Harrisburg, PA 17105-2063

Dear members of the Environmental Quality Board (EQB):

I strongly support the Department of Environmental Protection's (DEP) proposed rulemaking on mercury reductions from coal-fired power plants in Pennsylvania.

Mercury pollution from coal-fired power plants affects health. Mercury pollution from power plants forms methylmercury, which poses a major health threat to humans and wildlife, primarily from eating fish. Mercury causes serious neurological problems in developing fetuses and babies. Very small amounts of mercury, passed to the child through the mother's blood or milk, can cause health effects. The U.S. Centers for Disease Control verify that over 600,000 women of childbearing age in the U.S. have levels of mercury in their blood higher than that considered safe for their developing babies. Mercury pollution is also causing developmental problems for a wide variety of wildlife, including song birds, mammals, and amphibians.

Pennsylvania lakes, rivers, and streams are contaminated with mercury pollution. Every water in the Commonwealth has advisories on fish consumption due to high levels of mercury and other types of pollution. In over 200 sampling locations in the state, fish have such high amounts of mercury that people are advised to eat no more than two meals of those fish per month.

Pennsylvania is number two in the nation for mercury pollution to air from coal-fired power plants. The most recent Toxic Release Inventory from the Environmental Protection Agency ranks Pennsylvania as second worst in the nation for mercury pollution to the air, behind only Texas. This is up from third in 2004.

Pennsylvania is number two in the nation for mercury pollution to air from coal-fired power plants. The most recent Toxic Release Inventory from the Environmental Protection Agency ranks Pennsylvania as second worst in the nation for mercury pollution to the air, behind only Texas. This is up from third in 2004.

Mercury pollution controls are available and affordable, and Pennsylvania coal-fired power plants are very profitable. Like a fully paid home mortgage, capital costs at coal-fired plants in Pennsylvania have been paid off. They are baseload plants that run all of the time, making electricity at costs far below wholesale prices, which more and more frequently is set by the cost of electricity produced by gas-fired plants. Wholesale electricity prices range between six and seven cents per kilowatt hour (kWh); coal-fired power plants produce electricity at a cost of between three and four cents per kWh. A recent National Wildlife Federation report estimated that the average customer would see an increase of \$1.08 on monthly electric bills if all the cost were passed through to consumers. In Pennsylvania's competitive retail electricity market, electricity suppliers cannot just routinely pass on their costs.

Current Comments List Continued

Re: Proposed Rulemaking: Mercury Emission Reduction Requirements for Electric Generating Units (#7-405)

They can choose to pass on none, some or all of their costs, or they can decide to reduce profits.

Mercury pollution controls are available and affordable, and Pennsylvania coal-fired power plants are very profitable. Like a fully paid home mortgage, capital costs at coal-fired plants in Pennsylvania have been paid off. They are baseload plants that run all of the time, making electricity at costs far below wholesale prices, which more and more frequently is set by the cost of electricity produced by gas-fired plants. Wholesale electricity prices range between six and seven cents per kilowatt hour (kWh); coal-fired power plants produce electricity at a cost of between three and four cents per kWh. A recent National Wildlife Federation report estimated that the average customer would see an increase of \$1.08 on monthly electric bills if all the cost were passed through to consumers. In Pennsylvania's competitive retail electricity market, electricity suppliers cannot just routinely pass on their costs. They can choose to pass on none, some or all of their costs, or they can decide to reduce profits.

An overwhelming majority of Pennsylvanians support the state mercury rule, even if it means paying more on electricity bills. A recent opinion poll conducted by Terry Madonna Research Opinion found that 4 out of 5 Pennsylvanians support a mercury rule that is stronger and implemented faster than the federal rule. Eighty percent of the respondents would be willing to pay up to \$1.08 more on the electricity in support of the Pennsylvania rule. More than 60 health-affected, health, women's, children's, sporting, faith-based, environmental and conservation organizations around the state support the Pennsylvania rule. Over 100 hunting and angling clubs around the state support the rule. Over 100 medical experts and faith leaders around the state have co-signed letters in support of the state-specific rule.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment in support of the proposed rule for cutting mercury pollution from Pennsylvania's power plants.

Sincerely,

Linda Murray

1,956. Lori Schnick -----

Current Comments List Continued

Re: Proposed Rulemaking: Mercury Emission Reduction Requirements for Electric Generating Units (#7-405)

Comments in favor of DEP Proposed Mercury Rulemaking

members of the Environmental Quality Board (EQB)
Rachel Carson State Office Building, 15th Floor, 400 Market Street
P. O. Box 8477
Harrisburg, PA 17105-2063

Dear members of the Environmental Quality Board (EQB):

I strongly support the Department of Environmental Protection's (DEP) proposed rulemaking on mercury reductions from coal-fired power plants in Pennsylvania.

Mercury pollution from coal-fired power plants affects health. Mercury pollution from power plants forms methylmercury, which poses a major health threat to humans and wildlife, primarily from eating fish. Mercury causes serious neurological problems in developing fetuses and babies. Very small amounts of mercury, passed to the child through the mother's blood or milk, can cause health effects. The U.S. Centers for Disease Control verify that over 600,000 women of childbearing age in the U.S. have levels of mercury in their blood higher than that considered safe for their developing babies. Mercury pollution is also causing developmental problems for a wide variety of wildlife, including song birds, mammals, and amphibians.

Pennsylvania is number two in the nation for mercury pollution to air from coal-fired power plants. The most recent Toxic Release Inventory from the Environmental Protection Agency ranks Pennsylvania as second worst in the nation for mercury pollution to the air, behind only Texas. This is up from third in 2004.

as more people become aware and concerned about the effects of mercury on their health, parts of Pennsylvania will not be desirable for visiting or inhabiting.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment in support of the proposed rule for cutting mercury pollution from Pennsylvania's power plants.

Sincerely,

Lori Schnick and William Ryan
1,957. Michael Parker -----
Hot Spots 10P 8-8
1,958. Stephen Greene -----
Credit Trading 8-8
1,959. Lorrie Preston -----